ABSTRACT

Surgical resection for patients with stage I non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) produces high long-term survival rates, but many patients are ineligible for surgery because of medical comorbidity or other factors. Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) is the standard of care for patients with medically inoperable stage I NSCLC. Studies have reported local control rates with SBRT of about 95% when an adequate radiation dose is used. Lymph node failure averages less than 5%, while distant metastatic recurrence represents the most common site of failure. SBRT is generally safe and well tolerated even by patients with substantial pulmonary comorbidities. On average, lung function tests reveal little or no change from baseline, although individual patients may exhibit changes in pulmonary function after treatment. Most studies report pneumonitis rates of 0% to 5%. Ongoing clinical trials are investigating single-fraction SBRT and evaluating the maximal tolerated dose for centrally located tumors.

Surgical resection for patients with stage I non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is typically associated with survival rates of 60% to 70% after 5 years, and as high as 80% in some series.1 Although lobectomy or pneumonectomy improves outcomes compared with sublobar resection for many patients, a substantial number are ineligible for standard surgical resection because of cardiovascular disease or other conditions that are associated with unacceptably high perioperative risk. Observation alone is not a good strategy for patients who are ineligible for surgery. Studies comparing treatment outcomes associated with resection, radiation, and observation have demonstrated much shorter survival times and higher mortality for patients treated with observation only.2

Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) is the new standard of care for patients with medically inoperable stage I NSCLC. SBRT differs from standard radiation therapy in terms of dose, fractionation, field size, and targeting. Compared with standard radiation, SBRT offers a shorter and more convenient treatment regimen with improved local control and survival while lowering treatment cost.3,4 Although cancer-specific outcomes of patients in SBRT series are similar to those in surgical groups, they are not truly comparable because of dissimilarities between the two populations. The inoperable group has higher rates of comorbidity and death compared with the medically operable group; as many as one-third die from comorbid conditions rather than cancer, leading to short follow-up in many SBRT series. Surgical resection remains the standard of care for operable stage I NSCLC.
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Stereotactic radiation for patients with inoperable lung cancer

Standard external beam radiation has had disappointing outcomes for stage I NSCLC, likely because of inadequate treatment doses. Delivery of 60 Gy (in two consecutive courses of 30 Gy in 10 fractions) was associated with a 5-year survival rate of 38% for patients with primary tumors less than 2 cm in size, 22% for tumors 2 to 3 cm in size, 5% for tumors 3 to 4 cm in size, and 0% for larger tumors.5 Most studies, but not all, have reported improved treatment outcomes for patients receiving higher radiation doses.6 Biologic and statistical modeling of tumor responses across different radiation dose levels suggests that doses as high as 80 to 90 Gy are needed to achieve a recurrence-free survival rate of 50% (Figure 1), though this level is beyond the dose achieved by most standard external beam regimens.7

Modern standard external beam radiation doses without chemotherapy for stage I lung cancer are
approximately 60 to 74 Gy. The dose fractionation schedule used with SBRT delivers much higher equivalent doses (83 Gy to 150 Gy), although the true biologically equivalent dose (BED) is not yet perfectly understood. Most clinical studies that have examined the effectiveness of SBRT have demonstrated local control rates in excess of 90% to 95% when an adequate dose (BED ≥ 100 Gy) is utilized, since the dose-response curve appears to plateau at this level. These response rates are higher than the 50% to 60% rate observed with conventional radiation. Efforts to confirm these comparative results in randomized trials have been largely abandoned because of the perceived advantage with SBRT.

### Peripheral versus Central Tumors

Stereotactic body radiotherapy has been referred to as “radiosurgery,” in part because the extremely high doses used to treat tumor are ablative to the immediate surrounding tissue. The consequences of ablation depend on whether the treatment involves parallel or serial tissue. Parallel tissue, such as lung, kidney, or liver, remains functional after the ablation or removal of small subunits if adequate volume of functional organ remains. With serial tissue such as the spinal cord or bowel, damage to one section results in loss of function at distal sites. Although the lung is parallel tissue, it includes serial structures such as the trachea and proximal bronchial tree. Tumors located within 2 cm of the proximal bronchial tree are classified as central, whereas tumors outside this zone are peripheral.

**Peripheral Tumors**

Peripheral lung tumors are surrounded by only parallel tissue, and no maximum point-dose limit has been identified for their treatment. A recent cooperative group study (Radiation Therapy Oncology Group [RTOG] 0236) enrolled 55 patients, 80% with tumor stage IA (T1 N0) and 20% with stage IB (T2 N0). Patients with bronchoalveolar histology were excluded from the study. Patients received three radiation treatments of 20 Gy each (BED of 180 Gy) to their known tumor with a small margin, and were followed with serial computed tomography (CT). After a median follow-up of 34 months, only one of the 55 evaluable patients had a local tumor failure, for a local control rate of 97.6%. Three patients had recurrences in the initially involved lobe for a 3-year local control rate of 90.6%; two patients had nodal failures for a 3-year local regional control rate of 87.2%; and 11 patients had disseminated recurrences, for a 3-year distant failure rate of 22.1%.

