Malignant glomus tumors (GTs) are rare, and diagnosis requires consideration of specific histologic criteria. Glomus tumors that do not fulfill the histologic criteria for malignancy but show at least 1 feature other than nuclear atypia should be classified as GTs of uncertain malignant potential (GTUMPs). We report the case of a 74-year-old man with a slowly progressing, painful, 2.5-cm nodule on the forehead that was successfully treated via wide surgical excision and was later diagnosed as a GTUMP. Three-year follow-up showed no local recurrence or distant metastasis. Malignant GTs and GTUMPs are rare, and the nomenclature and classification of these tumors is controversial. These findings and the difficulty of differential diagnosis in a continuum between benignity and malignancy prompted our report.

Case Report

A 74-year-old man presented to the Unit of Surgery with a slowly progressing, painful, ulcerated, 2.5-cm, red-blue nodule on the forehead (Figure 1). An excisional biopsy of the nodule was performed. Histologically, the dermis and superficial
subcutis were filled with a proliferation of atypical epithelioid cells to slightly spindled cells. Both cells displayed a weakly eosinophilic cytoplasm with indistinct membranes and larger ovoid nuclei, some with prominent nucleoli. Neoplastic cells showed a disordered arrangement or were organized in short fascicles separated by slitlike spaces, vascular lumens of various sizes, or hemorrhagic stroma resembling angiosarcoma or Kaposi sarcoma (Figure 2). No areas of necrosis were noted. Pleomorphic nuclei and some mitotic figures also were identified, but they were not atypical and showed fewer than 5 mitoses per 50 HPFs. Immunohistochemically, the neoplastic cells stained positive for vimentin, caldesmon (Figure 3), and α-smooth muscle actin, and they stained negative for cytokeratins, desmin, CD34, factor VIII–related antigen, S-100 protein, and the latent nuclear antigen of Kaposi sarcoma–associated herpesvirus. The Ki-67 labeling index revealed less than 20% positive cells.

Because the tumor involved margins of excision, the patient successfully underwent wide reexcision with adequate margins. Histological examination of the reexcised specimen showed a focus of bland, round to polygonal tumor cells with the features of glomus cells (Figure 4). On the basis of the histologic features of both specimens, the lesion was classified as a GT. The biggest problem in our case was the classification of the lesion according to established pathologic criteria. We considered this case to be borderline because the lesion was greater than 2 cm but the location was superficial; marked atypia with sarcomatoid features also were present, but there was an absence of necrosis and fewer than 5 mitoses per 50 HPFs. For these reasons, we diagnosed this problematic lesion as a GTUMP. Following reexcision, the patient underwent strict follow-up. Wound healing was uncomplicated and the patient showed no local recurrence or distant metastasis at 3-year follow-up.

Comment
Clinically metastatic and histologically malignant GTs are exceptional. The classification system for GTs based on histologic criteria subdivided these tumors into 3 groups with different prognoses. Malignant GTs are highly aggressive tumors with metastatic potential, symplastic GTs are considered to be a degenerative phenomenon, and GTUMPs have a favorable clinical outcome and absence of metastatic disease.

Diagnosis of malignant GTs and symplastic GTs is relatively easy in the presence of typical uniform, small, round epithelioid cells (glomus cells) located around blood vessels. Immunohistochemistry may be useful, as GTs express smooth muscle actin and caldesmon. Over the years the existence and diagnosis of malignant GT has been questioned because a residual component of benign GT in the surgical biopsy is useful in diagnosis but is not always present and because an unusual pattern may be present in malignant tumors with prevalent spindle cells resembling fibrosarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, spindle cell angiosarcoma, and spindle cell melanoma. In the absence of a preexisting GT, the differential diagnosis may be difficult; in such cases, a panel of immunohistochemical markers including smooth muscle actin, caldesmon, desmin, S-100, human melanoma...
black-45 (HMB-45), CD34, and CD31 is always necessary. The GTUMP category was introduced for GTs that demonstrate marked nuclear atypia but do not fulfill histologic criteria for malignancy. Along with other tumors of uncertain malignant potential, borderline cases should be considered GTUMPs to guarantee wide resection of the tumor with negative margins and an adequate follow-up due to the possibility of local recurrence or distant metastasis. In our patient, a diagnosis of GTUMP was made. Additionally, our case demonstrates some previously unreported features of GTUMPs, such as spindled cells in short fascicles separated by slitlike spaces, small vessels, and hemorrhagic stroma resembling Kaposi sarcoma. Along with these unusual sarcomatous features, the superficial location of the lesion, absence of necrosis, and a mitotic count of less than 5 per 50 HPFs were suggestive of an uncertain malignant potential for this tumor.

Distinction between malignant GTs and GTUMPs in the presence of unusual histologic features may be difficult. Glomus tumors that do not fulfill criteria for malignancy but have at least 1 atypical feature other than nuclear pleomorphism should be named GTUMPs. According to classification criteria, a true malignant GT is a highly aggressive tumor with metastatic potential. In a case series reported by Folpe et al., 38% (20/52) of malignant GTs showed metastases, while metastatic disease was not observed in the tumors classified as GTUMPs. Wide surgical excision or Mohs micrographic surgery are the treatments of choice for malignant GTs and GTUMPs. Complete excision of the lesion with negative margins is always necessary in cases of GTUMPs. After the diagnosis of GTUMP, adequate follow-up should be recommended due to the possibility of local recurrence or distant metastasis.

Conclusion

Malignant GTs and GTUMPs are rare, and the nomenclature and classification of these tumors is controversial. These findings and the difficulty of differential diagnosis in a continuum between benignity and malignancy prompted our report.
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