HIV: 3 cases that hid in plain sight

Having a high index of suspicion is key to recognizing the signs of HIV infection in patients without classic risk factors. How quickly would you have spotted these 3 cases?

**CASE 1**
Robert K, age 35, was referred by her family physician (FP) to a hematologist in November 2007 after her FP noted a platelet count of 63,000/mcl on a screening complete blood count (CBC; normal, 150,000-400,000/mcl). Ms. K also had asthma, hypothyroidism, depression, and migraine headaches. She was given a diagnosis of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura and started on oral prednisone. Her platelet count improved and she was maintained on prednisone 7.5 to 10 mg/d over the next 5 years with periodic dosage increases whenever her platelet count dropped below 50,000/mcl. She saw her FP for regular medical care 3 to 4 times a year and by a hematologist every 6 months.

In April 2012, Ms. K sought treatment from her FP for an acute painful rash consistent with herpes zoster involving the left C5-C6 dermatomes. Due to severe pain and secondary infection, she was admitted to the hospital. During the hospitalization, the inpatient team caring for her obtained a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) serology, which was positive. Her only HIV risk factor was that she'd had 3 lifetime male sex partners.

Ms. K’s initial CD4+ T-cell count was 224 cells/mm$^3$ (normal, nonimmunocompromised adult, 500–1,200) and her percentage of CD4+ T-cells was 21% (normal, 30%-60%). Her HIV RNA level was 71,587 copies/mL; the goal of HIV treatment typically is to get this down to <200 copies/mL. She was started on antiretroviral therapy (ART) consisting of fixed-dose emtricitabine/tenofovir/tenofovir (200 mg/25 mg/300 mg) and was weaned off prednisone. Six months after starting ART, her CD4+ T-cell count was 450 cells/mm$^3$ and her HIV RNA level was <20 copies/mL. Her most recent platelet count was 148,000/mcl.

**The correct diagnosis:** Thrombocytopenia secondary to HIV infection.

**CASE 2**
Christian M, age 40, presented to his FP in February 2010 with worsening cough and shortness of breath that he’d had for 4 weeks. He said he had unintentionally lost 20 pounds...
The 3 patients described here illustrate a similar framing bias in that none of the physicians who cared for them in an outpatient setting perceived that their patient was at risk for HIV infection.

since the beginning of the year. He had no medical history of note, but had seen his FP on several occasions over the past few years for treatment of acute minor illnesses and an employment physical. He’d had no occupational exposures that might have affected his lungs, and he did not smoke.

He was initially diagnosed with bronchitis and treated with an oral antibiotic. Two weeks later, his symptoms persisted and Mr. M’s FP referred him to a pulmonologist. A chest x-ray showed an “interstitial process possibly consistent with pneumonia” for which the pulmonologist prescribed levofoxacin and oral prednisone for 10 days. At the follow-up visit, Mr. M had clinically improved. The diagnosis noted by the pulmonologist was “probably viral vs atypical pneumonia.”

Approximately 3 weeks later, in April 2010, Mr. M presented to the emergency department (ED) after several days of fever, cough, and worsening shortness of breath. A chest x-ray showed an interstitial pneumonitis that had worsened since the prior radiography. His pulse oximetry was 87% on room air.

A computed tomography (CT) scan of the chest revealed bilateral ground-glass opacities. The patient was admitted to the hospital and the next day underwent bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage. A Gomori methenamine silver stain for *Pneumocystis jirovecii* was positive, as was an HIV serology. Mr. M’s only reported risk factor for HIV was heterosexual contact. He had been in a stable relationship for over 14 years.

His baseline CD4+ T-cell count was 5 cells/mm³ (1%) and his HIV RNA level was >500,000 copies/mL. Several weeks later, Mr. M’s spouse tested positive for HIV. Her CD4+ T-cell count was 45 cells/mm³ (10%) and her viral load was 23,258 copies/mL. Although she was asymptomatic at the time of diagnosis, Ms. M was soon started on the same ART regimen as her husband.

