A 51-year-old woman with dyspnea

A 51-year-old woman presents to the emergency department with dyspnea, which began 4 days ago. She reports no chest pain, palpitations, hemoptysis, fevers, chills, weight loss, recent travel, immobility, or surgery. One week ago she noticed cramping in her right calf, but that has since resolved. Her history includes hypertension, hypothyroidism, and immune-mediated glomerulonephritis with proteinuria. She is premenopausal. She takes losartan and levothyroxine; she is not taking oral contraceptives or herbal supplements. She is up to date with her cancer screening and has had negative findings on colonoscopy and mammography within the past year. She has never smoked and she does not drink alcohol or use illicit drugs. Her mother has a history of provoked deep vein thrombosis and colon cancer.

Her temperature is 36.2°C (97.2°F), heart rate 163 beats per minute, blood pressure 158/102 mm Hg, respiratory rate 40 breaths per minute, and oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry 80% while breathing room air, corrected to 94% with oxygen 6 L/min via nasal cannula.

On physical examination, she is sitting upright on a stretcher and appears uncomfortable and anxious. She is awake and able to communicate clearly. Examination of the head, ears, eyes, nose, and throat is unremarkable, with moist mucous membranes. Her lungs are clear to auscultation. Her heart beat is very rapid, with a regular rhythm and an accentuated P2...
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A right parasternal heave can be palpated in addition to a rightwardly displaced point of maximal impulse. The abdomen is normal, with no tenderness or organomegaly. She has no pain, edema, or erythema in the legs or feet, and she has strong, symmetric pulses (2+) in all extremities. The neurologic examination is nonfocal.

Electrocardiography (ECG) done on arrival ([FIGURE 1]) reveals supraventricular tachycardia, a normal axis, and nonspecific ST-segment and T-wave abnormalities, findings commonly seen in pulmonary embolism.\(^1,2\) On the other hand, her ECG does not show some of the other signs of right ventricular strain due to pulmonary embolism such as atrial arrhythmias, complete right bundle branch block, or inferior Q-waves.\(^3,4\)

In view of her ECG findings and her symptoms of dyspnea, calf pain, tachypnea, tachycardia, and a pronounced P2 heart sound, her physician concludes that she very likely has a pulmonary embolism\(^1\) and orders an intravenous infusion of unfractionated heparin to be started immediately.

**TESTING FOR PULMONARY EMBOLISM**

1. Which of the following would be the best initial diagnostic imaging study to perform in this patient, who has a high pretest probability of pulmonary embolism?

- Multidetector computed tomographic (CT) pulmonary angiography
- Transthoracic echocardiography
- Magnetic resonance imaging
- Lower-extremity duplex ultrasonography
- Pulmonary angiography
- Ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy

Multidetector CT angiography is rapid, noninvasive, and highly sensitive (83%–90%) and specific (96%) for pulmonary embolism.\(^5,6\) In patients such as ours who have a high pretest probability of having the disease, its positive predictive value is 96%.\(^5\) Therefore, it would be the initial diagnostic study to perform in our patient.

Although transthoracic echocardiography is noninvasive and can detect right ventricular strain in the setting of pulmonary embolism, it may miss half of all pulmonary emboli detected by angiography.\(^7,8\)

When technically adequate images are obtained, the combination of magnetic resonance angiography and magnetic resonance venography is very sensitive (92%) and specific (96%) for pulmonary embolism.\(^9\) However, one-fourth of patients undergoing these studies may have technically inadequate results, so this is not the best choice for diagnosis.\(^9\)
As our patient complained of recent cramping in the right calf, lower-extremity duplex ultrasonography would be a reasonable test to screen for acute deep vein thrombosis as the source of pulmonary embolism. However, given her worrisome vital signs and impending hemodynamic collapse, CT pulmonary angiography would be a better initial test as it may guide more aggressive therapy. Furthermore, even if ultrasonography showed no evidence of deep vein thrombosis, clinical suspicion for pulmonary embolism would remain high enough that therapeutic anticoagulation would be continued until further testing ruled out this diagnosis.

Pulmonary angiography is the gold-standard test for pulmonary embolism. However, it is time-consuming, expensive, and invasive and so is not usually done unless the diagnosis cannot be made with other imaging studies.

Ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy is an established and safe diagnostic test for pulmonary embolism. It is particularly helpful in patients who have renal dysfunction or contrast allergy. The sensitivity of a high-probability scan is 78%, while the specificity of a very-low-probability scan is 97%. However, this study is often nondiagnostic (in 26.5% of cases), and further imaging may be required.

