Catheter-based transarterial therapies for hepatocellular cancer

ABSTRACT

As the prevalence of liver cancer increases, so does the demand for nonsurgical, minimally invasive alternatives to surgery, since many patients have tumors that cannot be surgically resected. Catheter-based hepatic arterial procedures may be an option in patients with primary and metastatic liver cancer. The authors describe four catheter-based hepatic arterial procedures and outline the management of potential complications during the immediate postprocedural period.

KEY POINTS

- Bland embolization carries a risk of pulmonary embolism if there is shunting between the pulmonary and hepatic circulation via the hepatic vein. Technetium-99m macroaggregated albumin scanning is done before the procedure to assess the risk.

- Postembolization syndrome—characterized by low-grade fever, mild leukocytosis, and pain—is common after chemoembolization. Therefore, after the procedure, the patient is admitted to the hospital overnight for monitoring and control of symptoms such as pain and nausea.

- Puncture-site complications include hematoma, pseudoaneurysm, infection, nerve damage, and arterial thrombosis. Systemic complications include postembolization syndrome, tumor lysis syndrome, hypersensitivity reactions, and contrast-induced nephropathy.

Liver cancer is increasing in prevalence; from 2000 to 2010, the prevalence increased from 7.1 per 100,000 to 8.4 per 100,000 people. This increase is due in part to an increase in chronic liver diseases such as hepatitis B and C and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. In addition, liver metastases, especially from colorectal cancer and breast cancer, are also on the rise worldwide. More than 60% of patients with colorectal cancer will have a liver metastasis at some point in the course of their disease.

However, only 10% to 15% of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma are candidates for surgical resection. And for patients who are not surgical candidates, there are currently no accepted guidelines on treatment. Treatment of metastatic liver cancer has consisted mainly of systemic chemotherapy, but if standard treatments fail, other options need to be considered.

A number of minimally invasive treatments are available for primary and metastatic liver cancer. These treatments are for the most part palliative, but in rare instances they are curative. They can be divided into percutaneous imaging-guided therapy (eg, radiofrequency ablation, microwave ablation) and four catheter-based transarterial therapies:

- Bland embolization
- Chemoembolization
- Chemoembolization with drug-eluting microspheres
- Yttrium-90 radioembolization.

In this article, we focus only on the four catheter-based transarterial therapies, providing a brief description of each and a discussion of potential postprocedural complications and the key elements of postprocedural care.
The rationale for catheter-based transarterial therapy
Primary and metastatic liver malignancies depend mainly on the hepatic arterial blood supply for their survival and growth, whereas normal liver tissue is supplied mainly by the portal vein. Therapy applied through the hepatic arterial system is distributed directly to malignant tissue and spares healthy liver tissue. (Note: The leg is the route of access for all catheter-based transarterial therapies.)

■ BLAND EMBOLIZATION
In transarterial bland embolization, tiny spheres of a neutral (ie, bland) material are injected into the distal branches of the arteries that supply the tumor. These microemboli, 45 to 150 μm in diameter, permanently occlude the blood vessels.

Bland embolization carries a risk of pulmonary embolism if there is shunting between the pulmonary and hepatic circulation via the hepatic vein. Fortunately, this serious complication is rare. Technetium-99m macroaggregated albumin (Tc-99m MAA) scanning is done before the procedure to assess the risk.

Posttreatment care and follow-up
Patients require follow-up with contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) 6 to 8 weeks after the procedure to evaluate tumor regression.

Further treatment
If follow-up CT shows that the lesion or lesions have not regressed or have increased in size, the embolization procedure can be repeated about 12 weeks after the initial treatment. The most likely cause of a poor response to therapy is failure to adequately identify all tumor-supplying vessels.

■ CHEMOEMBOLIZATION
Transarterial chemoembolization targets the blood supply of the tumor with a combination of chemotherapeutic drugs and an embolizing agent. Standard chemotherapy agents used include doxorubicin, cisplatin, and mitomycin-C. A microcatheter is advanced into the vessel supplying the tumor, and the combination drug is injected as close to the tumor as possible.

Transarterial chemoembolization is the most commonly performed hepatic artery-directed therapy for liver cancer. It has been used to treat solitary tumors as well as multifocal disease. It allows for maximum embolization potential while preserving liver function.

