ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Management of bow legs in children: A primary care protocol

This protocol, which is designed to coincide with well-child visits, distinguishes between normal physiologic maturation and cases requiring referral.

ABSTRACT

Objective ► To reduce unnecessary orthopedic referrals by developing a protocol for managing physiologic bow legs in the primary care environment through the use of a noninvasive technique that simultaneously tracks normal varus progression and screens for potential pathologic bowing requiring an orthopedic referral.

Methods ► Retrospective study of 155 patients with physiologic genu varum and 10 with infantile Blount’s disease. We used fingerbreadth measurements to document progression or resolution of bow legs. Final diagnoses were made by one orthopedic surgeon using clinical and radiographic evidence. We divided genu varum patients into 3 groups: patients presenting with bow legs before 18 months of age (MOA), patients presenting between 18 and 23 MOA, and patients presenting at 24 MOA or older for analyses relevant to the development of the follow-up protocol.

Results ► Physiologic genu varum patients walked earlier than average infants (10 months vs 12-15 months; \( P < .001 \)). Physiologic genu varum patients presenting before 18 MOA demonstrated initial signs of correction between 18 and 24 MOA and resolution by 30 MOA. Physiologic genu varum patients presenting between 18 and 23 MOA demonstrated initial signs of correction between 24 MOA and 30 MOA and resolution by 36 MOA.

Conclusion ► Primary care physicians can manage most children presenting with bow legs. Management focuses on following the progression or resolution of varus with regular follow-up. For patients presenting with bow legs, we recommend a follow-up protocol using mainly well-child checkups and a simple clinical assessment to monitor varus progression and screen for pathologic bowing.

Bow legs in young children can be a concern for parents.1,2 By far, the most common reason for bow legs is physiologic genu varum,3,5 a nonprogressive stage of normal development in young children that generally resolves spontaneously without treatment.1,6-11 Normally developing children undergo a varus phase between birth and 18 to 24 months of age (MOA), at which time there is usually a transition in alignment from varus to straight to valgus (knock knees), which will correct to straight or mild valgus throughout adolescence.1,6,7,9,10,12-17

The most common form of pathologic bow legs is Blount’s disease, also known as tibia vara, which must be differentiated from physiologic genu varum.1,10,15,18-24 The progressive varus deformity of Blount’s disease usually requires orthopedic intervention.1,10,25-26 Early diagnosis may spare patients complex interventions, improve prognosis, and limit complications that include gait abnormalities,4,8,10,27 knee joint instability,4,24,27 osteoarthritis,9,28,27 meniscal tears,27 and degenerative joint disease.19,28,27

CONTINUED
Although variables such as walking age, race, weight, and gender have been suggested as risk factors for Blount’s disease, they have not been useful in differentiating between Blount’s pathology and physiologic genu varum. In the primary care setting, distinguishing physiologic from pathologic forms of bow legs is possible with a thorough history and physical exam and with radiographs, as warranted. More than 40% of genu varum/genu valgum cases referred for orthopedic consultation turn out to be the physiologic form, suggesting a need for guidelines in the primary care setting to help direct referral and follow-up. The purpose of this study was to provide recommendations to family physicians for evaluating and managing children with bow legs.

Materials and methods
This study, approved by the Internal Review Board of Akron Children’s Hospital, is a retrospective review of children seen by a single pediatric orthopedic surgeon (DSW) from 1970 to 2012. Four-hundred twenty-four children were received for evaluation of bow legs. Excluded from our final analysis were 220 subjects seen only once for this specific referral and 39 subjects diagnosed with a condition other than genu varum or Blount’s disease (ie, rickets, skeletal dysplasia, sequelae of trauma, or infection). Ten subjects with Blount’s disease and 155 subjects with physiologic genu varum were included in the final data analysis.

In addition to noting the age at which a patient walked independently, at each visit we documented age and the fingerbreadth (varus) distance between the medial femoral condyles with the child’s ankles held together. Parents reported age of independent walking for just 3 children with Blount’s disease and for 134 children with physiologic genu varum. Study variables for the genu varum data analysis were age of walking, age at presentation, age at varus correction, age at varus resolution, time between presentation and varus correction, and time between presentation and varus resolution. Varus correction is defined as any decrease in varus angulation since presentation. Varus resolution is defined as varus correction to less than or equal to half of the varus angulation at presentation. For inclusion in the age-at-resolution analysis, a child must have been evaluated at regular follow-up visits (all rechecks within 8 months).

