An 18-year-old with effort-related arm swelling

An 18-year-old right-handed man presents to the emergency department with acute-onset swelling of the entire left arm. He is a high school senior with a baseball scholarship. He says he was fine until the night before. He says he has not recently changed his usual activities, except for raking leaves earlier that day.

On examination, his heart sounds are normal, with a regular rate and rhythm and no murmurs, and his chest is clear bilaterally on auscultation. His left arm is swollen, but no dilated veins are noted. His blood pressure is 160/70 mm Hg in his right arm and 150/70 mm Hg in his left arm.

WHICH IMAGING TEST?

1. Which is the most appropriate initial diagnostic test to evaluate this patient’s arm swelling?
   - Venography
   - Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)
   - Duplex venous ultrasonography
   - Plain radiography of the humerus
   - Computed tomography (CT)

Given this patient’s young age and the absence of trauma, a fracture is unlikely. His presentation with sudden onset of swelling in the arm raises the suspicion of deep vein thrombosis (DVT). In fact, from 4% to 10% of all cases of DVT occur in the arms.1-2

To prevent serious complications, upper extremity DVT needs to be treated promptly. Pulmonary embolism occurs in up to one third of patients with upper extremity DVT.3

Another serious complication is postthrombotic syndrome, a chronic condition that develops in 20% to 50% of patients after DVT and is characterized by chronic pain, swelling, heaviness, and skin changes in the affected limb.4-7 In the first study of its kind in patients with upper extremity DVT, Kahn et al8 showed that almost half the patients developed postthrombotic syndrome, that it was associated with significant functional disability and impaired quality of life, and that for many patients it required a change in lifestyle.8

Duplex venous ultrasonography is the best choice for initial diagnostic imaging because it is noninvasive and is highly sensitive and specific for peripheral—in this case jugular, distal subclavian, and axillary—DVT.1 One shortcoming is that acoustic shadowing from the clavicle may limit the view of a short segment of the subclavian vein, resulting in a false-negative study,9 so if DVT is strongly suspected on clinical grounds but the venous ultrasonography study is negative, further investigation is warranted.

Our patient underwent duplex venous ultrasonography, which revealed a left subclavian DVT.

Venography provides excellent definition of the venous anatomy but has drawbacks: it requires venous cannulation and a contrast agent, it is contraindicated during pregnancy, and it carries a small risk of venous thromboembolism.

MRI is an accurate and noninvasive method for detecting thrombosis and defining the venous anatomy, and its findings correlate well with those of venography.10 It can be used in situations where contrast venography is contraindicated.

Chest radiography. Up to 10% of DVTs occur in the arms.
an anomalous rib arising from the last cervical vertebra (cervical rib) (Figure 1). These patients may present with arterial compromise, neurogenic symptoms, or, as in this case, subclavian vein thrombosis. However, although chest radiography can show a cervical rib, it cannot show thrombosis and therefore is not the initial study to obtain. Our patient’s chest radiograph was normal.

CT of the chest would be appropriate if a mass in the thorax were suspected, especially in the apex of the lung (Pancoast tumor), since such a mass can cause vascular complications. However, this is less likely in an otherwise healthy 18-year-old, so CT would not be the initial diagnostic imaging test. Our patient’s chest radiograph was normal.

CT of the chest would be appropriate if a mass in the thorax were suspected, especially in the apex of the lung (Pancoast tumor), since such a mass can cause vascular complications. However, this is less likely in an otherwise healthy 18-year-old, so CT would not be the initial diagnostic imaging test. CT with contrast enhancement may also help identify thrombosis of the subclavian vein; however, it is not the initial test of choice. In addition, it can show evidence of chronic occlusive disease in the form of collateral venous drainage, but our patient seems to have an acute problem, not a chronic one.

**CAUSES OF UPPER EXTREMITY DVT**

2 Which is the strongest risk factor for upper extremity DVT?

- Cancer
- Central venous catheter
- Thrombophilia
- Idiopathic, effort-induced

The risk factors for upper extremity DVT differ from those for lower extremity DVT.

**Central venous catheters.** About 40% of patients who develop upper extremity DVT have a central venous catheter in place,11 and a recent study2 found these devices to be the strongest risk factor for upper extremity DVT. Other risk factors include cancer, pacemakers, inherited thrombophilias, oral contraceptive use, immobilization of the arm, and family history.12–14

The incidence of DVT of the upper extremities is on the rise, in part due to the growing use of indwelling devices such as catheters, pacemakers, and defibrillators, and in part due to refinements in duplex imaging, which improve our ability to identify thrombi in the upper extremities.

**Thrombophilias.** Data are limited as to the prevalence of hypercoagulable states in upper extremity DVT. One study15 documented lupus anticoagulants or anticardiolipin antibodies as the most common type of thrombophilia in patients with upper extremity DVT, found in 27% of patients. Factor V Leiden is the most common hereditary thrombophilia in patients with upper extremity DVT, occurring in 25.3% of patients in one study.16

**Cancer.** The association of malignancy with venous thrombosis is well known, and patients may present with spontaneous upper extremity DVT. However, most of these cases are in patients with an indwelling catheter.

