PELVIC FLOOR DYSFUNCTION

Options for urinary incontinence are expanding, but how do the available treatments compare? These experts interpret the results of four recent randomized head-to-head trials.

Urinary incontinence (UI) affects almost half of all women in the United States.\textsuperscript{1,2} Estimates suggest that the prevalence of UI gradually rises during young adult life, comes to a broad plateau in middle age, and then steadily increases from that plateau after age 65. Therefore, over the next 40 years, as the elderly population expands in size, the number of women affected by UI will significantly grow.\textsuperscript{3}

For patients with UI, a multitude of therapeutic options are available. Which option is the best for your patient? In this article, we aim to answer that question by interpreting the results of four randomized trials, each of which directly compare two available treatment options. The first study examines patients with stress urinary incontinence (SUI), comparing the patients’ subjective improvement in urinary leakage and bladder function at 12 months after randomization to treatment with physiotherapy or midurethral sling surgery.

The three other trials examine patients with overactive bladder (OAB) and urgency urinary incontinence (UUI). Each trial directly compares the use of anticholinergic medications to an alternate treatment modality. Currently, anticholinergic medications and behavioral therapy are the recommended first-line therapies for OAB. Unfortunately, anticholinergic medications have poor patient compliance and significant systemic side effects.\textsuperscript{4} Caution should be used when considering anticholinergic medications in patients with impaired gastric emptying or a history of urinary retention. They also should be used with caution in elderly patients who are extremely frail. Additionally, clearance from an ophthalmologist must be obtained prior to starting anticholinergic medication in patients with narrow-angle glaucoma.\textsuperscript{5}

Due to poor adherence and potential side effects, there is a growing effort to discover alternative treatment modalities that are safe and effective. Therefore, we chose to examine trials comparing: mirabegron versus tolterodine, percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus tolterodine, and onabotulinumtoxinA versus anticholinergic medications.

UI defined
Before discussing treatment options, we want to clarify the main types of UI (FIGURE). UI is defined as the complaint of involuntary loss of urine. UI can be subdivided into SUI, OAB/UUI, or mixed urinary incontinence.\textsuperscript{6} While there are other less common genitourinary
etologies that can lead to UI, nongenitourinary etiologies are prevalent and can aggravate existing SUI or OAB (TABLE).

SUI is the complaint of involuntary loss of urine on effort or physical exertion (such as during sporting activities) or on sneezing or coughing. Often, SUI can be diagnosed by patient report alone and surgery can be considered in symptomatic patients who demonstrate cough leakage on physical examination and normal postvoid residual volumes.

UUI is the involuntary loss of urine associated with urgency; it often occurs in the setting of OAB, which is defined as the syndrome of urinary urgency, usually accompanied by frequency and nocturia, with or without UUI, in the absence of urinary tract infection or other obvious pathology (such as neurologic dysfunction, infection, or urologic neoplasm). OAB-dry is present when patients do not have leakage with urgency, but are bothered by urgency, frequency, and/or nocturia. OAB-wet occurs when a patient has urgency incontinence.

The presence of both SUI and OAB/UUI is known as mixed urinary incontinence. Stress and urgency urinary symptoms often present together. In fact, 10% to 30% of women with stress symptoms are found to have bladder overactivity on subsequent evaluation. Therefore, it is important to take a good history and consider urodynamic evaluation to confirm the diagnosis of SUI prior to surgery in women with mixed stress and urge symptoms, a history of a previous surgery for incontinence, or when there is a poor correlation of physical examination findings to reported symptoms.

### Types of urinary incontinence in women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genitourinary etiology</th>
<th>Nongenitourinary etiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filling and storage disorders</td>
<td>Functional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Urodynamic stress incontinence</td>
<td>- Neurologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Detrusor overactivity (idiopathic)</td>
<td>- Cognitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Detrusor overactivity (neurogenic)</td>
<td>- Psychologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mixed types</td>
<td>- Physical impairment or restricted mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Vesical</td>
<td>- Caffeine, alcohol, excess fluid intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ureteral</td>
<td>Pharmacologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Urethral</td>
<td>- Diuretics, narcotic analgesics, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital malformation</td>
<td>- Metabolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ectopic ureter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Epispadias</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is surgery a first-line option for patients with SUI?


Physiotherapy, including pelvic floor muscle training ("Kegel exercises"), is utilized as a first-line treatment option for women with SUI that carries minimal risk for the patient. Midurethral sling surgery is often recommended if an initial trial of conservative treatment fails. Up to 50% of women treated with pelvic floor physiotherapy will ultimately undergo surgery to treat their SUI.

Details of the study
This was a randomized, multicenter trial of women aged 35 to 80 years with moderate to severe SUI. After excluding women with previous incontinence surgery and stage 2 or higher pelvic organ prolapse, 460 participants were randomly assigned to undergo either a midurethral sling surgery or physiotherapy (pelvic floor muscle training). The primary outcome was subjective improvement in urinary leakage and bladder function at 12 months, as measured by the Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I), a 7-point Likert scale ranging from "very much worse" to "very much better."