Posttreatment care and follow-up
Postembolization syndrome, characterized by low-grade fever, mild leukocytosis, and pain, is common after transarterial chemoembolization. Therefore, the patient is usually admitted to the hospital overnight for monitoring and control of symptoms such as pain and nausea. Mild abdominal pain is common and should resolve within several days; severe abdominal pain should be evaluated, as chemical and ischemic cholecystitis have been reported. Severe abdominal pain also raises concern for possible tumor rupture or liver infarction.

At the time of discharge, patients should be instructed to contact their clinician if they experience high fever, jaundice, or abdominal swelling. Liver function testing is not recommended within 7 to 10 days of treatment, as the expected rise in aminotransferase levels could prompt an unnecessary workup. Bar- ring additional complications, patients should be seen in the office 2 weeks after the procedure.

Lesions should be followed by serial contrast-enhanced CT to determine response to therapy. The current recommendation for stable patients is CT every 3 months for 2 years, and then every 6 months until active disease recurs.

Safety concerns
A rare but serious concern after this procedure is fulminant hepatic failure, which has a high death rate. It has been reported in fewer than 1% of patients. Less severe complications include liver failure and infection.

Further treatment
Patients with multifocal disease may require further treatment, usually 4 to 6 weeks after the initial procedure. If a transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt is already in place, the patient can undergo chemoembolization as long as liver function is preserved. However, these patients generally have a poorer prognosis.

Only 10%–15% of patients with liver cancer are candidates for surgical resection.
CHEMOEMBOLIZATION WITH DRUG-ELUTING MICROSPHERES

In transarterial chemoembolization with drug-eluting microspheres, beads loaded with chemotherapeutic drugs provide controlled delivery, resulting in both ischemia of the tumor and slow release of chemotherapy. Several types of beads are currently available, with different degrees of affinity for chemotherapy agents. An advantage of the beads is that they can be used in patients with tumors that show aggressive shunting or in tumors that have vascular invasion. The technique for delivering the beads is similar to that used in standard chemoembolization.

Posttreatment care and follow-up

Postembolization syndrome is common. Treatment usually consists of hydration and control of pain and nausea. Follow-up includes serial CT to evaluate tumor response.

Safety concerns

Overall, this procedure is safe. A phase 1 and 2 trial showed adverse effects similar to those seen in chemoembolization. The most common adverse effect was a transient increase in liver enzymes. Serious complications such as tumor rupture, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and liver failure were rare.

YTTRIUM-90 RADIOEMBOLIZATION

In yttrium-90 radioembolization, radioactive microspheres are injected into the hepatic arterial supply. The procedure involves careful planning and is usually completed in stages. The first stage involves angiography to map the hepatic vascular anatomy, as well as prophylactic embolization to protect against unintended delivery of the radioactive drug to vessels of the gastrointestinal tract (such as a branch of the hepatic artery that may supply the duodenum), causing tissue necrosis. Another reason for mapping is to look for any potential shunt between the tumor’s blood supply and the lung and thus prevent pulmonary embolism from the embolization procedure. The gastric mucosa and the salivary glands are also studied, as isolated gastric mucosal uptake indicates gastrointestinal vascular shunting.

The mapping stage involves injecting radioactive particles of technetium-99m microaggregated albumin, which are close in size to the yttrium-90 particles used during the actual procedure. The dose injected is usually 4 to 5 mCi (much lower than the typical tumor-therapy dose of 100–120 Gy), and imaging is done with either planar or single-photon emission CT. The patient is usually admitted for overnight observation after angiography.

In the second stage, 1 or 2 weeks later, the patient undergoes injection of the radiopharmaceuticals into the hepatic artery supplying the tumor. If disease burden is high or there is bilobar disease, the treatment is repeated in another 6 to 8 weeks. After the procedure, the patient is admitted to the hospital for observation by an inpatient team.

Posttreatment care and follow-up

The major concern after yttrium-90 radioembolization is reflux of the microspheres through unrecognized gastrointestinal channels, particularly into the mucosa of the stomach and proximal duodenum, causing the formation of nonhealing ulcers, which can cause major morbidity and even death. Anti-ulcer medications can be started immediately after the procedure.

Postembolization syndrome is frequently seen, and the fever usually responds to acetaminophen. Nausea and vomiting can be managed conservatively.

The patient returns for a follow-up visit within 4 to 6 weeks of the injection procedure, mainly for assessment of liver function. A transient increase in liver enzymes and tumor markers may be seen at this time. A massive increase in liver enzyme levels should be investigated further.