**Idiopathic.** Our patient is otherwise healthy, has no indwelling catheter, and has no other symptoms. He has no family history of cancer or of a hypercoagulable disorder. He later undergoes testing for thrombophilia, but that is negative. This leaves idiopathic or effort-induced thrombosis.

**EFFORT-INDUCED THROMBOSIS**

Spontaneous or effort-induced DVT as a cause of pain and swelling in the arm was first postulated by Paget in England in 1875. Von Schroetter in Germany in 1884 was the first to relate the syndrome to thrombosis of axillary and subclavian veins.17,18 Subsequent investigations have revealed that, although the event seems to be spontaneous, it is actually the
result of an underlying chronic venous compressive anomaly at the thoracic outlet.19–21 Effort-induced DVT, also termed Paget-Schroetter syndrome, occurs in young, active persons and is characterized by spontaneous or effort-related upper extremity DVT, usually in the dominant arm, after repetitive physical activity involving the upper extremity, such as rowing, weight lifting, baseball pitching, playing volleyball, tennis, and swimming.22 Some have hypothesized that, with venous hypertension caused by compression at the thoracic outlet, heavy exertion—especially with arms in the overhead position—causes microtrauma to the vessel intima, activation of the coagulation cascade, and thrombosis.

The thoracic outlet can be compressed by adjoining bones such as a cervical rib or the clavicle, by fibrous or ligamentous bands, or by muscular structures such as hypertrophied anterior scalene or subclavius muscles.23,24 The prevalence of hypercoagulable states in patients with Paget-Schroetter syndrome is unknown. Case reports have been published,25,26 but the role of testing for thrombophilia in these patients remains unclear. Thrombophilia testing should be done in these patients only if the results would alter management, such as in antiphospholipid antibody syndrome.

### TABLE 1

**Provocative thoracic outlet maneuvers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MANEUVER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADSON TEST</strong></td>
<td>With the arm dependent, the patient is asked to hyperextend the neck, turn the chin towards the affected side, and take a deep breath. Positive test: Loss or decrease of radial pulsation, or bruit in the costoclavicular space, or reproduction of neurologic symptoms. Mechanism: Narrowing of the space between the scalenus anticus and medius, resulting in neurovascular compression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELEVATED ARM STRESS TEST (EAST) OR ROOS TEST</strong></td>
<td>Patient is asked to repeatedly clench and unclench the fists while keeping the arms abducted and externally rotated (palms upwards and forwards). Elbows are braced slightly behind the frontal plane. Positive test: Inability to carry on for 3 minutes due to forearm fatigue or cramping. Mechanism: Abduction and external rotation of the shoulder narrows the thoracic outlet and compromises subclavian artery blood flow, with repeated clenching movements adding stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HALSTED (COSTOCLAVICULAR) TEST</strong></td>
<td>Patient is instructed to take an exaggerated military position with shoulders thrust backward and downward. Positive test: Loss or decrease of radial pulsation, or bruit in the costoclavicular space, or reproduction of neurologic symptoms. Mechanism: Narrowing of the space between first rib and the clavicle, resulting in neurovascular compression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WRIGHT (HYPERABDUCTION) TEST</strong></td>
<td>Patient is asked to raise hands above the head with the elbows somewhat flexed and extending out laterally from the body. Positive test: Loss or decrease of radial pulsation or reproduction of symptoms. Mechanism: Constriction of the neurovascular bundle in the thoracic outlet beneath the insertion of the pectoralis minor tendon into the coracoid due to prolonged hyperabduction of shoulders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most patients with Paget-Schroetter syndrome present with a dull aching pain in the shoulder or arm associated with arm swelling, usually within 24 hours of the strenuous activity. Physical examination usually reveals edema and erythema. Occasionally, subcutaneous collateral veins over the upper arm and chest may appear dilated.

Corroborative clinical evidence for arterial compression or neurologic involvement can come from differences in blood pressure in the two arms and from tests for thoracic outlet syndrome, such as the Adson test, the costoclavicular maneuver, supraclavicular pressure, and the elevated arm stress test (Table 1). It should be noted that up to 10% of the normal population—ie, people with no pathologic abnormality—may have a positive thoracic outlet compression test.

Urschel and Razzuk evaluated 312 extremities in 294 patients with Paget-Schroetter syndrome and found that all of the extremities tested positive on at least one of the maneuvers listed in Table 1. In a study by Kunkel and Machleder, 84% of patients with Paget-Schroetter syndrome had a positive maneuver.

In our patient, an elevated arm stress test is positive, with palmar pallor bilaterally and forearm fatigue. On performing the other maneuvers described in Table 1, he develops a bruit in the region of the right subclavian artery without loss of the radial pulse, but with loss of the left radial pulse on abduction without a bruit in the region of the left subclavian artery, suggesting coexisting arterial compression. On the basis of his clinical history and presentation, he is diagnosed with effort-related DVT consistent with Paget-Schroetter syndrome.

