Heterotopic pregnancy: an emerging diagnostic challenge

Due in part to rising rates of in vitro fertilization, heterotopic pregnancy isn’t nearly as rare a condition as it was in times past. Here, the authors detail protocols for diagnosing and treating this challenging disorder.

Heterotopic pregnancy is a condition on the rise. In 1948, just 1 in 30,000 gravidas presented with this disorder, in which uterine and extrauterine gestations exist concomitantly. Today that rate is 1 in 3,800. And for women undergoing in vitro fertilization (IVF), the number is a startling 1 in 100.

Why such a dramatic shift? In the general population of women, the increase may be due in part to a rise in pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), but other risk factors—including history of ecyesis, previous pelvic surgery, and congenital or acquired abnormalities of the uterine cavity—also may contribute to the condition. But for IVF patients, the rise is largely attributable to the transfer of multiple embryos into the uterus. In fact, when more than 5 embryos are implanted, the risk of heterotopic pregnancy increases to 1 in 45.

When these rising incidence rates are coupled with the ever-increasing number of fertility treatments, it becomes clear that now it’s more important than ever that clinicians be able to readily recognize and treat this often-elusive condition.

Diagnosis

Heterotopic pregnancy is extremely difficult to diagnose. More than 50% of these pregnancies are identified by sonography or laparoscopy 2 weeks or more after the initial...
visualization of the intrauterine pregnancy, though approximately 85% go undiagnosed before the rupture of the ecchyseis.

Typically, in women with suspected ectopic pregnancy, serial serum quantitative beta (ß) human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) tests are combined with transvaginal ultrasonography (TVUS) to determine whether the pregnancy is intrauterine or extrauterine in nature. In distinguishing between ectopic and heterotopic pregnancies, however, serum ß-hCG determinations have not been particularly helpful, since each of the 2 pregnancies contribute to the total amount of circulating ß-hCG. In addition, up to 23% of heterotopic pregnancies have hCG levels comparable to singleton intrauterine pregnancies.

The assumption that detecting an intrauterine gestational sac by TVUS excludes ecchyseis is based on the 50-year-old estimate of the prevalence of heterotopic pregnancy (1 in 30,000). In fact, TVUS has been quite useful in the early detection of heterotopic pregnancy. The sonographic finding of 1 gestational sac in the uterine cavity with another in the adnexa is diagnostic of this condition.

Approximately 90% of ecchyseis accompanying intrauterine pregnancy occur in the fallopian tube, usually within its ampulla. It is perhaps easier to diagnose an ampullary pregnancy than an interstitial pregnancy, because there is a greater index of suspicion with a tube in place. However, an ampullary pregnancy also may be missed unless a heartbeat is detected in the adnexa.

Unfortunately, for women with heterotopic pregnancy who have undergone salpingectomy and whose ecchyseis resides in the interstitial or cornual remnants of the fallopian tube—a condition that occurs in 4% of heterotopic pregnancies—an ultrasonic mass adjacent to the uterus is unlikely to raise suspicion of an ecchyseis. This is because the adjacent intrauterine gestational sac mimics a singleton intrauterine pregnancy. (Few ecchyseis occur in the ovary, cervix, or abdomen.) But failure to diagnose an interstitial or cornual heterotopic pregnancy increases a woman’s risk of intra-abdominal hemorrhage, as rupture of the thickened, vascular muscularis surrounding the ecchyseis is delayed for up to 16 gestational weeks.

The sonographic signs suggestive of an interstitial ecchyseis include a chorionic sac, or echogenic mass, located approximately 1 cm lateral to the uterine cavity and surrounded by a thin myometrial rim (less than 5 mm). In addition, an interstitial line sign—defined as an echogenic line extending through the cornual region and into the middle of the interstitial mass—is 92% sensitive and nearly 100% specific for diagnosing an interstitial pregnancy. Color Doppler sonography may detect a “ring of fire” from high-velocity or low-impedance blood flow surrounding an ecchyseis (separate from a corpus luteum). A resistance index of less than 0.40 for such a mass (outside the ovary) is suspicious of an extrauterine pregnancy. Plus, an 8% difference in the resistance index between tubal arteries is 86% sensitive and 96% specific for ecchyseis. In addition to these findings, a TVUS showing a bridge of myometrium separating a suspected ecchyseis from an intrauterine pregnancy strongly suggests a heterotopic pregnancy.

