Dear Dr. Mossman:

Lately, a physician colleague has been arriving late for work. He seemed drunk a couple of times, and he’s been making some careless but minor mistakes. When would I have a duty to report him for suspected impairment? He is a longtime friend, which makes me uncomfortable with the prospect of having to report him.

Submitted by “Dr. Z”

Holding ourselves to ethical guidelines and standards of conduct sometimes is hard, but when we become responsible for our colleagues’ behavior, things can get awkward. Yet the responsibilities of practicing medicine include professional self-regulation.1 Failure to monitor ourselves and each other would put the reputation and integrity of the medical profession at risk—not to mention the safety of our patients. Despite this, many physicians are understandably reluctant to report colleagues who appear impaired.

To decide whether you should report a colleague, you must:

• know what behaviors constitute impairment
• understand the duty to report impaired colleagues
• realize reporting colleagues often creates emotional conflict
• understand recovery options and resources available for impaired practitioners.

After we examine these matters, we’ll see what Dr. Z should do.

Physician impairment is a public health issue that affects not just physicians but their families, colleagues, and patients. In this context, “impairment” means a physical, mental, or substance-related disorder that interferes with a physician’s ability to undertake professional activities competently and safely.2

Although many mental conditions can cause impairment, we focus here on substance abuse, a condition that often leads to functional impairment. Physicians develop addictions at rates at least as high as those in the general population.3 Physicians-in-training—including psychiatric residents—

### Impairment defined

**Signs of physician impairment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deteriorating personal hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased absence from professional functions or duties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional lability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearing sleep-deprived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased professional errors (eg, prescriptions, dictations, clinical judgment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not responding to pages or telephone calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased concern for patient well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citing unexplained ‘personal problems’ to mask deficits in concentration or patient care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased patient complaints about quality of care and bedside manner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many ‘accidental’ injuries (possibly contrived to obtain narcotic prescriptions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Reference 7
are at particularly high risk for developing stress-related problems, depression, and substance misuse.4,5

Occupational demands, self-criticism, and self-treatment may contribute to substance misuse by physicians.

Medical associations’ official positions on reporting impairment

| American Medical Association (Policy H-275.952)² | Physicians have an ethical obligation to report impaired, incompetent, and unethical colleagues.¹ |
| Federation of State Medical Boards⁶ | Physician health programs have ‘a primary commitment to [help] state medical boards … protect the public … [These] programs [should] demonstrate an ongoing track record of ensuring safety to the public and reveal deficiencies if they occur.’ |

State medical board rules on reporting physician impairment: 3 examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California⁹</td>
<td>California’s Medical Practice Act contains no mandatory reporting requirement. However, … the Board clearly is concerned about physicians who potentially present a danger to their patients. Reporting an impaired colleague to the Medical Board will allow the Board to ensure adequate protections are in place so a colleague who requires assistance will not harm the public. The Board keeps the sources of complaint information confidential.¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana¹⁰</td>
<td>‘[E]ach licensed physician … shall … report to the board any information … that appears to show that a physician is’ impaired. However, ‘[i]nformation that relates to possible physical or mental impairment connected to [substance misuse or illness] may be reported to’ Montana’s physician rehabilitation program ‘in lieu of reporting directly to the board.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio¹¹</td>
<td>‘Any Board licensee having knowledge’ that a physician is impaired because of substance misuse ‘is required … to report that information to the Board. … [H]owever, … the [impaired] physician’s colleagues may be excused from reporting the physician’s impairment … if the [impaired] physician has completed treatment with a Board approved treatment provider and maintained uninterrupted sobriety, and violated no other provisions of the Ohio Medical Practice Act.’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reporting duties

Doctors and physician health programs have a duty to report impaired colleagues who continue to practice despite reasonable offers of assistance. This obligation appears in professional guidelines (Table 2)²,⁸ and in laws and regulations governing the practice of medicine. Laws and regulations are similar in spirit across jurisdictions, although the exact wording varies from state to state (Table 3).⁹,¹¹ Physicians are responsible for being familiar with reporting requirements in states they practice and complying accordingly.