**MANAGING PAGET-SCHROETTER SYNDROME**

- Catheter-directed thrombolysis
- Warfarin (Coumadin)
- Resection of the first rib
- All of the above
- None of the above

The management options for Paget-Schroetter syndrome include thrombolytic therapy, anticoagulants, surgery, or a combination of these. Improved understanding of the pathophysiology of Paget-Schroetter syndrome and advances in local catheter-based delivery of thrombolytic agents have led to the development of treatment algorithms designed to relieve venous obstruction, correct the underlying anatomic abnormality at the thoracic outlet, and prevent recurrence of thrombosis. The “best” approach is not known, however, because no prospective randomized controlled trial has compared the various management strategies.

Thrombolytic therapy of acute DVT leads to higher rates of vein patency and a lower incidence of postthrombotic syndrome than with standard anticoagulation therapy alone.27–29

One of the most widely accepted algorithms was initially proposed by Kunkel and Machleder in 1989. Their approach combined early local catheter-based thrombolytic therapy, a 3-month period of anticoagulation with warfarin, and delayed surgical decompression of the thoracic outlet with transaxillary resection of the first rib. A series of 50 patients, one of the largest studies of Paget-Schroetter syndrome, showed that this multimodal strategy was safe and effective.

**Surgery: Sooner vs later?**

Many patients with Paget-Schroetter syndrome are young and healthy and are at lower risk for bleeding complications from surgery. This has led some to advocate surgical decompression immediately or soon after thrombolysis. However, early surgical decompression could result in hemorrhagic and technical complications: thrombosis of any vein can be accompanied by an intense inflammatory reaction, and rib resection in this setting could present the opportunity for vein injury and hemorrhage.

In 2001, Angle et al published a review of 18 patients, 9 of whom underwent early surgery after thrombolysis and 9 of whom underwent the traditional thrombolysis and delayed surgery (ie, usually 3 months later). Performing surgery sooner (immediately after thrombolysis) rather than later did not increase the rates of perioperative complications or death.
However, not every patient can or agrees to undergo surgical decompression of the thoracic outlet during the initial hospital visit, and it is appropriate to recommend thrombolysis followed by anticoagulation until arrangements for surgery can be made, as in our patient (see below).

Which surgical approach?
The thoracic outlet can be decompressed by resecting either the first rib or the mid-portion of the clavicle. The most common approach is resection of the first rib through either a transaxillary or supraclavicular approach.

Both approaches have their proponents. A recent audit in the United Kingdom showed that 58% of surgeons preferred resecting the first rib, and that 55% of those preferred the transaxillary approach vs 28% who preferred the supraclavicular.

Patients with isolated obstruction of the subclavian vein have a more favorable outcome than do those with combined neurologic and venous pathologic features.

CASE CONTINUED

Our patient was admitted to the hospital and underwent successful catheter-directed thrombolysis with recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator (r-TPA). He then received heparin as a bridge to warfarin therapy. Anticoagulation was continued, and he was referred to a vascular surgeon for consideration of resection of his left first rib. Transaxillary left first rib resection was performed 4 weeks later. He recovered from the surgery without complications.

Four weeks after surgery he underwent bilateral upper extremity venography, which revealed patent basilic, brachial, axillary, subclavian, and brachycephalic veins on the left side. On abduction of the left arm, a small (20%–30%) stenosis was noted at the level of the subclavian vein (FIGURE 2), but this did not appear to be hemodynamically significant.

On the right side, the brachial, basilic, axillary, and subclavian veins were patent. But on abduction of the right arm, severe compression of the right axillary subclavian venous system was noted, which suggested thoracic outlet syndrome on the right side (FIGURE 2). The patient subsequently underwent successful transaxillary resection of the right first rib.

Comments
Bilateral venous abnormalities are not unusual in patients with effort-related upper extremity DVT. In fact, they have been reported in more than 50% of patients. In these patients, the contralateral thoracic outlet has usually been managed conservatively. However, in patients with significant venous compression with continued repetitive trauma, as occurs in certain athletic activities (eg, throwing a baseball), prophylactic thoracic outlet decompression, as per-

FIGURE 2. Follow-up venography in our patient after successful thrombolysis of a deep vein thrombosis of the left subclavian vein, anticoagulant therapy, and resection of the left first rib. In image A, the arrow indicates stenosis of 20% to 30% still present on the left on abduction of the arm, while image B shows severe occlusion on abduction of the right arm.
formed in this patient, can be considered.18,35 Paget-Schroetter syndrome or effort-related upper extremity deep vein thrombosis needs to be diagnosed and treated early to prevent long-term complications such as the postthrombotic syndrome, which may cause significant disability. Management is multimodal, with immediate catheter-directed thrombolysis followed by anticoagulation until decompression surgery can be performed.
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