Dear Dr. Mossman,

My patient, Ms. A, asked me to write a letter to her landlord (who has a “no pets” policy) stating that she needed to keep her dog in her apartment for “therapeutic” purposes—to provide comfort and reduce her posttraumatic stress (PTSD) and anxiety. I hesitated. Could my written statement make me liable if her dog bit someone?

Submitted by “Dr. B”

Studies showing that animals can help outpatients manage psychiatric conditions have received a lot of publicity lately. As a result, more patients are asking physicians to provide documentation to support having pets in their apartments or letting their pets accompany them on planes and buses and at restaurants and shopping malls.

But sometimes, animals hurt people. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reports that dogs bite 4.5 million Americans each year and that one-fifth of dog bites cause injury that requires medical attention; in 2012, more than 27,000 dog-bite victims needed reconstructive surgery.1 If Dr. B writes a letter to support letting Ms. A keep a dog in her apartment, how likely is Dr. B to incur professional liability?

To answer this question, let’s examine:
• the history and background of “pet therapy”
• types of assistance animals
• potential liability for owners, landlords, and clinicians.

History and background
Using animals to improve hospitalized patients’ mental well-being dates back to the 18th century.2 In the late 1980s, medical publications began to document systematically how service dogs whose primary role was to help physically disabled individuals to navigate independently also provided social and emotional benefits.3-7 Since the 1990s, accessibility mandates in Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (Table 1, page 34) have led to the gradual acceptance of service animals in public places where their presence was previously frowned upon or prohibited.8,10

If service dogs help people with physical problems feel better, it only makes sense that dogs and other animals might lessen emotional ailments, too.11-12 Florence Nightingale and Sigmund Freud both recognized that involving pets in treatment reduced patients’ depression and anxiety,14 but credit for formally introducing animals into therapy usually goes to psychologist Boris Levinson, whose 1969 book described how his dog Jingles helped troubled children communicate.15 Over the past decade, using animals—trained and untrained—for psychological assistance has become an increasingly popular therapeutic maneuver for diverse mental disorders, including autism, anxiety, schizophrenia, and PTSD.16-19

Terminology
Because animals can provide many types of assistance and support, a variety of terms are...
used to refer to them: service animals, companion animals, therapy pets, and so on. In certain situations (including the one described by Dr. B), carefully delineating animals’ roles and functions can reduce confusion and misinterpretation by patients, health care professionals, policy makers, and regulators.

Parenti et al.20 have proposed a “taxonomy” for assistance dogs based on variables that include:

- performing task related to a disability
- the skill level required of the dog
- who uses the dog
- applicable training standards
- legal protections for the dog and its handler.

Table 2 summarizes this classification system and key variables that differentiate types of assistance dogs.

### Table 1

**Excerpts on service animals from the revised final regulations implementing the Americans with Disabilities Act**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service animals are … dogs that are individually trained to … perform tasks for people with disabilities, [such as] reminding a person with mental illness to take prescribed medications [or] calming a person with PTSD.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service animals’ … work or task … must be directly related to the person’s disability. Dogs whose sole function is to provide comfort or emotional support do not qualify as service animals under the ADA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and local governments, businesses, and nonprofit organizations that serve the public generally must allow service animals to accompany people with disabilities in all areas of the facility where the public is normally allowed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service animals must be harnessed, leashed, or tethered, unless these devices interfere with the animal’s work or the individual’s disability prevents using these devices. In that case, the individual must maintain control of the animal through voice, signal, or other effective controls.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Reference 8

### Clinical Point

Typically, service animals need to be certified, yet no federal or state statutes lay out training standards or requirements

### Certification

Health care facilities often require that visiting dogs have some form of “certification” that they are well behaved, and the ADA and many state statutes require that service dogs and some other animals be “certified” to perform their roles. Yet no federal or state statutes lay out explicit training standards or requirements for certification. Therapy Dogs International21 and Pet Partners22 are 2 organizations that provide certifications accepted by many agencies and organizations.