Safety concerns

The postprocedural radiation exposure from the patient is within the acceptable safety range; therefore, no special precautions are necessary. However, since resin spheres are excreted in the urine, precautions are needed for urine disposal during the first 24 hours.

Further treatments

If there is multifocal disease or a poor response to the initial treatment, a second session can be done 6 to 8 weeks after the first one. Before the second session, the liver tumor is...
imaged. For hepatocellular carcinoma, imaging may show shrinkage and necrosis of the tumor. For metastatic tumors, this imaging is important as it may show either failure or progression of disease. For this reason, functional imaging such as positron-emission tomography is important as it may show the extrahepatic spread of tumor, thereby halting further treatment. A complete blood cell count may also be done at 30 days to look for radiation-related cytopenia. A scrupulous log of the radiation dose received by the patient should be maintained.

\section*{PUNCTURE-SITE COMPLICATIONS}

\subsection*{Hematoma}
Hematoma at the puncture site is the most common complication of arterial access, with an incidence of 5\% to 23\%. The main clinical findings are erythema and swelling at the puncture site, with a palpable hardening of the skin. Pain and decreased range of motion in the affected extremity can also occur.

Simple hematomas exhibit a stable size and hemoglobin count and are managed conservatively. Initial management involves marking the site and checking frequently for a change in size, as well as applying pressure. Strict bed rest is recommended, with the affected leg kept straight for 4 to 6 hours. The hemoglobin concentration and hematocrit should be monitored for acute blood loss. Simple hematomas usually resolve in 2 to 4 weeks.

Complicated hematoma is characterized by continuous blood loss and can be compounded by a coagulopathy coexistent with underlying liver disease. Severe blood loss can result in hypotension and tachycardia with an acute drop in the hemoglobin concentration.

Of note, a complicated hematoma can manifest superficially in the groin and may not change size over time, as most of the bleeding is intrapelvic.

Complicated hematomas require management by an interventional radiologist, including urgent noncontrast CT of the pelvis to evaluate for bleeding. In severe cases, embolization or stent graft placement by the interventional radiologist may be necessary. Open surgical evacuation is usually done only when compartment syndrome is a concern.

\subsection*{Pseudoaneurysm}
Pseudoaneurysm occurs in 0.5\% to 9\% of patients who undergo arterial puncture. It primarily arises from difficulty with cannulation of the artery and from inadequate compression after removal of the vascular sheath.

The signs of pseudoaneurysm are similar to those of hematoma, but it presents with a palpable thrill or bruit on auscultation. Ultrasoundography is used for diagnosis.

As with hematoma treatment, bed rest and close monitoring are important. Mild pseudoaneurysm usually responds to manual compression for 20 to 30 minutes. More severe cases may require surgical intervention or percutaneous thrombin injection under ultrasonographic guidance.

\subsection*{Infection}
Infection of the puncture site is rare, with an incidence of about 1\%. However, with the advent of closure devices such as Angio-Seal (St. Jude Medical), the incidence of infection has been on the rise, as these devices leave a tract from the skin to the vessel, providing a nidus for infection.

The hallmarks of infection are straightforward and include pain, swelling, erythema, fever, and leukocytosis, and treatment involves antibiotics.

\subsection*{Nerve damage}
In rare cases, puncture or postprocedural compression can damage surrounding nerves. The incidence of nerve damage is less than 0.5\%. Symptoms include numbness and tingling at the access site and limb weakness. Treatment involves symptomatic management and physical therapy. Nerve damage can also result from nerve sheath compression by a hematoma.

\subsection*{Arterial thrombosis}
Arterial thrombosis can occur at the site of sheath entry, but this can be avoided by administering anticoagulation during the procedure. Classic symptoms include the “5 P’s”: pain, pallor, paresthesia, pulselessness, and paralysis. Treatment depends on the clot burden, with small clots potentially dissolving and larger clots requiring possible thrombolysis, embolectomy, or surgery.
SYSTEMIC CONSIDERATIONS

Postembolization syndrome
Postembolization syndrome is characterized by low-grade fever, mild leukocytosis, and pain. Although not a true complication of the procedure, it is an expected event in postprocedural care and should not be confused with systemic infection.

The pathophysiology of postembolization syndrome is not completely understood, but it is believed to be a sequela of liver necrosis and resulting inflammatory reaction. The incidence has been reported to be as high as 90% to 95%, with 81% of patients reporting nausea, vomiting, malaise, and myalgias; 42% of patients experience low-grade fever. Higher doses of chemotherapy and inadvertent embolization of the gallbladder have been associated with a higher incidence of postembolization syndrome.

