Long-acting reversible contraception: Who, what, when, and how

This review provides practical tips—and dispels some common misconceptions—about these devices, which have higher rates of patient satisfaction and lower rates of failure than any other reversible contraceptives.

The number of women using long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) in the United States has been increasing, with current use accounting for approximately 18% of reversible contraception, according to the National Survey of Family Growth.1,2 LARC includes any method of contraception that lasts ≥3 years, is easily reversed, and does not rely on the user to maintain efficacy. Five LARC devices are available in the United States: 4 intrauterine devices (IUDs) and one subdermal implant.

The number of women using LARC is surprisingly low, given that it is considered a first-line contraceptive method for most women and adolescents,3 and when compared with other forms of reversible contraception, is more efficacious,4-6 has higher satisfaction rates,7-9 and higher rates of continuation.9 In fact, the Contraceptive CHOICE Project—a St. Louis community-based research program promoting and enabling access to reversible contraceptive methods—has shown that when appropriate counseling is provided and cost barriers are removed, up to 79% of women choose LARC as their preferred method of contraception.10

CASES

Jenny, who is 16 years old, comes to your office with her mother to discuss contraceptive options. She is nulliparous, has regular menses, and, aside from a body mass index (BMI) of 28, has no medical problems. Her mother is concerned about Jenny becoming pregnant while she is still in high school.

Maria D, a 32-year-old G2P1, comes in for a prenatal visit with her husband. She tells you that after delivery she is interested in a long-acting contraceptive, but is planning on breastfeeding and does not want anything to interfere with that.
The 4 IUDs and one implant approved for use are all viable options depending on a patient’s preference and comorbidities (TABLE 1). The copper IUD is the oldest method of LARC available and the only one that is non-hormonal. It is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use up to 10 years, but studies support its effectiveness for up to 12 years.

The remaining IUDs (Skyla, Liletta, Mirena) contain varying amounts of the progestin levonorgestrel (LNG), released by each device at a slightly different rate that declines over time. Skyla releases a significantly lower dose of hormone than Liletta or Mirena. Skyla and Liletta are FDA-approved for up to 3 years of use, and Liletta is currently undergoing trials to gain approval for use up to 5 years. Mirena is FDA-approved for use up to 5 years, but studies have shown that it can be effective for 7 years.

The only implant available in the US is Nexplanon, a plastic rod containing 68 mg of etonorgestrel. It is inserted subdermally and is FDA-approved for use up to 3 years.

Through systemic hormonal effects, the primary mechanism of action of the implant is prevention of ovulation. Additionally, the implant has been shown to inhibit endometrial proliferation and cervical mucus thickening, both of which may contribute to the implant’s overall effectiveness. In contrast, both the copper IUD and the LNG-IUDs work primarily by preventing fertilization. The LNG-IUDs also exhibit local hormonal effects (endometrial atrophy and thickened cervical mucus) that contribute to their effectiveness.

Overweight and obese women enrolled in the Contraceptive CHOICE Project did not experience reduced contraceptive efficacy when using the implant when compared with normal-weight women.

Who is eligible for LARC?
LARC is suitable for the vast majority of women of reproductive age. For most multiparous women ≥20 years, all LARC devices are classified as category 1 (use without restriction) in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) US Medical Eligibility Criteria (US MEC). For women <20 years, the implant is also considered category 1, but IUDs in this age group are classified as category 2 (recommended with the caution that advantages usually outweigh risks) because of concerns about an increased risk of IUD expulsion and the increased prevalence of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in adolescents. Contraindications to use of LARC vary depending on the method chosen (TABLE 1).

There has been concern about the efficacy of implants in overweight women because the original trials of subdermal implants excluded women >130% of ideal body weight. However, according to the Contraceptive CHOICE Project, overweight and obese women enrolled in its program did not experience reduced contraceptive efficacy when using the implant when compared with normal-weight women.

When can LARC devices be inserted?

Rule out pregnancy before placing any LARC device. The copper IUD can be inserted at any time during the menstrual cycle without the need for back-up contraception. In contrast, for LNG-IUDs, back-up contraception is recommended for 7 days unless the insertion is done during the first 7 days of the menstrual cycle.

For the implant, recommendations about when to insert are based on a woman’s previous method of contraception (TABLE 2). If insertion is done at a time other than when recommended, advise patients to use barrier protection for 7 days after insertion.

Other issues often arise and cause concern about whether and when a LARC device can be inserted, including the possibility of undiagnosed STI, time elapsed since delivery, and advisability of use when breastfeeding.