**The correct diagnosis:** *Pneumocystis* pneumonia with symptoms of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) wasting syndrome.

**CASE 3** Michael L, age 66, was seen by his FP in September 2010 for “preoperative clearance” for elbow surgery. He was in good health but had a platelet count of 67,000/mcL. For unclear reasons, the surgery was cancelled; Mr. L was
supposed to be referred to a hematologist for the thrombocytopenia, but this consultation never occurred. The patient did not return to his FP until April 2012, when he complained of feeling “lightheaded and dizzy” for the past few weeks. His examination was remarkable only for mild orthostatic hypotension and he was diagnosed with “dehydration.”

He returned to the office in July 2012 with similar symptoms and a 12-pound weight loss since his last visit. He also complained of short-term memory problems. Lab testing was done and included a chemistry panel, thyroid-stimulating hormone test, and CBC, all of which were normal except for a hemoglobin of 11.1 g/dl, a white blood cell count of 2.4/mcl, and a platelet count of 119,000/mcl. The patient was advised to get a follow-up CBC in one month, but this was not done.

Mr. L returned in November 2012, again complaining of intermittent lightheadedness and fatigue, and said he had been experiencing “mouth sores.” He was given a diagnosis of “probable oral herpes infection” and treated with oral acyclovir. No lab studies were performed.

Mr. L was brought to the ED in February 2013 with fever and mental status changes that had developed over 2 to 3 days. According to a family member, he had also complained of headache for the previous 2 weeks.

A CT scan of his head was normal and he underwent a lumbar puncture. Cerebrospinal fluid revealed a white blood cell count of 270/mcl, glucose of 62 mg/dl, and protein of 15 mg/dL. A gram stain was negative, but an India ink stain was positive for encapsulated yeast forms consistent with Cryptococcus. Mr. L was diagnosed with cryptococcal meningitis and treated with intravenous amphotericin B and oral flucytosine. An HIV serology was positive. His CD4+ T-cell count was 8 cells/mm³ (3%) and his HIV RNA level was >500,000 copies/mL.

He was discharged from the hospital after 2 weeks and transitioned to oral fluconazole 400 mg/d for the meningitis. One week after discharge, he was started on an ART regimen of darunavir 800 mg, ritonavir 100 mg, and fixed-dose tenofovir/emtricitabine (200 mg/300 mg).

After 6 months of ART, he showed significant clinical improvement, his HIV-RNA level was <20 copies/mL and his CD4+ T-cell count was 136 cells/mm³ (12%). His female partner of 11 years tested negative for HIV.

The correct diagnosis: Cryptococcal meningitis; thrombocytopenia secondary to HIV infection.

These 3 cases illustrate what clinicians who treat patients with HIV/AIDS have observed for many years: Physicians often fail to diagnose patients with HIV infection in a timely fashion. HIV can be missed when patients present with clinical signs of immune suppression, such as herpes zoster, as well as when they present with AIDS-defining illnesses such as lymphoma or recurrent pneumonia. Late diagnosis of HIV—typically defined as diagnosis when a patient’s CD4+ T-cell count is <200 cells/mm³—increases morbidity and mortality, as well as health care costs.

Historically, late HIV testing has been very common in the United States. A Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) report noted that from 1996 to 2005, 38% of patients diagnosed in 34 states had an AIDS diagnosis within one year of testing positive for HIV.
Isolated hematologic abnormalities, including anemia and leukopenia, may be the initial presentation of HIV infection.9 As a result, HIV must be considered in the assessment of all patients who present with any hematologic abnormality.

**Pneumocystis pneumonia.** Pneumonia caused by the fungus *Pneumocystis jirovecii* has been a longtime AIDS-defining illness and is the most common opportunistic infection in patients with advanced HIV infection.10 A slow, indolent course is common, with symptoms of cough and dyspnea progressing over weeks to months (as observed in Mr. M). Radiographs will show diffuse or isolated ground-glass opacities. Partial improvement is sometimes seen in patients with unknown HIV infection who are treated with short courses of prednisone and antibiotics.11 Patients with untreated HIV infection and CD4+ T-cell counts <200 cells/mm³ will develop worsening hypoxemia and, in some cases, fulminant respiratory failure.

