Beyond office sphygmomanometry: Ways to better assess blood pressure

**ABSTRACT**

To diagnose and manage hypertension optimally, we may need to do more than measure the patient’s blood pressure in the office using traditional sphygmomanometry. A variety of devices—some already available, validated, and reimbursable, some still in development—provide more information and give us a better picture of the patient’s true hypertensive status, degree of blood pressure control, and risk of end-organ damage.

**KEY POINTS**

Traditional office blood pressure measurements have diagnostic limitations, since they are only snapshots of a very dynamic variable.

Ambulatory 24-hour blood pressure monitoring is a useful and proven tool and can reveal nocturnal hypertension, a possible new marker of risk.

Automatic devices can be used in the clinician’s office to minimize the “white coat effect” and measure blood pressure accurately.

Pulse-wave analysis provides physiologic data on central blood pressure and arterial stiffness. This information may help in the early identification and management of patients at risk for end-organ damage.

**MANUAL OFFICE MEASUREMENT HAS INHERENT LIMITATIONS**

Office blood pressure measurements do provide enormous information about cardiovascular risk and the risk of death, as shown in epidemiologic studies. A meta-analysis of 61 prospective observational studies that included more than 1 million patients showed that office blood pressure levels clearly correlate with increased risk of death from cardiovascular disease and stroke.

But blood pressure is a dynamic measure with inherent minute-to-minute variability, and measurement will not be accurate if the correct technique is not followed. Traditional office sphygmomanometry is a snapshot and does not accurately reflect a patient’s blood pressure in the real world and in real time.

Recently, unique patterns of blood pressure have been identified that may not be detected in the physician’s office. It is clear from several clinical trials that some patients’ blood pressure is transiently elevated in the first few minutes during office measurements (the “white coat effect”). In addition, when office measure-
blood pressure measurements are compared with out-of-office measurements, several patterns of hypertension emerge that have prognostic value. These patterns are white coat hypertension, masked hypertension, nocturnal hypertension, and failure of the blood pressure to dip during sleep.

■ **WHITE COAT EFFECT**

The white coat effect is described as a transient elevation in office blood pressure caused by an alerting reaction when the pressure is measured by a physician or a nurse. It may last for several minutes. The magnitude of blood pressure elevation has been noted to be higher when measured by a physician than when measured by a nurse. Multiple blood pressure measurements taken over 5 to 10 minutes help eliminate the white coat effect. In a recent study, 236% of patients with hypertension demonstrated the white coat effect.

In a study by Mancia et al, 346 patients underwent intra-arterial blood pressure monitoring for 2 days, during which time a physician or a nurse would check their blood pressure repeatedly over 10 minutes. This study found that most patients demonstrated the white coat effect: the blood pressure was higher in the first few measurements, but came down after 5 minutes. The white coat effect was as much as 22.6 ± 1.8 mm Hg when blood pressure was measured by a physician and was lower when measured by a nurse.

■ **WHITE COAT HYPERTENSION**

In contrast to the white coat effect, which is transient, white coat hypertension is defined as persistent elevation of office blood pressure measurements with normal blood pressure levels when measured outside the physician’s office. Depending on the population sampled, the prevalence of white coat hypertension ranges from 12% to 20%, but this is understandably difficult or almost impossible to detect with traditional office blood pressure measurements alone.4-7

■ **MASKED HYPERTENSION**

Patients with normal blood pressure in the physician’s office but high blood pressure during daily life were found to have a higher risk of cardiovascular events. This condition is called masked hypertension.9 For clinicians, the danger lies in underestimating the patient’s risk of cardiovascular events and, thus, undertreating the hypertension. Preliminary data on masked hypertension show that the rates of end-organ damage and cardiovascular events are slightly higher in patients with masked hypertension than in patients with sustained hypertension.

■ **NOCTURNAL HYPERTENSION**

Elevated nighttime blood pressure (>125/75 mm Hg) is considered nocturnal hypertension and is generally considered a subgroup of masked hypertension.9

In the African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension (AASK), 10,11 although most patients achieved their blood pressure goal during the trial, they were noted to have relentless progression of renal disease. On ambulatory 24-hour blood pressure monitoring during the cohort phase of the study, 10 a high prevalence of elevated nighttime blood pressure (66%) was found. Further analysis showed that the elevated nighttime blood pressure was associated with worse hypertension-related end-organ damage. It is still unclear if lowering nighttime blood pressure improves clinical outcomes in this high-risk population.