### RESULTS OF CT ANGIOGRAPHY

Our patient undergoes CT angiography, which reveals multiple bilateral pulmonary emboli and right ventricular enlargement (FIGURE 2). Transthoracic echocardiography shows dilation of the right ventricle, with severely reduced systolic function, an underfilled and hypodynamic left ventricle (ejection fraction 75%), and moderate tricuspid valve regurgitation. Her right ventricular systolic pressure is estimated to be 47 mm Hg.

Doppler ultrasonography of the legs reveals an occlusive thrombus within the right small saphenous vein that bulges and extends into the right popliteal vein. Also noted is a nonocclusive thrombus in the upper right popliteal vein that likely originated from the thrombus in the small saphenous vein.

Initial laboratory testing (TABLE 1) shows elevations of the cardiac enzymes troponin T and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-pro-BNP).

### ESTIMATING PROGNOSIS IN PULMONARY EMBOLISM

Which of the following laboratory results at presentation is independently associated with a worse outcome in patients with pulmonary embolism?

---

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complete blood cell count</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin*</td>
<td>11.7 g/dL</td>
<td>12.0–15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes*</td>
<td>13.8 x 10⁹/L</td>
<td>3.5–10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils*</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>42%–75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes*</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>16%–52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%–11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0–7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0–4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>170 x 10⁹/L</td>
<td>150–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complete metabolic panel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>137 mmol/L</td>
<td>135–145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>4.4 mmol/L</td>
<td>3.6–5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>108 mmol/L</td>
<td>100–108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate*</td>
<td>18 mmol/L</td>
<td>22–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood urea nitrogen</td>
<td>15 mg/dL</td>
<td>6–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>0.7 mg/dL</td>
<td>0.6–1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (random, nonfasting)*</td>
<td>147 mg/dL</td>
<td>70–140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac enzymes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troponin T (0 hours)*</td>
<td>0.06 ng/mL</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3 hours)*</td>
<td>0.05 ng/mL</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6 hours)*</td>
<td>0.05 ng/mL</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-pro-BNP* b</td>
<td>2,148 pg/mL</td>
<td>&lt; 150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a An asterisk indicates a value outside the reference range.

b NT-pro-BNP = N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.
Elevated NT-pro-BNP
Hypercalcemia
Thrombocytosis
Hypernatremia
Elevated procalcitonin

The Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index\textsuperscript{11} and the Simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index\textsuperscript{12} (\textbf{TABLE 2}) are clinical calculators that help predict 30-day risk of death in patients with pulmonary embolism. Our patient's Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index score is 60, indicating a very low risk, but her simplified severity index score is 2, indicating a high risk.

A shock index score (the heart rate divided by the systolic blood pressure) greater than 1 is also a sensitive measure of risk.\textsuperscript{13} (Our patient's shock index score is 1.03.) Although the simplified version is more accurate,\textsuperscript{14} the shock index is also helpful when deciding whether patients with suspected pulmonary embolism should receive early fibrinolysis.\textsuperscript{15}

In a large registry of patients with confirmed pulmonary embolism, risk factors for death were age greater than 70, cancer, clinical congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, systolic blood pressure lower than 90 mm Hg, respiratory rate less than 20 per minute, and right ventricular hypokinesis.\textsuperscript{16} Right ventricular dysfunction progressing to right ventricular failure and cardiogenic shock is the most common cause of death in patients with pulmonary embolism.\textsuperscript{16–18}

Post hoc analysis has also shown that elevations of the biomarkers BNP, NT-pro-BNP, and cardiac troponins I and T are associated with a prolonged hospital course and a higher risk of death within 30 days.\textsuperscript{19} Interestingly, a recent retrospective analysis found hyponatremia to be an independent risk factor for death in the short term.\textsuperscript{20}

Thrombocytopenia, not thrombocytosis, is associated with worse outcomes in patients with pulmonary embolism.\textsuperscript{16} Procalcitonin is elevated in bacterial pneumonia but is normal in pulmonary embolism and so may be helpful in differentiating between the two.\textsuperscript{21,22} Hypernatremia, hypercalcemia, and elevated procalcitonin have not been shown to be independently associated with worse outcomes in acute pulmonary embolism.

