A refractory case of community-acquired pneumonia

Three days after beginning a course of steroids and IV antibiotics, the patient still needed oxygen and her white blood cell count was climbing.

CASE  A 26-year-old woman came to our emergency department with shortness of breath—a symptom that had begun 2 weeks earlier and was getting steadily worse. She had a history of severe, persistent asthma, and had been admitted to the ICU—but not intubated—9 months earlier. Now, she reported dyspnea with even mild exertion, which inhalers failed to relieve.

The patient also had a cough and had recently begun producing thick, green sputum, but said she’d had no chest pain, fever, or lower extremity swelling. The young woman lived with her parents, both of whom smoked heavily, but denied tobacco or alcohol use herself. She had not taken antibiotics in the past 6 months.

On physical examination, the patient was afebrile, mildly tachypneic, and had a heart rate of 125 bpm. She was saturating 80% on ambient air and 95% on 2 liters per minute via nasal cannula; her lung exam was significant for diffuse wheezes and rhonchi, but the remainder of the physical exam was normal.

Lab tests revealed a white blood cell count of 25,200/mcL, with 81% neutrophils. Blood and urine cultures were preliminarily negative. We sent a sputum sample to be cultured and took chest x-rays. Posterior-anterior (PA) (FIGURE 1) and lateral (FIGURE 2) radiographs showed a dense right lower lobe infiltrate.

We diagnosed community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), coupled with an acute exacerbation of asthma, and admitted the patient to the hospital. We started her on intravenous (IV) moxifloxacin, parenteral steroids, and nebulized albuterol and ipratropium. But by the patient’s third day in the hospital, her white blood cell count had climbed to 34,500/mcL, and she still required oxygen by nasal cannula.

Why didn’t this patient respond to drug therapy?

FIGURE 1
PA x-ray shows right lower lobe infiltrate
Chest pain. (Imaging of the chest may be used to support the diagnosis, but is not required.) Following a site-of-care decision, ideally made with the help of a severity-of-illness scoring system such as the CURB-65 (Confusion, Urea nitrogen, Respiratory rate, Blood pressure, 65 years of age and older) or Pneumonia Severity Index, the guidelines recommend cultures whenever the result is likely to significantly alter standard empiric treatment.1

Pretreatment blood samples for culture should be obtained (strength of recommendation: A, well-conducted randomized controlled trials). The IDSA/ATS CAP guidelines also recommend an expectorated sputum sample for stain and culture for patients admitted to the ICU, as well as for those with a presentation suggestive of CAP who:
- have failed outpatient treatment;
- abuse alcohol;
- have severe structural or obstructive lung disease;
- or have pleural effusion.1

In our patient’s case, we obtained a sputum culture on admission because of her severe asthma. She was persistently hypoxic during her initial days in the hospital, and when the sputum culture returned positive for MRSA, her regimen of antibiotics was adjusted.

Suspect CA-MRSA when CAP is severe

Although MRSA has traditionally been thought of as a nosocomial pathogen, infections caused by distinct CA-MRSA strains are on the rise. An estimated 1% to 10% of CAP cases are caused by S aureus, but the percentage of those strains that are resistant to methicillin is not known.2 Clinical risk factors for S aureus CAP include end-stage renal disease, injection drug use, prior influenza, and prior antibiotic therapy, especially with fluoroquinolones.1 However, CA-MRSA CAP often affects children and healthy young adults without any risk factors.3,4 Additionally, CAP that is caused by CA-MRSA is typically severe, often involving empyema, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), cavitary pneumonia, or severe sepsis.3 Consider a diagnosis of CA-MRSA CAP in patients who present with cavitary infiltrates without risk factors for anaerobic aspiration, such as syncope, seizures, alcohol abuse, or esophageal motility disorders.1

FIGURE 2
Dense infiltrate on lateral view

CAP complicated by CA-MRSA

Initially, this appeared to be a rather straightforward case of CAP and asthma. The return of the sputum cultures on day 4, showing positive results for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), indicated that this was not the case. Sensitivity analysis revealed intermediate susceptibility to moxifloxacin and sensitivity to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX), vancomycin, and linezolid.

We switched the patient’s antibiotic from moxifloxacin to parenteral linezolid. She responded rapidly. On day 5, the patient was successfully weaned from O2 and converted to oral linezolid; the following day, she was discharged, with orders to complete an 8-day course of linezolid and an appointment with her family physician for the following week. At follow-up, she was doing well.