Assistance Dogs International, an assistance animal advocacy group, has proposed “minimum standards” for training and deployment of service dogs. These include responding to basic obedience commands from the client in public and at home, being able to perform at least 3 tasks to mitigate the client’s disability, teaching the client about dog training and health care, and scheduled follow-ups for skill maintenance. Dogs also should be spayed or neutered, properly vaccinated, nonaggressive, clean, and continent in public places.

### Liability laws

Most U.S. jurisdictions make owners liable for animal-caused injuries, including injuries caused by service dogs.24 In many states (eg, Minnesota25), an owner can be liable for dog-bite injury even if the owner did nothing wrong and had no reason to suspect from prior behavior that the dog might bite someone. Other jurisdictions require evidence of owner negligence, or they allow liability only when bites occur off the owner’s premises26 or if the owner let the dog run loose.27 Many homeowners’ insurance policies include liability coverage for dog
bites, and a few companies offer a special canine liability policy.

Landlords often try to bar tenants from having a dog, partly to avoid liability for dog bites. Most states have case law stating that, if a tenant’s apparently friendly dog bites someone, the landlord is not liable for the injury; landlords can be liable only if they know about a dangerous dog and do nothing about it. In a recent decision, however, the Kentucky Supreme Court made landlords statutory owners with potential liability for dog bites if they give tenants permission to have dogs “on or about” the rental premises.

**Clinicians and liability**

Asking tenants to provide documentation about their need for therapeutic pets has become standard operating procedure for landlords in many states, so Ms. A’s request to Dr. B sounds reasonable. But could Dr. B’s written statement lead to liability if Ms. A’s dog bit and injured someone else?

The best answer is, “It’s conceivable, but really unlikely.” Donna Vanderpool, MBA, JD, an author and attorney who develops and implements risk management services for psychiatrists, has not seen any claims or case reports on litigation blaming mental health clinicians for injury caused by emotional support pets after the clinicians had written a letter for housing purposes (oral and written communications, April 7-13, 2014).

Dr. B might wonder whether writing a letter for Ms. A would imply that he had evaluated the dog and Ms. A’s ability to control it. Psychiatrists don’t usually discuss—or even evaluate—the temperament or behavior of their patients’ pets; even if they did, they aren’t experts on pet training. Recognizing this, Dr. B’s letter could include a statement

---

**Table 2**

**Classification and key roles of service dogs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Key role</th>
<th>Assistance for disability</th>
<th>Highly skilled?</th>
<th>Assists a professional</th>
<th>Certification available?</th>
<th>Access protections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service dog</td>
<td>Works or performs tasks for disabled person</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Variable or limited</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public service dog</td>
<td>Assists public service personnel (eg, police dogs)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Variable or limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy dog</td>
<td>Used by a healthcare professional to implement treatment</td>
<td>Variable or limited</td>
<td>Variable or limited</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitation dog</td>
<td>Provides comfort, support, companionship, and social interaction</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting or agricultural dog</td>
<td>Participates in competition, transport, farming, or recreation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Variable or limited</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support dog</td>
<td>Provides emotional support to individuals, primarily in homes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Variable or limited</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Variable or limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Reference 20*
to the effect that he was not vouching for the dog’s behavior, but only for how the dog would help Ms. A.

Dr. B also might talk with Ms. A about her need for the dog and whether she had obtained appropriate certification, as discussed above. The ADA provisions pertaining to use and presence of service animals do not apply to dogs that are merely patients’ pets, notwithstanding the genuine emotional benefits that a dog’s companionship might provide. Stating that a patient needs an animal to treat an illness might be fraud if the doctor knew the pet was just a buddy.

Acknowledgement
Dr. Mossman thanks Donna Vanderpool, MBA, JD, and Stephen Wilkes, MD, for their helpful input in preparing this article.

References
24. Id Stat §6-704.

Bottom Line
Psychiatrists can expect that more and more patients will ask them for letters to support having pets accompany them at home or in public. Although liability seems unlikely, cautious psychiatrists can state in such letters that they have not evaluated the animal in question, only the potential benefits that the patient might derive from it.