In an intention-to-treat analysis, subjective improvement at 12 months was significantly higher in women randomized to midurethral sling surgery than in women randomized to physiotherapy (91% vs 64%, respectively).

Ten percent of patients had adverse events (AEs); all were related to surgery. The most common AEs were hematoma, vaginal epithelial perforation, and bladder perforation.

Notably, women had the option to cross over to the other treatment modality if they desired. In the physiotherapy group, 49% of women elected to cross over to surgery, while 11% of those who underwent midurethral sling surgery elected to cross over to physiotherapy during the 12-month follow-up period. When analyzing results by treatment received, the investigators found that the proportion of women who reported improvement was significantly lower among women who underwent physiotherapy only (32%), versus sling only (94%), or sling after physiotherapy (91%).

This randomized trial was well-designed and included a variety of treatment centers (university and general hospitals) with interventions performed by experienced surgeons (all of whom had performed at least 20 sling surgeries) and physiotherapists educated specifically in pelvic floor physiotherapy. The study population was limited to patients with moderate to severe SUI as defined by the Sandvik severity index. Therefore, these results may not be applicable to patients with milder symptoms, for whom physiotherapy has been recommended as first-line therapy with consideration of surgery if physiotherapy fails to sufficiently improve symptoms.

WHAT THIS EVIDENCE MEANS FOR PRACTICE
Women with moderate to severe SUI without significant prolapse or a history of prior incontinence surgery have significantly better outcomes at 12 months after undergoing midurethral sling surgery versus physiotherapy. Physiotherapy carries little to no risk of adverse effects. Women with moderate to severe SUI should be counseled regarding the risks and benefits of both physiotherapy and midurethral sling surgery as initial treatment options.

Because stress and urgency urinary symptoms often present together, it is important to consider urodynamic evaluation to confirm SUI prior to surgery in women with:
- mixed stress and urge symptoms
- a history of a previous surgery for incontinence, or
- poor correlation of physical examination findings to reported symptoms.
Safety and tolerability of mirabegron versus tolterodine for OAB


In the bladder, beta3-receptors located within the detrusor smooth muscle facilitate urine storage by relaxing the detrusor, enabling the bladder to fill.10 The activation of beta3-receptors is thought to increase the bladder’s ability to store urine, with the goal of decreasing urgency, frequency, nocturia, and urgency incontinence. An alternative to anticholinergic medications, mirabegron is a beta3-agonist approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2012 for clinical use in the treatment of OAB.

Details of the study
Chapple and colleagues aimed to assess the 12-month efficacy and safety of mirabegron in a randomized, double-blind active controlled trial. The primary outcome was incidence and severity of treatment-emergent adverse effects (TEAEs); the secondary outcome was the change in OAB symptoms from baseline to up to 12 months. Patients experiencing OAB symptoms for more than 3 months were eligible and were subsequently enrolled if they averaged 8 or more voids per day and 3 or more episodes of urgency with or without incontinence on a 3-day bladder diary. A total of 2,444 patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1:1 fashion to mirabegron 50 mg daily, mirabegron 100 mg daily, or tolterodine extended release (ER) 4 mg daily.

There was a similar incidence (60% to 63%) of TEAEs across all three groups. The most common TEAEs were hypertension (defined as average systolic blood pressure [BP] >140 mm Hg or average diastolic BP >90 mm Hg at two consecutive visits), UTI, headache, nasopharyngitis, and constipation. The adjusted mean changes in BP from baseline to final visit were less than 1 mm Hg for both systolic and diastolic BP for patients taking both doses of mirabegron, as well as for patients taking tolterodine. The incidence of dry mouth was higher in the tolterodine group than the mirabegron groups. Mirabegron 50 mg daily and 100 mg daily improved incontinence symptoms within 1 month of starting therapy; the degree of improvement was similar to that seen in the patients taking tolterodine ER 4 mg daily.

Some caveats
This study was well-designed to assess the safety and tolerability of mirabegron versus tolterodine. The doses utilized in the study were at or above the FDA-approved dosage of 25 mg to 50 mg daily for OAB treatment. Although investigators found mirabegron to be a safe alternative to anticholinergic medication, the study was not designed or powered to examine the efficacy of mirabegron versus tolterodine. No formal comparison of efficacy was made between mirabegron or tolterodine, or between the 50-mg and 100-mg doses of mirabegron. Moreover, 81% of participants had been treated with mirabegron in earlier Phase 3 studies, so most were not treatment naïve, limiting the applicability of results.

Mirabegron had similar incidences of treatment emergent AEs and improvement of UI symptoms as tolterodine

WHAT THIS EVIDENCE MEANS FOR PRACTICE

Mirabegron should be considered as a potential treatment option for patients who demonstrate poor tolerance of or response to anticholinergic medications; however, caution should be used in patients with severe uncontrolled high BP, end-stage kidney disease, or severe liver impairment.
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Consider percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation over tolterodine for OAB in select patients


Neuromodulation utilizes electrical stimulation to improve bladder function and decrease OAB symptoms. First developed in the early 1980s by McGuire and colleagues, percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) was approved by the FDA in 2000 as Urgent PC and provides an outpatient, nonimplantable neuromodulation alternative to medication therapy for patients with OAB.11,12 By directly stimulating the posterior tibial nerve, PTNS works via the S3 sacral nerve plexus to alter the micturition reflex and improve bladder function.