\textbf{TABLE 2}
\textbf{Classifying risk of death in pulmonary embolism}

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Predictors} & \textbf{Score} & \textbf{30-day risk of death} \\
\hline
Age > 80 years & Age in years & 0–1.6\% \\
Male & +10 & 1.7–3.5\% \\
Heart failure & +10 & 3.2–7.1\% \\
Chronic lung disease & +10 & 4.0–11.4\% \\
Arterial oxygen saturation < 90\% & +20 & 10–24.5\% \\
Pulse ≥ 110 per minute & +20 & \\
Respiratory rate ≥ 30 per minute & +20 & \\
Temperature < 36°C (96.8°F) & +20 & \\
History of cancer & +30 & \\
Systolic blood pressure < 100 mm Hg & +30 & \\
Altered mental status & +60 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Total score} & \textbf{Risk classification} & \textbf{30-day risk of death} \\
\hline
0–65 & I (very low risk) & 0–1.6\% \\
66–85 & II (low risk) & 1.7–3.5\% \\
86–105 & III (intermediate risk) & 3.2–7.1\% \\
106–125 & IV (high risk) & 4.0–11.4\% \\
≥ 126 & V (very high risk) & 10–24.5\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textbf{Simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index}\textsuperscript{a}

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Predictors} & \textbf{Score} & \\
\hline
Age > 80 & 1 & \\
Heart failure & 1 & \\
Chronic lung disease & 1 & \\
Arterial oxygen saturation < 90\% & 1 & \\
Pulse ≥ 110 per minute & 1 & \\
History of cancer & 1 & \\
Systolic blood pressure < 100 mm Hg & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Total score} & \textbf{Risk classification} & \textbf{30-day risk of death} \\
\hline
0 & Low risk & 1.1\% \\
0 & High risk & 8.9\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Thus, of the answer choices shown above, elevated NT-pro-BNP is the correct answer.

**Classified as massive, submassive, or low-risk**

Pulmonary embolism is often stratified as massive, submassive, or low-risk, reflecting the severity and the degree of cardiovascular collapse. The treatment depends on the classification.

Pulmonary embolism is classified as massive if the patient has a cardiac arrest or a systolic blood pressure lower than 90 mm Hg for more than 15 minutes.\(^{23}\) Nearly half of patients in this category die.\(^{24}\)

Pulmonary embolism is submassive if the patient has systolic pressure greater than 90 mm Hg but has right ventricular dysfunction, as evidenced by physical examination, elevated cardiac biomarkers, electrocardiography, transthoracic echocardiography, or computed tomography. The death rate is as high as 15%.\(^{24}\)

Pulmonary embolism in a normotensive patient with no right ventricular dysfunction is defined as low-risk.

**Our patient so far**

Our patient has bilateral pulmonary emboli, most likely originating from a deep vein thrombosis in her right lower leg. Her pulmonary embolism would be classified as submassive, as her systolic pressure is greater than 90 mm Hg and right ventricular dysfunction—significant right ventricular strain—was noted on both transthoracic echocardiography and computed tomography. Also, cardiac biomarkers are elevated, and the physical examination revealed a prominent P2 sound and right parasternal heave, also suggestive of right ventricular dysfunction.

Now, 6 hours have passed, and even though she has been receiving intravenous heparin during this time, her shock index remains greater than 1, indicating hemodynamic instability. Her pulse rate is still markedly high—over 160 bpm—and she still appears quite anxious and uncomfortable.

### HOW SHOULD THIS PATIENT BE TREATED?

3 Which of the following is the most appropriate treatment for this patient?

- **☐** Start warfarin immediately while bridging with unfractionated heparin, low-molecular-weight heparin, or fondaparinux
- **☐** Start fibrinolysis with alteplase
- **☐** Give metoprolol intravenously to control her heart rate
- **☐** Start dabigatran immediately while bridging with unfractionated heparin
- **☐** Place an inferior vena cava filter
- **☐** Consult cardiothoracic surgery for emergency pulmonary embolectomy

All patients with confirmed pulmonary embolism and no contraindications to anticoagula-

---

**TABLE 3**

**Contraindications to fibrinolysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of intracranial hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural cerebrovascular disease, such as an arteriovenous malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant intracranial neoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke in the past 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicion of aortic dissection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding diathesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent spinal or brain surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent trauma to head or face, with radiologic evidence of fracture or brain injury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently on anticoagulation therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncompressible vascular puncture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic or prolonged cardiopulmonary resuscitation (&gt; 10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent internal bleeding (in the past 2–4 weeks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of chronic, severe, and poorly controlled hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled hypertension at time of presentation (&gt; 180 mm Hg systolic, &gt; 110 mm Hg diastolic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke longer ago than 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major surgery within past 3 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The decision whether the benefits of fibrinolysis outweigh the risks should be made on a case-by-case basis.


A shock index score (heart rate/SBP) > 1 is a sensitive measure of risk.
tion should begin treatment with low-molecular-weight heparin, unfractionated heparin, or fondaparinux. In addition, this therapy should be started empirically while the patient is still undergoing diagnostic testing if the pretest probability of pulmonary embolism is intermediate or high.

