Why the rise in rates?

Our improved understanding of the disorder and increasingly sensitive diagnostic tools are playing a role—but so are some other factors.

For many years, articles about autism cited prevalence rates of approximately 7 in 10,000.¹ Over the past few years, however, there appears to have been an explosion in the rate at which autism is diagnosed: More recent estimates range from about 30 in 10,000² to one in 68.³ References to an autism epidemic appear to have originated in a 2002 California legislative report suggesting a 273% increase in autism from 1987 to 1998.⁴

Concerns about rising rates of autism, however, are not new. In 1943, Leo Kanner, MD, a psychiatrist and pioneer in the study of autism, published a paper titled, “Autistic disturbances of affective contact.”⁵ The result? “Almost overnight, the country seemed to be populated by a multitude of autistic children,” he later observed.⁶

To what should we attribute the current rise in reported autism rates? Even a casual review of the autism literature suggests a number of potential causes that may account for at least a portion of the recent increase.

We know more about the disorder
In his paper, Kanner described the “peculiarities” of 11 children whom he had cared for.⁷ While several had been diagnosed with mental retardation, childhood schizophrenia, or both, what stood out to Kanner was an “autistic aloneness” evident from the beginning of life. This was in contrast to childhood schizophrenia, in which a child experienced a departure from previously normal interrelations. His insight contributed to our understanding of what is now recognized as autism spectrum disorder (ASD).

Also in 1943, Hans Asperger, MD, was studying families with children exhibiting behaviors similar to those described by Kanner. The following year, Asperger published an article (in German) describing these children. Unfortunately, this paper—titled “Autistic psychopathy in childhood”⁸—was not translated into English until the early 1990s.⁹

Since Kanner and Asperger first called attention to the disorder, there have been numerous changes in societal and medical understanding of autism. Bruno Bettelheim, PhD, an Austrian-born child psychologist with a particular interest in emotionally disturbed children, theorized that poor maternal bonding and lack of maternal affection were responsible for autistic characteris-
Definitions and diagnostic criteria have evolved

The first edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) was published in 1952. Although it was nearly a decade after Dr. Kanner clearly described autism as an entity separate from childhood schizophrenia, the word autism was used in this edition only once—to describe psychotic reactions associated with schizophrenia. Similarly, autism was referred to only in relation to childhood schizophrenia in the DSM-II, published in 1968.

- **DSM-III adds diagnostic criteria.** In DSM-III (1980), specific diagnostic criteria for infantile autism and pervasive developmental disorder (PDD) appeared for the first time. Both diagnoses were clearly contrasted with a diagnosis of schizophrenia, and were used to identify children who exhibited a pervasive lack of responsiveness to others.

  The DSM-III-Revised (R) (1987) added a classification scheme more consistent with the current standard. It included: 1) qualitative impairment in reciprocal social interaction; 2) qualitative impairment in communication and imaginative activity; and 3) restricted activity/interests.

- **PDD Not Otherwise Specified** was also included, and served to identify those who had qualitative impairments in social interaction and communication skills but did not meet the full criteria for autism disorder or PDD.

  The publication of DSM-IV (1994) brought another change: Specific criteria were outlined for the diagnoses of Asperger syndrome, Rett syndrome, and childhood disintegrative disorders; in the DSM-IV-Text Revision (TR) (2000), this structure remained relatively stable.

  DSM-5 (2013) took another step, consolidating these various disorders into a single, unifying diagnosis of ASD. Significant controversy surrounded this change, with some viewing it as an oversimplification that does not accurately reflect important distinctions among divergent disorders and others arguing that it will result in unrecognized cases and exclusion of affected individuals. Notably, a recent study using concurrent DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria to diagnose autism and PDD in more than 4000 children documented a high level of agreement between them.

Diagnostic tools have improved

As the number of children diagnosed with autism has increased, so have efforts to more accurately diagnose autism as a distinct disorder.

In the 1960s, guidelines for diagnosing autism focused primarily on Kanner’s original descriptive criteria. Even in the 1980s, after DSM-III criteria identified autism as a distinct disorder, children were being evaluated with generalized developmental screening tools focused on behaviors characteristic of a severe mental handicap, without differentiating between autistic and nonautistic children.

Early autism-specific observational and structured interview tools (eg, Childhood Autism Rating Scale, Autism Diagnostic Interview [ADI], and Autistic Diagnostic Observation Schedule) emerged from a need for standardized diagnostic instruments that were comparable and reproducible. But because these tools were highly specific and initially studied in research settings with high-risk populations, they lacked the sensitivity to identify children at risk in the general population, particularly those with milder symptoms.