Physicians must follow state guidelines and protocols for reporting a colleague’s impairment. In many situations, an intermediate step—such as notifying a chief of service or a physician health program—might occur before a report of impairment goes to a
licensing board. Options for reporting impaired physicians appear in Table 4.\textsuperscript{2,12}

Overcoming emotional factors
Doctors facing the need to report an impaired colleague often experience emotional conflicts because the impaired is a mentor, supervisor, trainee, friend, or practice partner. Denial, stigmatization, concerns about practice coverage, and fear of retaliation also can contribute to non-reporting. Although we know a colleague’s substance misuse represents a threat to his patients’ welfare and safety,\textsuperscript{13} reporting a colleague forces us to overcome our allegiance to a fellow practitioner.

Medical professionals should remember, however, that it is always better to identify and treat illnesses early in their course. When early referrals are not made, doctors afflicted by illness often remain without treatment until more severe impairment causes workplace errors. Withholding information about an impaired colleague from supervisors or state medical boards does a disservice to patients and to the colleague. The colleague’s drug or alcohol problems may worsen, and recovery or acquisition of future licenses might become more difficult or impossible. Initial application for medical licensure in 47 states and the District of Columbia inquire about physicians’ recent history of mental health and substance abuse problems, as well as their functional impairment.\textsuperscript{14} Even renewal of state medical licensure examines applicants’ mental health, physical health, and substance abuse histories.\textsuperscript{15}

Recovery resources
Many institutions and medical board committees have instituted written policies for dealing with workplace addiction.\textsuperscript{13} An awareness of and sensitivity to physician vulnerability and early detection and prevention of impairment are important.\textsuperscript{2}

At least 39 states have “sick doctor statutes” that permit licensure suspension for physicians who cannot practice medicine safely because of illness or substance use disorders.\textsuperscript{16} Several states have forms of “immunity”—license protection and preservation—for physicians who seek treatment voluntarily, and some states have legislative provisions that require impaired physicians to get treatment and be monitored so they can keep their licenses.\textsuperscript{17} In almost every state, medical societies have established physicians’ health committees and treatment programs (Table 5, page 70).\textsuperscript{18}

Physicians often recover
Physician treatment is unique for several reasons. First, it is rarely voluntary, and because treatment is coerced in some way, physicians are sicker when they enter treatment. They have more social dysfunction, more medical consequences, and simply are more complicated to treat. Still, most treatment programs for impaired professionals report better rates of long-term recovery than those of the general public, perhaps because physicians are monitored intensively and have the strong motivation of not wanting to lose their medical licens-
es. For example, in a study of 100 alcoholic U.S. doctors followed for 21 years, 73% had recovered. This study and others show a strong relationship between recovery and attending meetings of self-help groups.19

**What should Dr. Z do?**

Dr. Z is a member of a professional community that has an ethical obligation to police itself and to report observations that suggest impairment. His colleague’s suspected substance use disorder could interfere with his ability to function and pose a risk to patient welfare and safety. Although reporting a colleague is unpleasant, impaired physicians often recover, and the data support optimism about returning to clinical practice for physicians who get appropriate treatment. In this case, Dr. Z’s reporting of his concerns about impairment would help uphold the integrity of the medi-

**Bottom Line**

All physicians have a responsibility to monitor and report fellow doctors putting the reputation and integrity of the medical field at risk. While there are different options for reporting impaired colleagues, rules for reporting colleagues are virtually the same across the country, and physicians are responsible for knowing the rules of their state.2,8-12
cal profession and would offer his colleague the potential benefits of treatment and recovery programs.
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Clinical Point
Identifying and treating illnesses early in their course can help prevent severe impairment from manifesting into workplace errors.
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