Symptoms typically peak within 5 days of the procedure and can last up to 10 days. If symptoms do not resolve during this time, infection should be ruled out. Blood cultures and aspirates from infarcted liver tissue remain sterile in postembolization syndrome, thus helping to rule out infection.

Treatment with corticosteroids, analgesics, antinausea drugs, and intravenous fluids have all been used individually or in combination, with varying success rates. Prophylactic antibiotic treatment does not appear to play a role.

Tumor lysis syndrome
Tumor lysis syndrome—a complex of severe metabolic disturbances potentially resulting in nephropathy and kidney failure—is extremely rare, with only a handful of individual case reports. It can occur with any embolization technique. Hsieh et al reported two cases arising 24 hours to 3 days after treatment. Hsieh et al, Burney, and Sakamoto et al reported tumor lysis syndrome in patients with tumors larger than 5 cm, suggesting that these patients may be at higher risk.

Tumor lysis syndrome typically presents with oliguria and subsequently progresses to electrolyte abnormalities, defined by Cairo and Bishop as a 25% increase or decrease in the serum concentration of two of the following within 7 days after tumor therapy: uric acid, potassium, calcium, or phosphate. Treatment involves correction of electrolyte disturbances, as well as aggressive rehydration and allopurinol for high uric acid levels.

Hypersensitivity to iodinated contrast
Contrast reactions range from immediate (within 1 hour) to delayed (from 1 hour to several days after administration). The most common symptoms of an immediate reaction are pruritus, flushing, angioedema, bronchospasm, wheezing, hypotension, and shock. Delayed reactions typically involve mild to moderate skin rash, mild angioedema, minor erythema multiforme, and, rarely, Stevens-Johnson syndrome. Dermatology consultation should always be considered for delayed reactions, particularly for severe skin manifestations.

Immediate reactions should be treated with intravenous (IV) fluid support and bronchodilators, and in life-threatening situations, epinephrine. Treatment of delayed reaction is guided by the symptoms. If the reaction is mild (pruritus or rash), secure IV access, have oxygen on standby, begin IV fluids, and consider giving diphenhydramine 50 mg IV or by mouth. Hydrocortisone 200 mg IV can be substituted if the patient has a diphenhydramine allergy. For severe reactions, epinephrine (1:1,000 intramuscularly or 1:10,000 IV) should be given immediately.

Ideally, high-risk patients (ie, those with known contrast allergies) should avoid contrast medium if possible. However, if contrast is necessary, premedication should be provided. The American College of Radiology recommends the following preprocedural regimen: prednisone 50 mg by mouth 13 hours, 7 hours, and 1 hour before contrast administration, then 50 mg of diphenhydramine (IV, intramuscular, or oral) 1 hour before the procedure. Methylprednisolone 32 mg by mouth 12 hours and 2 hours before the procedure is an alternative to prednisone; 200 mg of IV hydrocortisone can be used if the patient cannot take oral medication.

Hypersensitivity to embolizing agents
In chemoembolization procedures, ethiodized oil is used as both a contrast medium and an occluding agent. This lipiodol suspension is combined and injected with the chemotherapy drug.
Hypersensitivity reactions have been reported, but the mechanism is not well understood.

One study\(^{10}\) showed a 3.2% occurrence of hypersensitivity to lipiodol combined with cisplatin, a frequently used combination. The most common reaction was dyspnea and urticaria (observed in 57% of patients); bronchospasm, altered mental status, and pruritus were also observed in lower frequencies. Treatment involved corticosteroids and antihistamines; blood pressure support with vasopressors was used as needed.\(^{41}\)

**Contrast-induced nephropathy**

Contrast-induced nephropathy is defined as a 25% rise in serum creatinine from baseline after exposure to iodinated contrast agents. Patients particularly at risk include those with preexisting renal impairment, diabetes mellitus, or acute renal failure due to dehydration. Other risk factors include age, preexisting cardiovascular disease, and hepatic impairment.

Prophylactic strategies rely primarily on intravenous hydration before exposure. The use of N-acetylcysteine can also be considered, but its effectiveness is controversial and it is not routinely recommended in the United States.

Managing acute renal failure, whether new or due to chronic renal impairment, should first involve rehydration. In cases of a severe rise in creatinine or uremia, dialysis should be considered as well as a nephrology consultation.\(^{44,45}\)
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