Details of the study
Patients were eligible for the study if they demonstrated 8 or more voids per day on a 3-day bladder diary (whether or not they had a history of previous anticholinergic drug use). A total of 100 ambulatory adults with OAB symptoms were enrolled and randomly assigned to PTNS 30-minutes per week or tolterodine ER 4 mg daily.

At 12 weeks, both groups demonstrated a significant improvement in OAB measures as well as validated symptom severity and quality-of-life questionnaire scores. Subjective assessment of improvement in OAB symptoms was significantly greater in the PTNS group than in the tolterodine group (79.5% vs 54.8%, respectively; \( P = .01 \)). However, mean reduction of voids for 24 hours was not significantly different between the two groups.

Both treatments were well tolerated, with only 15% to 16% of patients in both groups reporting mild to moderate side effects. The tolterodine group did have a significantly higher risk of dry mouth; however, the risk of constipation was not significantly different between the groups.

Study limitations
The authors performed an important multi-center, nonblinded, randomized, controlled trial, which was one of the first trials to directly compare two OAB therapies. The generalizability of the findings were limited, as the cohort included mostly patients with dry OAB who had no objective measures on UUI episodes. In addition, this trial had a limited observation period of only 12 weeks. Information regarding the effect of treatment after cessation of weekly PTNS therapy was not examined. Therefore, we are not able to determine whether repeat sessions provide adequate maintenance in the long term.
OnabotulinumtoxinA is an effective therapy for OAB


The newest therapy for OAB is onabotulinumtoxinA, or Botox, which was FDA approved this year for the treatment of OAB in adults who cannot use or do not tolerate anticholinergic medications. Recommended doses are 100 U onabotulinumtoxinA in patients with idiopathic refractory OAB and 200 U onabotulinumtoxinA for patients with neurogenic OAB.

OnabotulinumtoxinA is a neurotoxin that blocks synaptic transmission at the neuromuscular junction to cause muscle paralysis and atrophy. Injecting onabotulinumtoxinA into the detrusor smooth muscle should relax the bladder and decrease sensations of urgency and frequency to achieve a longer duration of time for bladder filling and reduce the risk of urgency incontinence.

Effects of onabotulinumtoxinA appear to wear off over time, and patients may require repeat injections. Side effects of onabotulinumtoxinA therapy include an increased risk of UTI and the potential for urinary retention requiring intermittent self-catheterization.

Details of the study

The Anticholinergic Versus Botulinum Toxin Comparison (ABC) study was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, double-placebo-controlled trial conducted in women without known neurologic disease with moderate to severe UUI (defined as ≥5 UUI episodes on a 3-day bladder diary). Women were randomly assigned to a single intradetrusor injection of 100 U onabotulinumtoxinA plus oral placebo or to a single intradetrusor injection of saline plus solifenacin 5 mg daily (with the option of dose escalation and then switching to trosplum XR if no improvement was seen).

Of the 241 women included in the final analysis, approximately 70% in each group reported adequate control of symptoms at 6 months. Adequate control was defined as a response of “agree strongly” or “agree” to the statement: “This treatment has given me adequate control of my urinary leakage.” Women in the onabotulinumtoxinA group were significantly more likely than women in the anticholinergic medication group to report complete resolution of UUI at 6 months (27% vs 13%, P = .003). However, the mean reduction in episodes of UUI per day and the improvements in quality-of-life questionnaire scores were found to be similar. Interestingly, worse baseline UUI was associated with greater reduction in episodes of UUI for both therapies.

This was a rigorous and well-executed double-blind, double-placebo−controlled randomized trial. By utilizing broad inclusion criteria and enrolling patients both with and without previous exposure to anticholinergic medications, the generalizability of study findings are greatly improved. Because this study did not examine the effect or efficacy of repeat injections, these findings have limited applicability to patients undergoing multiple onabotulinumtoxinA injections.

When considering use in your patient population, keep the possible side effects in mind.

WHAT THIS EVIDENCE MEANS FOR PRACTICE

This study showed that, among women with UUI, anticholinergic medication and onabotulinumtoxinA are equally effective in reducing UUI episodes and improving quality of life. It is important to consider the side effect profile, determine the patient’s preferences, and weigh the risks and benefits of each therapy when deciding what is the best treatment for your individual patient.
There were important differences in the side effects experienced with each therapy. Specifically, while the anticholinergic group had a higher frequency of dry mouth (46% anticholinergic vs 31% onabotulinumtoxinA, P = .02), the onabotulinumtoxinA group demonstrated higher rates of incomplete bladder emptying requiring catheterization (peak of 5% at 2 months) and greater risk of UTI (33% onabotulinumtoxinA vs 13% anticholinergic, P < .001).
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