■ **DIPPING VS NONDIPPING**

The mean blood pressure during sleep should normally decrease by 10% to 20% compared with daytime readings. “Nondipping,” ie, the lack of this nocturnal dip in blood pressure, carries a higher risk of death from cardiovascular causes, even if the person is otherwise normotensive.12,13 Nondipping is commonly noted in African Americans, patients with diabetes, and those with chronic kidney disease.

A study by Lurbe et al14 of patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus who underwent ambulatory 24-hour blood pressure monitoring found that the onset of the nondipping phenomenon preceded microalbuminuria (a risk factor for kidney disease). Data from our institution15 showed that nondipping was associated with a greater decline in glomerular
filtration rate when compared with dipping.

The lack of reproducibility of a person’s dipping status has been a barrier in relying on this as a prognostic measure. White and La-rocca16 found that only about half of the patients who appeared to be nondippers on one 24-hour recording still were nondippers on a second recording 4 to 8 weeks later. Compared with nondipping, nocturnal hypertension is a more stable blood pressure pattern that is being increasingly recognized in patients undergoing 24-hour blood pressure monitoring.

**AUTOMATIC BLOOD PRESSURE DEVICES**

An automated in-office blood pressure measurement device is one way to minimize the white coat effect and obtain a more accurate blood pressure assessment. Devices such as BpTRU (BpTRU Medical Devices Ltd, Coquitlam, BC, Canada) are programmed to take a series of automatic, oscillometric readings at regular intervals while the patient is left alone in a quiet room. BpTRU has been validated in several clinical trials and has been shown to overcome the white coat effect to some extent. Myers et al17 compared 24-hour blood pressure readings with those obtained by a family physician, by a research technician, and by the BpTRU device and found that the BpTRU readings were much closer to the average of awake blood pressure readings on 24-hour blood pressure monitoring.

**AMBULATORY 24-HOUR BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING**

Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring provides average blood pressure readings over a 24-hour period that correlate more closely with cardiovascular events when compared with office blood pressure readings alone. The patient wears a portable device that is programmed to automatically measure the blood pressure every 15 minutes during the day and every 30 minutes during the night, for 24 hours. These data are then transferred to a computer program that provides the average of 24-hour, awake-time, and sleep-time readings, as well as a graph of the patient’s blood pressure level during the 24-hour period (FIGURE 1). The data provide other valuable information, such as:

- Presence or absence of the nocturnal dip (the normal 10% to 20% drop in blood pressure at night during sleep)
- Morning surge (which in some studies was associated with higher incidence of stroke)
• Supine hypertension and sudden fluctuations in blood pressure seen in patients with autonomic failure.

Studies have shown that basing antihypertensive therapy on ambulatory 24-hour blood pressure monitoring results in better control of hypertension and lowers the rate of cardiovascular events.\(^{18,19}\)

Perloff et al\(^{18}\) found that in patients whose hypertension was considered well controlled on the basis of office blood pressure measurements, those with higher blood pressures on ambulatory 24-hour monitoring had higher cardiovascular morbidity and mortality rates.

More recently, Clement et al\(^{19}\) showed that patients being treated for hypertension who have higher average ambulatory 24-hour blood pressures had a higher risk of cardiovascular events and cardiovascular death.

After following 790 patients for 3.7 years, Verdecchia et al\(^{20}\) concluded that controlling hypertension on the basis of ambulatory 24-hour blood pressure readings rather than traditional office measurements lowered the risk of cardiovascular disease.

‘Normal’ blood pressure on ambulatory 24-hour monitoring

It should be noted that the normal average blood pressure on ambulatory 24-hour monitoring tends to be lower than that on traditional office readings. According to the 2007 European guidelines,\(^{21}\) an average 24-hour blood pressure above the range of 125/80 to 130/80 mm Hg is considered diagnostic of hypertension.

The bottom line on ambulatory 24-hour monitoring: Not perfect, but helpful

Ambulatory 24-hour blood pressure monitoring is not perfect. It interferes with the patient’s activities and with sleep, and this can affect the readings. It is also expensive, and Medicare and Medicaid cover it only if the patient is diagnosed with white coat hypertension, based on stringent criteria that include three elevated clinic blood pressure measurements and two normal out-of-clinic blood pressure measurements and no evidence of end-organ damage. Despite these issues, almost all national guidelines for the management of hypertension recommend ambulatory 24-hour blood pressure monitoring to improve cardiovascular risk prediction and to measure the variability in blood pressure levels.