As the diagnosis of autism became more standardized following publication of the DSM III-R in 1987, developmental specialists were able to construct increasingly sensitive evaluation tools. The ADI was revised to facilitate earlier and more efficient diagnosis, allowing for assessment of children as young as 19 months of age. The Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (CHAT) and subsequent modification (M-CHAT) were among the earliest and most effective screening tools, appropri-
ate for use in children as young as 16 months old.

Tools that followed the original CHAT (eg, the Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire [ASSQ]) were adapted to better identify high-functioning children with Asperger syndrome, as well as those with autism.

Another revision of the M-CHAT—the M-CHAT-R/F (Revised with Follow-up) was validated earlier this year. In a study involving 16,000 children, 95% of those who had positive tests were found to have some form of developmental delay and almost half (47%) received an ASD diagnosis.

Other diagnostic aids are being explored as a means of promoting earlier identification of ASD. For example, a blood test to identify differences in gene expression between children with and without ASD has shown initial promise, particularly in males. This test is licensed by SynapDx (Lexington, Mass) and a clinical trial to evaluate it has begun.

Results of another study demonstrating normalization of brain activity in autistic children after they’ve undergone intensive treatment raise the possibility of using cortical activation as measured by electroencephalography as an early biomarker for autism.

Is the incidence of autism linked to the environment? Numerous environmental, nutritional, and pharmaceutical changes have been cited as reasons for what is perceived as an increasing incidence of autism in recent years. For example, some contend that greater use of food preservatives and greater exposure of young children to environmental toxins are contributing factors.

Thimerosal. Perhaps most notable is the assertion—since disproven—that thimerosal, a substance previously used in the manufacture of several childhood vaccines, was a leading cause of autism. In fact, one study documented an increase in autism after thimerosal had been discontinued. (For more on the thimerosal controversy, see “Autism: 5 misconceptions that can complicate care” on page 310.)

Autism comorbidity. As autism is frequently comorbid with other developmental disabilities, advances in medical technology that have led to a decline in neonatal death and overall mortality among the disabled may mean more survivors are subsequently diagnosed with an autism comorbidity.
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**Biological factors.** Recent studies suggest that advanced paternal age can increase the risk of autism.39 Twin studies suggest moderate genetic heritability, along with a substantial environmental contribution to the development of autism.40 And new research suggests that maternal stressors during pregnancy—eg, trauma, illness, or substance abuse—may increase a child’s risk of developing autism, among other psychiatric disorders.41

**The important role you play in the diagnosis of autism**

It is clear that autism is more common than previously thought3 and that various factors are at work. Ensuring that children are promptly and properly evaluated begins when primary care physicians take parents’ concerns seriously and keep an eye out for common symptoms and characteristic developmental delays that may be evident even in the first year of life.

If symptoms are not severe enough to be detected in the child’s first several years, the next likely presentation will be when a parent gets a call from school suggesting that their child be tested for autism. While this delayed presentation suggests a higher level of functioning, a full evaluation, including the use of questionnaires such as the Social Responsiveness Scale42 and ASSQ,28 and appropriate referrals are still vital.

Puberty is another time when characteristics suggestive of autism that escaped earlier detection may be noted. Puberty is another time when characteristics suggestive of autism that escaped earlier detection may be noted. While behavioral therapy can be very helpful in such cases, medical therapy directed at co-occurring conditions (ie, a mood or anxiety disorder, including obsessive-compulsive disorder) may also provide significant benefit.

Whatever the age of the child, his or her parents should be counseled as to the general nature of autism, reassured of the availability of treatment options and given the appropriate referrals, and encouraged to learn more by availing themselves of resources (TABLE) and support groups. A consumer update from the US Food and Drug Administration titled “Beware of false or misleading claims for treating autism” (http://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates/ucm394757.htm) will be helpful for many parents, as well.

**TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autism spectrum disorder: Where to learn more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Arc</strong> (formerly the Association of Retarded Citizens): <a href="http://www.thearc.org">www.thearc.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Autism Central** (in Canada)  
| **Autism Research Institute**  
*www.autism.com* |
| **Autism Society**  
www.autism-society.org |
| **National Autism Association†**  
http://nationalautismassociation.org |

* This group provides information about complementary and alternative treatments.  
† Complete list of state chapters can be found here.

**References**


8. Frith U. Autism and Asperger Syndrome. Cambridge, UK: Cam-
Evidence-Based Practice is published monthly by the Family Physicians Inquiries Network.

JFP readers pay our new discount price of $119 for 12 issues and 48 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™.

To subscribe or request a sample issue, call (573) 256-2066 or e-mail EBP@fpin.org