An interstitial or cornual pregnancy discovered at surgery may be difficult to distinguish from an angular pregnancy, i.e., an intrauterine pregnancy that implants in the lateral angle of the uterine cavity. The distinction is crucial, however, because an angular pregnancy is more likely to miscarry.

Treatment of an ecchyseis must consider the viability of the intrauterine pregnancy.

CONTINUED
(38%) than to rupture. The angular pregnancies that do not miscarry are more likely to involve preterm labor. Interstitial ecyesis is surgically diagnosed by a hemorrhagic swelling in the uterine cornua that either displaces the round ligament anteriorly or the ovarian ligament posteriorly, depending upon whether it erodes through the anterior or posterior walls of the tube, respectively (FIGURES 2 and 3). An angular pregnancy appears as a similar cornual swelling, but does not distort the anatomical relationships between the round or ovarian ligaments and the fallopian tube.

**Treatment**

Any treatment of an ecyesis in a heterotopic pregnancy must consider the viability of the intrauterine pregnancy. The choice of surgical or medical treatment depends upon the hemodynamic status of the patient and the expertise of the physician. Surgical therapy is optimal when the patient is in shock and the physician has
appropriate surgical training.

**Surgical.** While prospective randomized trials of surgical versus medical strategies do not exist, laparoscopy is ideally suited to remove an unruptured ectopic without disrupting the remaining intrauterine pregnancy. When the ectopic ruptures, the intrauterine gestation still can survive. But decreased perfusion to the normal pregnancy places it at theoretical risk for miscarriage.

Laparoscopy should be performed without the use of an intracervical uterine manipulator, with the Veress needle inserted carefully into the abdomen to avoid perforating the gravid uterus. After abdominal insufflation, the choice of laparoscopic salpingectomy or cornual resection depends on the location of the ectopic within the fallopian tube or its remnant. Generally, physicians should perform a salpingectomy for ampullary pregnancies and a cornual resection for interstitial ectopies.

**Figure 2**

Angular versus interstitial or cornual pregnancy

A) Angular pregnancy with a cornual swelling that does not distort the anatomical relationships between the round or ovarian ligaments and the fallopian tube.

B) Cornual or interstitial pregnancy, here marked by a cornual swelling that displaces the round ligament anteriorly as it erodes through the anterior wall of the tube.


**Figure 3**

Interstitial heterotopic pregnancy

nual resection for cornual pregnancies. Regardless of the surgical approach, the use of sutures or staples—rather than electrocautery or intramyometrial injection of vasopressin—minimizes the risk of diminishing blood flow to the surviving intrauterine pregnancy, particularly during cornual resection. Exploratory laparotomy is appropriate when a ruptured ecysis is associated with severe intra-abdominal hemorrhage.

Medical. Therapeutic treatments for heterotopic pregnancy include transvaginal injection of the unruptured ecysis with potassium chloride, potassium chloride injection of the unruptured ecysis with methotrexate, or hyperosmolar glucose. While clinical experience in treating heterotopic pregnancy is limited, transvaginal injection of methotrexate into an ecysis could potentially harm the adjacent intrauterine pregnancy. Under similar circumstances, the use of potassium chloride has been shown at the time of cesarean section to distort the cornua, suggesting long-term impairment of tubal function.

In general, we favor the surgical therapies described above, because they can be performed quickly under outpatient conditions while eliminating the risk of later ectopic rupture. Medical therapy is best performed when the patient is clinically stable, compliant, and willing to be monitored over time in the clinic. When such a patient has restricted surgical access to the pelvis due to adhesions, we prefer administering a transvaginal injection of potassium chloride.

**Final thoughts**

It is important to note that one-third of intrauterine pregnancies accompanying heterotopic pregnancy miscarry in the first (89%) and second trimesters (8.5%). Miscarriage beyond the second trimester is rare, though preterm delivery may occur—particularly when heterotopic pregnancy is accompanied by multiple births. Still, a full two-thirds of intrauterine pregnancies accompanying heterotopic pregnancy do survive to term.

Early diagnosis is the key to successful treatment and delivery. Ultrasonographers must methodically examine the entire pelvic region, particularly in women who have had pelvic surgery, PID, or who are conceiving after a workup for fertility. Once a woman has been treated for interstitial or cornual heterotopic pregnancy, close observation of the patient’s hemodynamic status during labor is recommended, since the risk of uterine rupture is unknown.
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