To measure varus distance, we used the fingerbreadth method described by Weiner in a study of 600 cases. This simple technique, which requires no special equipment, accurately detected differences in varus angulation and tracked the normal pattern of lower limb angular development. The patient should be supine on the examination table with legs extended. With one hand, the examiner holds the child’s ankles together, ensuring the medial malleoli are in contact. With the other hand, the examiner measures the fingerbreadth distance between the medial femoral condyles. Alternatively, a ruler may be used to measure the distance. This latter method may be especially useful in practices where the patient is likely to see more than one provider for well child care.

We divided the genu varum subject group into 3 subgroups by age at presentation: 103 subjects were younger than 18 months; 47 were 18 to 23 months; and 5 were 24 months or older. We used the data analysis toolkit in Microsoft Excel 2013 to perform a statistical analysis of study variables. We assumed the genu varum population is a normally distributed population. We used a 95% confidence level for all calculations of confidence intervals (CIs), student t-tests, and tolerance intervals. Based on the data analysis results, we developed a series of follow-up and referral guidelines for practitioners.

Results
The mean walking age for those diagnosed with physiologic genu varum was 10 months (95% CI, 9.8-10.4), which is significantly younger than the 12 months of age (at the earliest) typical of toddlers in general. There was no significant difference between the walking age of male and female children diagnosed with genu varum.

Of the children presenting with the primary complaint of bow legs, 6% subsequently developed Blount’s disease. These patients pre-
presented at a mean age of 20.9 months and were
diagnosed at a mean age of 23.9 months. Fol-
lowing the Blount’s disease diagnosis, we initi-
ated therapy in all cases (3 surgical, 7 bracing).

Physiologic genu varum patients pre-
sent at a mean age of 16.4 months, with only
3.23% presenting at older than 23 months. On
average, physiologic genu varum patients
presenting before 24 months of age showed
measurable varus correction 5 months
after presentation and achieved varus resolu-
tion 7.3 months after presentation (TABLE 1).
Assuming the patient population is normally
distributed, we can be 95% confident that
95% of physiologic genu varum patients pre-
senting before 18 months of age will show
measurable varus correction by 24 months
and will resolve without intervention by 30 months (TABLE 2). Patients presenting
between 18 and 23 months of age should show
measurable varus correction by 30 months
and resolution by 36 months (TABLE 3).

**Discussion**

Primary care physicians have the abil-
ity to differentiate physiologic genu varum
from pathologic forms of bow legs with
a thorough history, physical exam, and
radiographic examination, if necessary (TABLE 4). Several approaches
to differentiating Blount’s disease and physi-
ologic genu varum have been described in the
literature. 1,4,7,10,14,22,23

The average age at which children
begin to walk independently is between
13 and 15 months. 5,18,29-31 Recently, it has been
suggested that the range be expanded to
include 12 months of age. 30 The asso-
ciation between early walking (at 10-
11 months) 12,20,22 and Blount’s disease
is generally accepted in the orthopedic litera-
ture. 1,4,7,8,14,22,23 However, some authors have
suggested early walking also contributes
to genu varum. 1,5,8,10,18,28 The mean age of
independent walking for children with physi-
ologic genu varum suggested in the literature
(10 months) was confirmed in our study and
found to be significantly younger than the
average for toddlers generally. 1,22 Early walk-
ing is clearly associated with both physi-
ologic genu varum and Blount’s disease, but
no direct causation has been identified in
either case. An alternative means of differen-
tiating these entities is needed.

Radiographic examination of the knee
is essential to the diagnosis of Blount’s dis-
ease as well as other, less common causes of
pathologic bow legs (skeletal dysplasia, rick-
ets, traumatic growth plate insults, infections,
neoplasms). 1,8,14,19 The common radiologic
classification of staging for Blount’s disease

---

**FIGURE**

Measuring genu varum by fingerbreadths

With the patient supine and legs extended, hold the child’s ankles together
with one hand, ensuring the medial malleoli are in contact. With the other
hand, measure the fingerbreadth distance between the medial femoral
condyles.

---
is the Langenskiöld staging system, which involves identification of characteristic radiographic changes at the tibial physis.\textsuperscript{5,8,14,15,16,22,24} Sequential measurement of genu varum is most useful in differentiating between physiologic and pathologic processes. Physiologic genu varum, an exaggeration of the normal developmental pattern, characteristically resolves and evolves into physiologic genu valgum by 3 years of age.\textsuperscript{1,6-11} The pathophysiology of Blount’s disease is believed to be related to biomechanical overloading of the posteromedial proximal tibia during gait with the knee in a varus orientation. Excess loading on the proximal medial physis contributes to varus progression.\textsuperscript{4,10,14,20,25,27} Patients with Blount’s disease progress with varus and concomitant internal tibial torsion associated with growth plate irregularities and eventually exhibit premature

### TABLE 1
Average time to varus correction and resolution in physiologic genu varum children presenting before 24 months of age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time from presentation to observed correction*</th>
<th>Time from presentation to varus resolution*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean, months</td>
<td>5.04 (n=124)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI (months)</td>
<td>7.29 (n=90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limit of 1-sided tolerance interval†</td>
<td>4.76-5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.59-7.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.
*Regular follow-up (no gaps in care >8 months).
†Assuming normal population distribution, 0.95% confidence level (1-α), 95% population included.