Cultures are crucial if results would alter Tx

In 2007, the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the American Thoracic Society (ATS) published guidelines on the management of CAP—a clinical diagnosis based on the presence of select features, including cough, fever, sputum production, and pleuritic chest pain. (Imaging of the chest may be used to support the diagnosis, but is not required.) Following a site-of-care decision, ideally made with the help of a severity-of-illness scoring system such as the CURB-65 (Confusion, Urea nitrogen, Respiratory rate, Blood pressure, 65 years of age and older) or Pneumonia Severity Index, the guidelines recommend cultures whenever the result is likely to significantly alter standard empiric treatment.1

Pretreatment blood samples for culture should be obtained (strength of recommendation: A, well-conducted randomized controlled trials). The IDSA/ATS CAP guidelines also recommend an expectorated sputum sample for stain and culture for patients admitted to the ICU, as well as for those with a presentation suggestive of CAP who:
- have failed outpatient treatment;
- abuse alcohol;
- have severe structural or obstructive lung disease;
- or have pleural effusion.1

In our patient’s case, we obtained a sputum culture on admission because of her severe asthma. She was persistently hypoxic during her initial days in the hospital, and when the sputum culture returned positive for MRSA, her regimen of antibiotics was adjusted.

Suspect CA-MRSA when CAP is severe

Although MRSA has traditionally been thought of as a nosocomial pathogen, infections caused by distinct CA-MRSA strains are on the rise. An estimated 1% to 10% of CAP cases are caused by S aureus, but the percentage of those strains that are resistant to methicillin is not known.2 Clinical risk factors for S aureus CAP include end-stage renal disease, injection drug use, prior influenza, and prior antibiotic therapy, especially with fluoroquinolones.1 However, CA-MRSA CAP often affects children and healthy young adults without any risk factors.3,4 Additionally, CAP that is caused by CA-MRSA is typically severe, often involving empyema, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), cavitary pneumonia, or severe sepsis.3 Consider a diagnosis of CA-MRSA CAP in patients who present with cavitary infiltrates without risk factors for anaerobic aspiration, such as syncope, seizures, alcohol abuse, or esophageal motility disorders.1
Start treatment without delay in patients at risk

Standard empiric treatment options for hospitalized patients with CAP (with the exception of those in the ICU) include either a beta-lactam and azithromycin or a respiratory fluoroquinolone (neither of which is active against MRSA).1 All patients who are hospitalized for CAP should be started on this regimen without delay. If gram-positive cocci in clusters suggestive of S. aureus are isolated from sputum, this standard empiric regimen should be adequate until further microbiologic identification is available.2 While most cases of S. aureus CAP are not MRSA, the increased mortality rate associated with inappropriate antibiotic selection led the IDSA/ATS to recommend broader empiric coverage for MRSA for patients with risk factors for S. aureus.3

CA-MRSA strains are distinct from their nosocomial counterpart, and the optimal therapy for confirmed CA-MRSA CAP has not been determined. Frequently, CA-MRSA isolates are sensitive to TMP-SMX, clindamycin, and fluoroquinolones. But neither fluoroquinolones nor TMP-SMX have a clear effect on toxin production, and bacterial resistance frequently emerges during therapy with clindamycin.4

The IDSA/ATS guidelines recommend either vancomycin or linezolid for CAP due to CA-MRSA, and these are the most widely used drugs for invasive CA-MRSA infections. Linezolid has been recognized as having a theoretical advantage in treating pneumonia, as it achieves higher lung epithelial concentrations than vancomycin.5 Particularly in cases of necrotizing CA-MRSA pneumonia, vancomycin has not been found to decrease toxin production, and linezolid is therefore the preferred treatment.6 Because of the limitations of vancomycin, we chose linezolid for our patient.

Treatment duration is not evidence-based

Although most patients with CAP are treated for 7 to 10 days, there are few well-designed studies evaluating the optimal time frame. Guidelines for the duration of antibiotics for CAP recommend a minimum of 5 days of therapy, presuming the patient is clinically stable.1,7 Short-duration therapy for patients with bacteremic S. aureus CAP infection has been associated with an increased risk of endocarditis, and the presence of pulmonary cavities may warrant prolonged therapy.1 Our patient had an isolate of S. aureus with intermediate susceptibility to moxifloxacin, which likely explains her rapid response to linezolid. She remained on linezolid for 8 days.

Both linezolid and vancomycin are recommended treatments for patients with CA-MRSA CAP, but in some cases, linezolid is the preferred therapy.

PRACTICE POINTERS

- Consider CA-MRSA as a cause of CAP, especially in cases in which the presentation is severe, as the guidelines for empiric treatment of CAP feature antibiotics that are not active against the CA-MRSA pathogen.
- Order sputum cultures for all patients admitted to the ICU, as well as for patients with a clinical presentation suggestive of CAP who abuse alcohol, have severe asthma or other lung disease, or have a pleural effusion.
- Start patients with CA-MRSA CAP on linezolid without delay.
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