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**Practice Points**
- Reapplication of ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% to the same treatment area on the face or scalp produced a less intense inflammatory reaction than the first treatment course.
- Ingenol mebutate may specifically target and remove transformed proliferating keratinocytes, cumulatively reducing the burden of sun-damaged skin over 2 treatment cycles.
- Almost all patients were either clear or almost clear of actinic keratosis lesions by 4 weeks following the second application of ingenol mebutate.

Ingenol mebutate gel is a topical field treatment of actinic keratosis (AK). One of several proposed mechanisms of action for ingenol mebutate is induction of cell death in proliferating keratinocytes, suggesting a preferential action on AKs rather than healthy skin. Local skin reactions (LSRs) during 2 sequential 4-week cycles of AK treatment with ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% on the face or scalp were evaluated to test the hypothesis that reapplication of the study product would produce lower LSR scores than during the first treatment cycle. In this unblinded study, 20 participants with AKs on the face or scalp were treated with ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% once daily for 3 days in 2 sequential 4-week cycles. Composite LSR scores were evaluated during both cycles. The composite LSR score during the second cycle was found to be significantly lower than the first cycle (P = .0002). The proportion of participants who experienced LSRs in the second treatment cycle was less than the first cycle. Ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% may cumulatively reduce the burden of sun-damaged skin over 2 treatment cycles by targeting and removing transformed keratinocytes. Cutis. 2015;95:47-51.

Actinic keratoses (AKs) are common skin lesions resulting from cumulative exposure to UV radiation and are associated with an
Increased risk for invasive squamous cell carcinoma; therefore, diagnosis and treatment are important. Individual AKs are most frequently treated with cryosurgery, while topical agents including ingenol mebutate gel are used as field treatments on areas of confluent AKs of sun-damaged skin. Studies have shown that rates of complete clearance with topical therapy can be improved with more than a single treatment course.

Although the mechanisms of action of ingenol mebutate on AKs are not fully understood, studies indicate that it induces cell death in proliferating keratinocytes, which suggests that it may act preferentially on AKs and not on healthy skin. The field treatment of AKs of the face and scalp using ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% involves a 3-day regimen, and clearance rates are similar to those observed with topical agents that are used for longer periods of time. Local skin reactions (LSRs) associated with application of ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% on the face and scalp generally are mild to moderate in intensity and resolve after 2 weeks without sequelae.

The presumption that the cytotoxic actions of ingenol mebutate affect proliferating keratinocytes preferentially was the basis for this study. We hypothesized that application of a second sequential cycle of ingenol mebutate during AK treatment should produce lower LSR scores than the first application cycle due to the specific elimination of transformed keratinocytes from the treatment area. This open-label study compared the intensity of LSRs during 2 sequential cycles of treatment on the same site of the face or scalp using ingenol mebutate gel 0.015%.

Methods

Study Population—Eligible participants were adults with 4 to 8 clinically typical, visible, nonhypertrrophic AKs in a 25-cm² contiguous area of the face or scalp. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were the same as in the pivotal studies. The study was approved by the institutional review board at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (New York, New York). Enrollment took place from March 2013 to August 2013.

Study Design and Assessments—All participants were treated with 2 sequential 4-week cycles of ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% applied once daily for 3 consecutive days starting on the first day of each cycle (day 1 and day 29). Participants were evaluated at 11 visits (days 1, 2, 4, 8, 15, 29, 30, 32, 36, 43, and 56) during the 56-day study period (Figure 1). Eligibility, demographics, and medical history were assessed at day 1, and concomitant medications and adverse events (AEs) were evaluated at all visits. Using standardized photographic guides, 6 individual LSRs—erythema, flaking/scaling, crusting, swelling, vesiculation/pustulation, and erosion/ulceration—were assessed on a scale of 0 (none) to 4 (severe), with higher numbers indicating more severe reactions. For each participant, a composite score was calculated as the sum of the individual LSR scores. Throughout the study, 3 qualified evaluators assessed AK lesion count and graded the LSRs. The same evaluator assessed both treatment courses for each participant for the majority of assessments.