Survival after 3 years was approximately 50%, which is much better than the survival rate typically attained with standard radiation therapy. Further, only 10 of the 26 deaths were attributed to lung cancer while 16 patients died of comorbid conditions such as stroke or myocardial infarction, illustrating the difficulty in tracking overall survival as a measure of efficacy in this medically fragile population.

Adverse events in this study were relatively rare. Seven patients had grade 3 or higher pulmonary complications, including hypoxia, pneumonitis, and pulmonary function test changes. Of note, the study scored changes in pulmonary function as toxicity; however, in this population, where nearly all patients have underlying lung disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbations are also common.

Our own analysis of pulmonary function changes in patients treated with SBRT at Cleveland Clinic demonstrated that while there was no significant change in average baseline, pulmonary function in almost 10% of patients met criteria for a grade 3 pulmonary toxicity. A similar number of patients had a proportional improvement in pulmonary function, however. Given a nearly comparable distribution of pulmonary function changes in both directions with no significant deviation from baseline in aggregate, most of these fluctuations may be related to changes.

RTOG 0236 demonstrated an excellent level of local control (97.6%) using 3 fractions of 20 Gy each (BED 180 Gy total). As noted, the dose response may plateau at 100 Gy BED,9 which raises the question of whether the radiation dose levels used in this study were higher than necessary. A recently completed randomized phase 2 clinical trial conducted by the RTOG compared 34 Gy in a single fraction versus 48 Gy in 4 fractions, and a similar study by Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York, and Cleveland Clinic is comparing 60 Gy in 3 fractions versus 30 Gy in a single fraction. These studies, once mature, should help define the optimal radiation dose and treatment schedule for patients with inoperable peripheral tumors.

Central tumors

Centrally located tumors are in proximity to both parallel tissues (normal lung) and serial tissues (trachea, bronchial tree, or esophagus), as well as imperfectly categorized tissues (heart and great vessels). An important question is whether it is possible to reach a radiation dose level of 100 Gy BED or higher in these tumors without causing excessive toxicity to normal tissues. Although there is a potential risk of cardiotoxicity with chest radiotherapy, clinical studies of SBRT for lung cancer have not demonstrated any evidence of toxicity to the heart or the great vessels with focal radiation. Some studies have suggested that radiotherapy of central lung tumors may be associated with other adverse events.

Awareness of central versus peripheral tumor locations was first raised in an early phase 2 study in which patients were treated with 60 to 66 Gy in 3 fractions over a period of 1 to 2 weeks. Grade 3 or higher toxicity during 2 years of follow-up was noted for 46% of patients with central tumors and 17% of patients with peripheral tumors.11 Six deaths that occurred during the study were considered to be possibly treatment-related, including four cases of bacterial pneumonia, one patient with pericardial effusion, and one patient with hemoptysis that was later ascribed to carinal recurrence.

Other studies using lower fraction sizes, however, have demonstrated excellent efficacy and safety in treating central tumors with SBRT. In early Japanese studies12,13 that used smaller fractions without tissue constraints, no differences in toxicity were noted with treatment of central versus peripheral tumors. A European study similarly demonstrated more than 90% local control at 3 years for a regimen of 60 Gy in 8 fractions (7.5 Gy/fraction).14 Currently the RTOG is conducting a dose escalation study examining doses from 50 Gy to 60 Gy (10 Gy to 12 Gy per fraction in 5 fractions). The study has reached its highest level (60 Gy in 5 fractions) with no evidence of excessive toxicity reported.

SAFETY AND TOLERABILITY

Overall, the data suggest that for both central and peripheral tumors, SBRT is well tolerated in the medically inoperable population. On average, studies that have examined the effects of radiation therapy on pulmonary function have demonstrated little or no loss of function with SBRT. Some studies have described transient decreases in function with subsequent return to baseline.15,16 Even if overall group median lung function scores do not change significantly as a result of SBRT, individual patients may exhibit large increases or decreases in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) or diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) after radiation therapy (Figure 2). These changes may be a function of underlying comorbidities as well as SBRT, given the minimal change in the average pulmonary function test measures.17

Radiation pneumonitis (an inflammatory complication of radiation frequently characterized by cough, fever, and shortness of breath) is rare—less than 5% in most series. An outlier is a single series that utilized 48 Gy in 4 fractions, a common and well-tolerated dose; the investigators reported a 30% rate of grade 2 through 5 (symptomatic) pneumonitis.18 Pneumonitis was significantly associated with the conformality index, a measure of how tightly the radiation beam is focused on the target tumor, emphasizing the importance of treatment technique on outcomes.