### TABLE 2
Average time to varus correction and resolution in physiologic genu varum children presenting before 18 months of age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at presentation</th>
<th>Age at observed correction</th>
<th>Age at resolution*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean, months</td>
<td>14.7 (n=103)</td>
<td>19.8 (n=83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI (months)</td>
<td>14.3-15</td>
<td>19.5-20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limit of 1-sided tolerance interval†</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.
*Regular follow-up (no gaps in care >8 months).
†Assuming normal population distribution, 0.95% confidence level (1-α), 95% population included.

### TABLE 3
Average time to varus correction and resolution in physiologic genu varum children presenting at 18-23 months of age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at presentation</th>
<th>Age at observed correction</th>
<th>Age at resolution*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean, months</td>
<td>19.7 (n=47)</td>
<td>24.6 (n=41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI (months)</td>
<td>19.3-20.2</td>
<td>23.8-25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limit of 1-sided tolerance interval†</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.
*Regular follow-up (no gaps in care >8 months).
†Assuming normal population distribution, 0.95% confidence level (1-α), 95% population included.
closure. In the months prior to Blount’s disease diagnosis, increasing varus has been reported. Varus progression that differs from the expected pattern indicates possible pathologic bow legs and should prompt radiologic evaluation and, often, an orthopedic referral.

In our study, only 3% of children with physiologic genu varum presented at 24 months of age or older, compared with 20% of Blount’s disease patients. We recommend considering orthopedic referral for any patient presenting with bow legs at 24 months of age or older. Additionally, consider orthopedic referral for any patient whose varus has not begun to correct within 8 months or has not resolved within 14 months of presentation, as more than 95% of patients with physiologic genu varum are expected to meet these milestones (TABLE 1). And do not hesitate to refer patients at any stage of follow-up if you suspect pathology or if parents are anxious.

If no sign of pathology is immediately identified, we recommend the following course of action:

- **Record** a reference fingerbreadth or ruler measurement at the initial presentation.
- **Re-examine** the knee varus at the next regular well-child visit (TABLE 5).

Re-examining the patient prior to the next well-child visit is unnecessary, as some degree of bowing is typical until age 18 to 24 months. Recommend orthopedic referral for any patient with varus that has progressed since initial presentation. Without signs of pathology, repeat varus assessment at the next well-child visit. This schedule minimizes the need for additional physician appointments by integrating follow-up into the typical well-child visits at 18, 24, 30, and 36 months of age. The 6-month follow-up interval was a feature of our study and is recommended in the related literature.

- **Consider orthopedic referral** for patients whose varus has not corrected by the second follow-up appointment, as more than 95% of patients should have measurable varus correction at this visit. Most patients will have exhibited varus resolution by this time and will not require additional follow-up. For patients with observable correction who do not yet meet the criteria for resolution, we recommend a third, final follow-up appointment in another 6 months.
- **Refer any patient** whose varus has not resolved by the third follow-up appointment, as more than 95% of genu varum cases should have resolved by this time. This finding is echoed in the literature; any varus beyond 36 months of age is considered abnormal and suggestive of pathology. If evidence of Blount’s or skeletal dysplasia is identified, ortho-

### TABLE 4
**Indications for orthopedic evaluation of bow leg**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Family history of pathologic bowing</td>
<td>7,10,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Asymmetric deformity: unilateral bowing, gait abnormalities, leg length discrepancy</td>
<td>7,8,12,14,27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Short stature (height &lt;25th percentile)</td>
<td>7,8,16,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Late walking (&gt;18 months of age, or if not walking at presentation)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blount’s varus angulation localized to the proximal tibia</td>
<td>1,10,18,20,22,24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Progressive varus deformity after 18 months of age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Presentation at ≥24 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 5
**Recommended timing of follow-up varus assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at presentation</th>
<th>&lt;18 months</th>
<th>18-23 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at first follow-up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at second follow-up*</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at third follow-up*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If needed.
pedic management will likely consist of bracing (orthotics) or surgical management.
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