Sexually transmitted infections and IUDs
Whether or not a woman chooses to receive an IUD, follow routine CDC guidelines in de-
terminating if a patient is a candidate for STI screening. If a woman wants an IUD and routine screening is recommended, you can perform screening on the day of IUD insertion. For women with an IUD already in place who are diagnosed with an STI, treat the infection while leaving the IUD in place. For women with a known or suspected STI who do not have an IUD already, treat the STI before inserting the IUD. The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) advises postponing insertion of an IUD until a negative STI test result is obtained 3 to 4 weeks after treatment completion.

**Breastfeeding concerns and timing of insertion postpartum**

The US MEC classifies insertion of the copper IUD as category 1 for all postpartum women, regardless of breastfeeding status, if placed >4 weeks postpartum or immediately postpartum (defined as within 10 minutes of the delivery of the placenta). IUD placement is category 2 (recommended with the caution

---

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LARC generic, brand name (duration of use)</th>
<th>Active ingredient (dimensions)</th>
<th>Absolute/relative contraindications</th>
<th>Satisfaction rate*</th>
<th>Continuation rate, 12/24 months*</th>
<th>Failure rate*</th>
<th>Common reasons for discontinuation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper IUD, ParaGard (10 yrs)</td>
<td>Copper 380 mm² (32 mm x 36 mm)</td>
<td>Distorted uterine cavity, gestational trophoblastic disease, Acute PID or STI, cervical cancer, endometrial cancer, unexplained vaginal bleeding (DNI/OKC)</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>84%-85%/77%</td>
<td>0.6%–0.8%</td>
<td>Pain/cramping, 34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG-IUD, Mirena (5 yrs)</td>
<td>Levonorgestrel 52 mg (32 mm x 32 mm)</td>
<td>Breast cancer, distorted uterine cavity, gestational trophoblastic disease</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%/79%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>Pain/cramping, 28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG-IUD, Skyla (3 yrs)</td>
<td>Levonorgestrel 13.5 mg (28 mm x 30 mm)</td>
<td>Breast cancer &gt;5 yrs ago (risk &gt; benefit); acute PID or STI, cervical cancer, endometrial cancer, unexplained vaginal bleeding (DNI/OKC)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG-IUD, Liletta (3 yrs)</td>
<td>Levonorgestrel 52 mg (32 mm x 32 mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdermal implant, Nexplanon (3 yrs)</td>
<td>Etonorgestrel 68 mg (4 cm x 2 mm)</td>
<td>Breast cancer, Breast cancer &gt;5 yrs ago, unexplained vaginal bleeding (risk &gt; benefit)</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>83%/69%</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>Irregular bleeding, 53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparative data for other methods of contraception**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oral contraceptives</th>
<th>Injectable contraception</th>
<th>Patch</th>
<th>Vaginal ring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>56%-58%/38%</td>
<td>49%/40%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55%-59%/43%</td>
<td>56%-58%/43%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DNI/OKC, do not initiate if condition exists/okay to continue use if condition arises; IUD, intrauterine device; LARC, long-acting reversible contraception; LNG, levonorgestrel; N/A, not available; PID, pelvic inflammatory disease; STI, sexually transmitted infection.

* Data in the last 4 columns are taken from the Contraceptive CHOICE Project, representing more than 10,000 women.
that advantages usually outweigh risks) if placed ≥10 minutes after placental delivery (until 4 weeks postpartum) because of an increased risk of expulsion.3

The US MEC also considers use of the implant and LNG-IUDs in breastfeeding women as category 1 if the device is placed at ≥4 weeks postpartum. Insertion at <4 weeks postpartum is considered category 2 because of concerns for decreased breast milk supply.3 However, studies on whether progestin-containing LARC devices affect breastfeeding have yielded varying results. In one randomized controlled trial (RCT) of 69 breastfeeding women using the implant, breastfeeding duration and milk production were not dependent on the timing of insertion after delivery.21 Another RCT of 96 women using LNG-IUDs showed fewer women continued to breastfeed at 6 months when their LNG-IUD was inserted immediately postpartum, compared with waiting 6 weeks.22

In addition to a concern about breast milk supply, breastfeeding women have a higher risk for uterine perforation from IUDs, especially during the first 36 weeks after delivery.23 Several studies have shown that there is a lower repeat pregnancy rate among women who receive immediate postpartum LARC placement.24 However, even if IUD insertion is performed immediately postpartum, there is a higher expulsion rate than when the IUD is inserted ≥4 weeks postpartum. The expulsion rates for insertion <10 minutes after vaginal delivery range from 9.5% to 15% for the copper IUD to as high as 24% for the LNG-IUDs. Expulsion rates for all IUDs are slightly lower for cesarean delivery.4,25,26 ACOG supports immediate post-placental placement for women with barriers to postpartum care or limited access to contraception.4

How can I help my patients make an informed choice?
Provide counseling on efficacy, common adverse effects, risks, and complications.