**Herpes zoster** is common in older adults and often indicates a weakened immune system. The incidence of zoster among adults with HIV is more than 15-fold higher than it is among age-matched varicella-zoster virus-infected immunocompetent people.12 A study from the early 1990s noted that nearly 30 cases per year were observed for every 1000 HIV-infected adults.12 Zoster tends to occur in patients with CD4+ counts >200 mm³. If HIV is not diagnosed when a patient presents with zoster, it may be several years before the CD4+ T-cell count declines to a level at which the patient will experience an opportunistic infection or malignancy. A diagnosis of herpes zoster should prompt you to consider HIV and test for infection, even in patients who do not have risk factors associated with HIV, as was the case with Ms. K.

**Cryptococcal meningitis.** Infections caused by *Cryptococcus neoformans* are now relatively infrequent in the United States but remain a major cause of AIDS-related morbidity and mortality in the developing world.13 Symptoms of cryptococcal meningitis, such as those observed in Mr. L, usually begin in an indolent fashion over one to 2 weeks. The most common presenting symptoms are fever,
Partial improvement is sometimes seen in patients with unknown HIV infection who are treated with prednisone and antibiotics.

**TABLE 3**

Who's at increased risk of HIV infection?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individuals at increased risk include those who:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inject drugs or share equipment (needles, syringes) with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have unprotected vaginal, anal, or oral sex with multiple partners or anonymous partners; men who have sex with men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange sex for drugs or money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are diagnosed with or treated for hepatitis, tuberculosis, or sexually transmitted diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have unprotected sex with anyone who falls into an above category, or with someone whose history is unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.


headache, and malaise. Nuchal rigidity, photophobia, and vomiting occur in only about 25% of patients. Mortality remains high for this infection if it is not treated aggressively.

**Implement routine HIV screening, avoid “framing bias”**

Prompt diagnosis of HIV infection is essential for several reasons. For one, it lowers the risk of life-threatening opportunistic infections and malignancies. For another, it can help to prevent transmission of HIV infection to partners and contacts.

Historically, HIV testing had been considered primarily for individuals with certain high-risk factors that increase their likelihood of infection (**TABLE 3**). However, in 2006, recognizing that risk-based testing failed to identify a significant number of people with HIV, the CDC began to recommend opt-out routine HIV screening for all adolescents and adults ages 13 to 64 years. In November 2012, the US Preventive Services Task Force issued similar recommendations.

In fact, routine screening would have likely led to earlier identification of HIV in 2 of the 3 patients in the cases described here. However, only 54% of US adults ages 18 to 64 years report ever having been tested for HIV, and among the 1.1 million people living with HIV/AIDS in the United States, approximately 15% do not know they are infected.

Physicians are frequently subject to “framing bias” in which diagnostic capabilities are limited to how we perceive individual patients. Finn et al reported a case of a 65-year-old “grandfather” with COPD who was eventually diagnosed with Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia and subsequently found to be HIV-infected, with a CD4+ T-cell count of 5 cells/mm³. A similar case involving an 81-year-old patient was reported in the literature in 2009 and raised the question of whether patients older than the currently recommended age of 64 years should also undergo routine screening for HIV.

The 3 patients described here illustrate a similar framing bias in that none of the physicians who cared for them in an outpatient setting considered their patient to be at risk for HIV infection.

To avoid this type of bias, we must remain vigilant in assessing risk factors for HIV infection while obtaining a patient’s medical history. However, even under ideal circumstances, our patients may not be forthcoming about their sexual behavior or drug use. Moreover, many others may be unaware that they were exposed to HIV. Consequently, FPs and other primary care providers should continue to incorporate routine HIV screening into their practices but also remember specific HIV risk factors and clinical indicators of disease.
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