■ USING THE INTERNET IN MANAGING HYPERTENSION

Green et al\(^{22}\) studied a new model of care using home blood pressure monitoring via the Internet, and provided feedback and intervention to the patient via a pharmacist to achieve blood pressure goals. Patients measured their blood pressure at home on at least 2 days a week (two measurements each time), using an automatic oscillometric monitor (Omron Hem-705-CP, Kyoto, Japan), and entered the results in an electronic medical record on the Internet. In the intervention group, a pharmacist communicated with each patient by either phone or e-mail every 2 weeks, making changes to their antihypertensive regimens as needed.

Patients in the intervention group had an average reduction in blood pressure of 14 mm Hg from baseline, and their blood pressure was much better controlled compared with the control groups, who were being passively monitored or were receiving usual care based on office blood pressure readings.

■ MEASURING ARTERIAL STIFFNESS TO ASSESS RISK OF END-ORGAN DAMAGE

Mean arterial blood pressure, derived from the extremes of systolic and diastolic pressure as measured with a traditional sphygmomanometer, is a product of cardiac output and total peripheral vascular resistance. In contrast, central aortic blood pressure, the central augmentation index, and pulse wave velocity are measures derived from brachial blood pressure as well as arterial pulse wave tracings. They provide additional information on arterial stiffness and help stratify patients at increased cardiovascular risk.

The art of evaluating the arterial pulse wave with the fingertips while examining a patient and diagnosing various ailments was well known and practiced by ancient Greek and Chinese physicians. Although this was less recognized in Western medicine, it was the pulse wave recording on a sphygmograph that was used to measure human blood pres-
Pulse-wave patterns in normal and stiff arteries

The left ventricle contracts during systole, generating a pulse wave that propagates forward into the peripheral arterial system. This wave is reflected back to the heart from the branching points of peripheral arteries.

With age or disease such as diabetes or hypercholesterolemia, arteries gradually lose their elastic properties and become larger and stiffer. In these arteries, the reflected wave returns faster and merges with the incident wave in systole. This results in a higher left ventricular afterload and decreased perfusion of coronary arteries, leading to left ventricular hypertrophy and increased arterial and central blood pressure.

Several trials have shown that these arterial indices have a better prognostic value than the mean arterial pressure or the brachial pulse pressure. For example, the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging followed 100 normotensive individuals for 5 years and found that those with a higher pulse wave velocity had a greater chance of developing incident hypertension. Other studies showed that pulse wave velocity and other indices of arterial stiffness are associated with dysfunction of the microvasculature in the brain, with higher cardiovascular risk, and a higher risk of death.

A major limitation in measuring these arterial stiffness indices is that they are derived values and require measurement of brachial blood pressure. New devices now measure arterial stiffness indices indirectly by applanation tonometry and pulse wave analysis (reviewed by O’Rourke et al).

Arterial stiffness indices—ie, central aortic blood pressure, the central augmentation index, and pulse wave velocity—can now be measured noninvasively and have been shown to correlate very well with measurements obtained via a central arterial catheter. In the past, the only way to measure central blood pressure was directly via a central arterial catheter. New devices now measure arterial stiffness indices indirectly by applanation tonometry and pulse wave analysis (reviewed by O’Rourke et al).

Several trials have shown that these arterial indices have a better prognostic value than the mean arterial pressure or the brachial pulse pressure. For example, the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging followed 100 normotensive individuals for 5 years and found that those with a higher pulse wave velocity had a greater chance of developing incident hypertension. Other studies showed that pulse wave velocity and other indices of arterial stiffness are associated with dysfunction of the microvasculature in the brain, with higher cardiovascular risk, and a higher risk of death.

A major limitation in measuring these arterial stiffness indices is that they are derived values and require measurement of brachial blood pressure.
pressure in addition to the pulse wave tracing. Recent hypertension guidelines released during the past 2 years in Europe, Latin America, and Japan have recommended measurement of arterial stiffness as part of a comprehensive evaluation of patients with hypertension.

■ EXCITING TIMES IN HYPERTENSION

These are exciting times in the field of hypertension. With advances in technology, we have new devices and techniques that provide a closer view of the hemodynamic changes and blood pressures experienced by vital organs. In addition, we can now go beyond the physician’s office and evaluate blood pressure changes that occur during the course of a usual day in a patient’s life. This enables us to make better decisions in the management of their hypertension, embodying Dr. Harvey Cushing’s teaching that the physician’s obligation is to “view the man in his world.”
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