Efficacy is high
The failure rate of LARC is equal to, or lower than, that of female sterilization and is significantly lower than that of oral contraceptives (Table 1).4,6 Not only are LARC devices extremely effective, they have a higher rate of satisfaction than any other reversible contraceptive (Table 1).7,8

Common adverse effects
The most common adverse effect seen with all LARC devices is an alteration in menstrual bleeding, and a frequent adverse effect with IUDs is pain. Vaginitis is less common and can be seen with any of the devices. The progestin-containing LARC devices are associated with...
associated with hormonal effects: vaginitis, headache, weight gain, acne, breast pain, hair loss, and emotional lability.12-15

- **Copper IUD.** Many women using the copper IUD experience either a transient increase in menstrual bleeding lasting for a few months or inter-menstrual bleeding that tends to continue for the duration of use.4,17 However, according to data from the Contraceptive CHOICE Project, the most common reason cited for early discontinuation of the copper IUD is pain and cramping.9

- **LNG-IUDs.** Like the copper IUD, many users of LNG-IUDs experience an initial increase in menstrual bleeding. However, unlike the other LARC devices, 20% to 33% of Mirena users are likely to experience amenorrhea after one year of use and 70% at 2 years.4,14 According to package inserts, amenorrhea after 3 years is less common with both Skyla (12%) and Liletta (38%).12,13 As with the copper IUD, based on data from the Contraceptive CHOICE Project, the most common reason cited for early discontinuation of LNG-IUDs is pain and cramping.9

- **Subdermal implant.** Changes in menstrual patterns in women using the subdermal implant range from amenorrhea (22%) to prolonged bleeding (18%).15,17 Although it is difficult to predict which pattern a particular woman will experience, heavier women are more likely to have heavier bleeding patterns, and initial bleeding patterns are predictive of future ones.4 The most common reason women choose to discontinue use of the implant is abnormal bleeding.5,9,27,28

**Newer IUDs do not increase risk of STIs**
Many patients and clinicians erroneously believe that IUDs increase the risk of STIs and therefore assume that patients with a history of STI are not appropriate candidates for an IUD.29 There is a slightly increased risk of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) in the first 21 days after insertion of an IUD. However, in contrast to older IUDs, currently available IUDs do not increase the general risk for STIs.17,30

Risk of infertility is nil
There is no risk of infertility from use of currently available LARCs. For those who want to become pregnant, fertility typically returns immediately after removal of the device, regardless of which method of LARC is used.11-15,30

**Complications of IUD insertion**

- **Uterine perforation.** Uterine perforation occurs in 0.8 to 2.1 per 1000 women, usually at the time of IUD placement. If IUD strings are not visible during a speculum examination, locate the IUD with ultrasound.4,17,30 If the IUD is in the abdomen, refer to a gynecologist for laparoscopic removal and select another form of contraception for use in the interim.30

- **Expulsion.** Rates of expulsion are low, occurring in less than 10% of women.4,17 and are not affected by parity or BMI.31 Expulsion rates are higher when the IUD is inserted immediately postpartum.4,25,26 Adolescents also have a 2-fold higher risk of uterine expulsion than older women.31

- **Ectopic pregnancy.** Although a woman’s overall risk of ectopic pregnancy is not increased by using an IUD,4 it is true that if a woman becomes pregnant with an IUD in place, the pregnancy is more likely to be ectopic. Thus, if pregnancy is confirmed in a woman with an IUD in place, rule out ectopic pregnancy.

The FDA and the World Health Organization recommend that if an intrauterine pregnancy is confirmed with an IUD in place and the strings are visible, the IUD should be removed.4 Although removing the IUD increases the risk of spontaneous abortion (SAB) as compared with pregnancies without an IUD in place, the risk of SAB is still lower than if the IUD is left in place.4 Additional risks of continuing a pregnancy with an IUD in place include increased risks of preterm labor, chorioamnionitis, and septic abortion.4,30

**Complications of subdermal implant insertion**
After insertion of the implant, women usually experience temporary bruising and soreness at the insertion site. Less than 1% of women develop an infection or hematoma.17 There is a low risk of nerve damage if the implant is inserted too deeply.15 Removal of the subdermal implant is recommended if pregnancy occurs.15

CONTINUED
CASE DECISIONS

Jenny has been using oral contraceptive pills, but not regularly. You suggest that LARC may be a better option and counsel her that if she does choose an IUD or the implant, it is likely that her menses will change. You provide information and reassurance that LARC is safe to use in adolescents. Jenny says she would like to try an implant. Six months later, Jenny returns and says the implant is working well. She has some irregular bleeding, but it is not bothersome.

You review with Ms. D the types of LARC devices available and reassure her that all are safe to use once breastfeeding is established. Ms. D says she would like to use an IUD and elects to wait until her postpartum visit to have an IUD inserted. Ms. D returns 6 months after IUD insertion; breastfeeding is going well, and she has not had any menstrual bleeding since delivery.
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