The primary end point of the study was to evaluate the degree of irritation in each of the 2 sequential cycles of ingenol mebutate treatment by assessing the mean area under the curve (AUC) of the composite LSR score over time following each of the 2 applications. Actinic keratoses were counted at baseline and at the end of each treatment cycle. The paired t test was used to compare AUCs of the composite LSR scores of the 2 cycles and to compare the changes in lesion counts from baseline to day 29 and from baseline to day 56. The complete clearance rates (number of participants with no AKs) at the end of cycles 1 and 2 were compared using a logistic regression model. Participant-perceived irritation and treatment satisfaction were evaluated using a 0 to 100 visual analog scale (VAS), with higher numbers indicating greater irritation and higher satisfaction. Participant-reported scores were summarized.

Results

Participant Characteristics—A total of 20 participants were enrolled in the study. At the completion of the study, 2 participants withdrew consent but allowed use of data from their completed assessments. Consequently, a total of 18 patients completed the entire study. The mean age was 75.35 years (median, 77.5 years; age range, 49–87 years). Most of the participants (15/20 [75%]) were male. All participants were white, and 2 were of Hispanic ethnicity. Of the 20 participants, 19 (95%) were Fitzpatrick skin type II, and 1 (5%) was Fitzpatrick skin type I. Most of the participants (16/20 [80%]) received treatment of lesions on the face. With the exception of 2 (10%) participants, all had received prior treatment of AKs, including cryosurgery (16/20 [80%]), imiquimod (5/20 [25%]), fluorouracil (2/20 [10%]), diclofenac (2/20 [10%]), and photodynamic therapy (2/20 [10%]); 8 (40%) participants had received more than 1 type of treatment.

LSRs in Cycles 1 and 2—The time course for the development and resolution of LSRs during both treatment cycles was similar. Local skin reactions were evident on day 2 in each cycle, peaked at 3 days after the application of the first dose,
declined rapidly by the 15th day of the cycle, and returned to baseline by the end of each 4-week cycle (Figure 1). The mean (standard deviation [SD]) composite LSR score at 3 days after application of the first dose was higher in cycle 1 than in cycle 2 (9.1 [2.83] vs 5.0 [3.24])(Figure 1). The composite LSR score assessed over time based on the mean (SD) AUC was significantly lower in cycle 2 than in cycle 1 (40.5 [28.05] vs 83.6 [36.25]) (P=.0002)(Table). Statistical differences in scores for individual reactions between the 2 cycles were not determined because of the risk for a spurious indication of significance from multiple comparisons in such a limited patient sample.

The percentage of participants who had a score greater than 1 for any of the 6 components of the LSR assessment was lower in cycle 2 than in cycle 1 at all of the assessed time points (Figure 2). In both cycles, the percentage of participants with an LSR score greater than 1 was highest 3 days after the application of the first dose in the cycle (day 4 or day 32, respectively). Erythema, flaking/scaling, and crusting were the most frequently observed reactions. At day 29, there were no participants with an LSR score greater than 1 in any of the 6 components. At day 29 and day 56, 94% (17/18) and 100% (18/18) of participants, respectively, had a score of 0 for all reactions.

The photographs in Figure 3, taken 7 days after the application of the first dose of ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% in each cycle of treatment of AK lesions on the face, show that there was less flaking/scaling in cycle 2 than in cycle 1. A review of participant photographs from the third treatment day of each cycle showed that the areas of erythema were the same in both cycles. The other 5 LSRs—flaking/scaling, crusting, swelling, vesiculation/pustulation, and erosion/ulceration—were observed in different areas of the treated field in the 2 cycles when applicable.

**Adverse Events**—The few AEs that were reported were considered to be mild in severity. The AEs included application-site pain (n=5), application-site pruritus (n=3), and nasopharyngitis (n=1). No serious AEs were reported. After the first treatment cycle, 1 participant experienced hypopigmentation at the treatment site that persisted as faint hypopigmentation at the last study visit (day 56).