**Warfarin** is indicated for all patients with pulmonary embolism who do not have contraindications to it (TABLE 3). If unfractionated heparin, low-molecular-weight heparin, or fondaparinux has not already been started, it should be started at the same time as warfarin and should be continued until the international normalized ratio (INR) is within the therapeutic range.

**Fibrinolysis.** Treatment with a fibrinolytic agent in addition to heparin results in faster improvement of right ventricular function and pulmonary perfusion than with heparin alone. It may also decrease the incidence of pulmonary hypertension secondary to chronic thromboembolic disease. It should be considered in patients with massive pulmonary embolism.

Whether fibrinolysis is appropriate for all patients with submassive pulmonary embolism remains controversial. Currently, it is not recommended for minor right ventricular dysfunction or myocardial necrosis if the patient has no signs of overt clinical decline. The Pulmonary Embolism Thrombolysis trial is an ongoing prospective randomized comparison of tenecteplase in a single bolus plus heparin vs heparin alone in normotensive patients with submassive pulmonary embolism, such as our patient. This trial may elucidate the benefit of fibrinolytic therapy in patients with submassive pulmonary embolism.

Patients at low risk are generally treated with heparin and warfarin anticoagulation alone. Fibrinolysis is not recommended for these patients, as the risk of bleeding outweighs the potential benefits.

**Metoprolol** may not be advisable for our patient, as her tachycardia is likely compensatory, and beta-blocker therapy could blunt this compensatory response, leading to inadequate systemic perfusion.

**Dabigatran** is an oral direct thrombin inhibitor that does not require laboratory monitoring. It is currently approved for the prevention of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. It has been shown to be as effective as warfarin in the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism and may be a viable option in the future, but as of this writing it has not yet been approved in the United States for this indication. Furthermore, dabigatran inhibits thrombin immediately, so continued heparin bridging would not be necessary.

An inferior vena cava filter may prevent recurrent pulmonary embolism for patients who have absolute contraindications to anticoagulation, most significantly in the short term, ie, in the first few weeks after placement. However, these devices have not yet been shown to improve long-term mortality rates.

**Embolectomy,** percutaneous or surgical, is also an option. For patients in whom thrombolytic therapy is not effective, “rescue” surgical embolectomy has been associated with better outcomes compared with secondary thrombolysis and so should be considered.

**Back to our patient**

An intravenous infusion of alteplase is started, and the patient’s tachycardia improves. Her oxygen requirements normalize, and she is transferred to the general medical floor the next day. She receives subcutaneous dalteparin as a bridge therapy, and warfarin is titrated to a goal INR of 2.0 to 3.0. Because of the acute deep vein thrombosis in her right lower leg, she is instructed to wear knee-high fitted compression hose for primary prevention of postphlebitic syndrome.

**HOW LONG TO TREAT? IS GENETIC TESTING INDICATED?**

Patients with a first episode of unprovoked venous thromboembolism should receive oral anticoagulants for 6 months, while those with recurrent unprovoked venous thromboembolism require lifelong oral anticoagulation.

Whether to test for inherited thrombophilia after a first episode of venous thromboembolism to guide the duration of anticoagulation is controversial. Indiscriminate testing has not been recommended in these patients, but the American College of Medical Genetics recommends genetic screening.
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for factor V Leiden in patients who have an unprovoked incident of venous thromboembolism before age 50.32

No randomized controlled trial has assessed whether thrombophilia testing decreases the recurrence rate of venous thromboembolism.33 One uncontrolled study suggested that testing for inherited thrombophilias in patients with a first episode does not affect the risk of recurrence.34 Testing is costly and may cause psychological distress for patients and family members.

Our patient is discharged home on warfarin for 6 months with subsequent follow-up evaluation in the thrombophilia clinic.

■ WHEN SHOULD WARFARIN BE RESTARTED?

If our patient were to discontinue oral anticoagulation in 6 months, which of the following, if present 1 month afterwards, would be a reason to restart oral anticoagulation?

☐ Elevated serum cotinine
☐ Positive pregnancy test
☐ Elevated follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinizing hormone and low estradiol levels
☐ Elevated D-dimer

Cotinine is a nicotine metabolite, and serum levels are elevated in smokers. Smoking and pregnancy both increase the risk of venous thromboembolism. However, smoking or pregnancy alone would not be a reason to increase the duration of anticoagulation.

Warfarin is contraindicated in pregnancy because of its teratogenic effects.

Warfarin is contraindicated in pregnancy because of its teratogenic effects.
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