Other notes of caution for patients receiving SBRT include chest wall toxicity and neuropathy. Chest wall toxicity may include a variety of adverse events such as rib fractures, chest wall pain, and skin changes. These events have been described at chest wall radiation doses greater than 30 Gy.19 One study reported brachial plexopathy in 7 of 37 patients who received doses above 100 Gy BED delivered to the brachial plexus.20 Another recent study found that the probability of chest wall toxicity increased as the volume of chest wall receiving a 60 Gy dose increased above 15 to 20 cc.21 Esophagitis and skin reactions are rare except in cases where the patient is being treated for a tumor in extremely close proximity to the esophagus or skin.22

Computed tomography after SBRT often reveals substantial focal fibrosis in the region of high-dose lung radiation.23,24 Despite the often striking radiographic appearance, symptoms are rare and fibrosis
may sometimes be mistaken for tumor recurrence. CT images should be read by those experienced in following post-SBRT changes. Findings suspicious for recurrence are typically evaluated by positron emission tomography (PET) followed by biopsy only if PET demonstrates sufficient hypermetabolism.

### OPERABLE PATIENTS

Surgical resection is the standard of care for operable patients with lung cancer. Some studies are beginning to examine whether SBRT may also be useful in potentially operable patients. A Japanese study examined outcomes for 87 operable patients who underwent SBRT for stage I NSCLC and who were followed over a 55-month period. The local control rate was 92% for T1 tumors, a success rate approaching that of lobectomy. The success rate decreased to 73% for T2 tumors. Five-year overall survival rates were 72% for stage IA and 62% for stage IB, paralleling the surgical experience. Similar early results have been reported from the Netherlands. An RTOG study of medically operable patients recently completed enrollment after accruing 33 patients, with final results pending maturation of the data.

A major barrier to the introduction of SBRT to the operable population is the limited nature of the available data; SBRT technology has been implemented only recently and follow-up has been modest, owing to the nature of the medically inoperable population. In addition, it is difficult to determine during the first few months after SBRT which patients will be well controlled. Waiting for response to become apparent is an appropriate strategy for an inoperable patient with no alternatives, but operable patients need a trigger to indicate initiation of salvage therapies. In addition, lymph node dissection during surgery often provides information that is essential to tumor staging, and this information might be unavailable for patients treated with SBRT. It is also difficult to weigh the efficacy and tolerability of SBRT against surgical management because the two patient populations are not comparable.

### High-risk operable patients

Comparisons of surgery and SBRT for stage I NSCLC are in their infancy and subject to extreme selection bias. Some attempts to create matched populations have demonstrated similar outcomes in matched patients. Markov modeling suggests improved efficacy for surgery overall, but the model turns in favor of SBRT in patients whose predicted surgical mortality exceeds 4%.

### CLEVELAND CLINIC EXPERIENCE

At Cleveland Clinic, more than 700 patients with stage I NSCLC have been treated with SBRT since 2003. Peripheral tumors are typically treated with a radiation dose of 60 Gy in 3 fractions spaced over 8
to 14 days, or alternatively 30 Gy to 34 Gy in a single fraction. Occasional large tumors near the chest wall or spinal cord are treated with doses up to 50 Gy in 5 fractions over 5 consecutive days. For central tumors, radiation dose regimens include 50 Gy (5 fractions over 5 consecutive days) or 60 Gy (8 fractions over 10 days), depending upon tumor size and proximity to critical structures.

 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Many patients with NSCLC are ineligible for surgery because of COPD, cardiovascular disease, or other conditions associated with unacceptably high perioperative risk. SBRT is the standard of care for patients with medically inoperable stage I NSCLC. Modern standard radiation doses are typically between 50 to 60 Gy in 3 to 5 fractions. Local control rates in excess of 90% to 95% have been reported with these doses. SBRT is generally well tolerated by patients with both peripheral and centrally located tumors. On average, lung function is not substantially altered by SBRT, although individual patients may exhibit increased or decreased FEV1 and DLco values after treatment. Pneumonitis has been relatively rare in most studies, with typical rates of 0% to 5%. SBRT has been shown to produce reasonable rates of local control in potentially operable patients, although data are extremely limited in this population and there are important questions about salvage therapy and postprocedural evaluation in these patients. Several ongoing clinical trials are continuing to define the efficacy and safety of different radiation dosing procedures for patients with inoperable NSCLC.
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