**AK Lesion Count**—The lesion count in all participants at baseline ranged from 4 to 8, with a mean (SD) of 5.9 (1.55). Mean lesion count was substantially reduced at the end of cycle 1 (0.9 [1.39]) and cycle 2 (0.3 [0.57]). The change in lesion count from baseline to day 56 was greater

---

### Table: AUC Composite LSR Score Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Cycle 1 (Days 2–29) (N=17)</th>
<th>Cycle 2 (Days 30–57) (N=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>83.6 (36.25)</td>
<td>40.5 (28.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>80 (28.5, 144.5)</td>
<td>38 (4, 93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; LSR, local skin reaction; SD, standard deviation.

aValues at day 56 were extrapolated to day 57 to make the observation periods after cycles 1 and 2 comparable (27 days).

bP=.0002 vs cycle 1.
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![Figure 1](image-url). Time course of the composite local skin reaction (LSR) scores during cycle 1 (A) and cycle 2 (B) following initiation of a 3-day treatment course (indicated by arrow) with ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% (N=17 for days 2, 30, 32, 36, and 43; N=18 for days 4, 8, 15, 29, and 56). Error bars indicate standard deviation (SD).
than the change from baseline to day 29 (−5.7 [1.61] vs −5.0 [1.57]) (P=.0137). Complete clearance at day 29 and day 56 was achieved in 55.6% (10/18) and 77.8% (14/18) of participants, respectively. The difference in the clearance rate between day 29 and day 56 did not reach statistical significance, most likely due to the small sample size.

**Participant-Reported Outcomes**—Visual analog scale scores for participant-perceived irritation were less than 50 on a scale of 0 to 100 during both application cycles. At 1 day and 3 days after application of the first dose of ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% in cycle 1, the mean (SD) VAS scores for irritation were 31.8 (37.06) and 37.9 (30.77), respectively. At the same time points in cycle 2, VAS scores were 44.2 (32.45) and 49.6 (26.90), respectively. No information was available regarding resolution of participant-perceived irritation, as irritation data were not collected after day 4 of each treatment cycle; therefore, P values were not determined. Participant satisfaction with treatment was high and nearly the same at the end of cycles 1 and 2 (VAS scores: 83.7 [12.73] and 83.8 [20.46], respectively).

**Comment**

Our findings show that a second course of treatment with ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% on the same site of the patient’s face in each cycle of treatment (cycle 1, day 8 [A]; cycle 2, day 36 [B]).
transformed keratinocytes, which are reduced by the start of a second cycle of treatment.

The mechanism for the clinical efficacy of ingenol mebutate has not been fully described. Studies in preclinical models suggest at least 2 components, including direct cytotoxic effects on tumor cells and a localized inflammatory reaction that includes protein kinase C activation.\(^{11}\) Ingenol mebutate preferentially induces death in tumor cells and in proliferating undifferentiated keratinocytes.\(^{7,12}\) Cell death and protein kinase C activation lead to an inflammatory response dominated by neutrophils and other immunocompetent cells that add to the destruction of transformed cells.\(^{11}\)

The reduced inflammatory response observed in participants during the second cycle of treatment in this study is consistent with the theory of a preferential action on transformed keratinocytes by ingenol mebutate. Once transformed keratinocytes are substantially cleared in cycle 1, fewer target cells remain, and therefore the inflammatory response is less intense in cycle 2. If ingenol mebutate were uniformly cytotoxic and inflammatory to all cells, the LSR scores in both cycles would be expected to be similar.

Assessment of participant-perceived irritation supplemented the measurement of the 6 visible manifestations of inflammation over each 4-week cycle. Participant-perceived irritation was recorded early in the cycles at 1 and 3 days after the first dose. Although it is difficult to standardize patient perceptions, VAS scores for irritation in cycle 2 were higher than those reported in cycle 1, which suggests an increased perception of irritation. The clinical relevance of this perception is not certain and may be due to the small number of participants and/or the time interval between the 2 treatment courses.

The results of this study were limited by the small patient sample. Additionally, LSR assessments were limited by the quality of the photographs. However, LSRs and AK clearance rates were similar to the pooled findings seen in the phase 3 studies of ingenol mebutate.\(^3\) Adverse events were predominantly conditions that occurred at the application site, as in phase 3 studies.\(^3\) Similarly, the time course of LSR development and resolution followed the same pattern as in those trials. The peak composite LSR score for the face and scalp was approximately 9 in both the present study (cycle 1) and in the pooled phase 3 studies.\(^3\)

**Conclusion**

Ingenol mebutate gel 0.015% may specifically target and remove transformed proliferating keratinocytes, cumulatively reducing the burden of sun-damaged skin over the course of 2 treatment cycles. Patients may experience fewer LSRs on reapplication of ingenol mebutate to a previously treated site.
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