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When depression fails to respond to initial therapy—as it commonly does—we have many options but little evidence to guide our choices. We often wonder:

• Is this patient’s depression treatment-resistant?
• Would switching medications or augmenting the initial drug be more likely to achieve an adequate response?
• How effective is psychotherapy compared with medication for treatment-resistant depression?

This article offers insights into each question, based on available trial data, algorithmic approaches to major depressive disorder, and clinical experience. Included is a preview of an ongoing multicenter, treatment-resistant depression study that mimics clinical practice and a look at vagus nerve stimulation (VNS)—a novel somatic therapy being considered by the FDA.

MEASURING TREATMENT RESPONSE
Sustained symptom remission—with normalization of function—is the aim of treating major depressive disorder. Outcomes are categorized as:

• remission (virtual absence of depressive symptoms)
Treatment-resistant depression

**Major depressive disorder:** Common and disabling

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is typically recurrent or chronic and characterized by marked disability and a life expectancy shortened by suicide and increased mortality from associated medical conditions. Lifetime prevalence is 16.2%. MDD is twice as likely to affect women as men and is common among adolescents, young adults, and persons with concurrent medical conditions.

Major depression’s course is characterized by:
- recurrent episodes (approximately every 5 years)
- or a persistent level of waxing and waning depressive symptoms (in 20% to 35% of cases).

Dysthymic disorder often heralds major depression. Within 1 year, 5% to 20% of persons with dysthymic disorder develop major depression.

Disability associated with major depression often exceeds that of other general medical conditions. Depression is the fourth most disabling condition worldwide and is projected to rank number two by 2020 because of its chronic and recurrent nature, high prevalence, and life-shortening effects.

Consequences of unremitting depression include:
- poor day-to-day function (work, family)
- increased likelihood of recurrence
- psychiatric or medical complications, including substance abuse
- high use of mental health and general medical resources
- worsened prognosis of medical conditions
- high family burden.

DEFINING TREATMENT RESISTANCE

A patient may not achieve remission for a variety of reasons, including poor adherence, inadequate medication trial or dosing, occult substance abuse, undiagnosed medical conditions, concurrent Axis I or II disorders, or treatment resistance.

The general consensus is to consider depression “treatment-resistant” when at least two adequately delivered treatments do not achieve at least a response. A stricter definition—failure to achieve sustained remission with two or more treatments—has also been suggested.

Several schemes have proposed treatment...
resistance levels, such as the five stages identified in the Table. Recent studies\(^9\)\(^{10}\) suggest that increasing treatment resistance is associated with decreasing response or remission rates.

Therefore, when a patient’s treatment resistance is high, two appropriate strategies are to:

- persist with and use maximally tolerated dosages of the treatment you select
- aim for response because high resistance lowers the likelihood of remission.

**Predicting response.** A major clinical issue is determining whether remission will occur during an acute treatment trial. It is important to not declare treatment resistance unless there has been:

- adequate exposure (dosing and duration) to the treatment
- and adequate adherence.

Patients often have apparent but not actual resistance, meaning that the agent was not used long enough (at least 6 weeks) or at high enough doses. Remission typically follows response by several weeks or even 1 to 2 months for more-chronic depressions.\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^1\) Thus, treatment trials should continue at least 12 weeks to determine whether remission will occur.

On the other hand, not obtaining at least a signal of minimal benefit (at least a 20% reduction in baseline symptom severity) in 4 to 6 weeks often portends a low likelihood of response in the long run.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\) Thus, continue a treatment at least 6 weeks before you decide that it will not achieve a response.

**Recommendation.** Measure symptoms at key decision points. If modest improvement (such as 20% reduction in baseline symptoms) is found at 4 to 6 weeks, continue treating another 4 to 6 weeks, increasing the dosage as tolerated.

**Table**

Simple system for staging antidepressant resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Failure of at least one adequate trial of one major antidepressant class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Stage I resistance plus failure of an adequate trial of an antidepressant in a distinctly different class from that used in Stage I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Stage II resistance plus failure of an adequate trial of a tricyclic antidepressant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Stage III resistance plus failure of an adequate trial of a monoamine oxidase inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Stage IV resistance plus failure of a course of bilateral electroconvulsive therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

**TREATMENT OPTIONS**

When initial antidepressant treatment fails to achieve an adequate response—as it does in more than one-half of major depression cases—the next step is to add a second agent or switch to another agent.

Available evidence\(^1\)\(^4\) relies almost exclusively on open, uncontrolled trials, which do not provide definitive answers. Even so, these trials indicate that nonresponse (or nonremission) with one agent does not predict nonresponse/nonremission with another.

**Switching strategies.** When a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) is the first treatment, several open trials reveal an approximately 50% response rate to a second SSRI. However, open-trial evidence and retrospective chart review reports also indicate that switching out of class (such as from an SSRI to bupropion) is also approximately 50% effective.\(^1\)\(^5\)
Psychotherapy may also play a key role in augmenting medication’s effects. Keller et al found in chronically depressed outpatients that 12 weeks of nefazodone, up to 600 mg/d, plus cognitive behavioral analytic system psychotherapy (CBASP) produced higher response and remission rates compared with either treatment alone. A subsequent report found that 50% of nefazodone and CBASP monotherapy nonresponders did respond when switched to the alternate treatment.

Thus, CBASP may be useful at least in chronic depression to augment medication or as a “switch” to monotherapy if medication alone fails. Interestingly, Nemeroff et al found CBASP more effective than nefazodone for patients with chronic major depression who had a childhood history of parental loss or physical, sexual, or emotional abuse.

Antidepressant tachyphylaxis—commonly referred to as “poop-out”—is reported with all antidepressants. That is, even while apparently taking their medications for 6 to 18 months, some patients lose the antidepressant effect, such that some symptoms return or a full relapse/recurrence ensues. Mechanisms of this phenomenon are unknown.

Clinically, some believe that “poop out” is more common with SSRIs than with other antidepressant classes, but no long-term comparative data support or challenge this view. Treatment options include a dosage increase, dosage reduction (especially for long half-life SSRIs such as fluoxetine), or augmentation with the options noted above (such as bupropion, buspirone, etc.).

**Response rates are similar (about 50%), whether switching to a second SSRI or to another class**

**BENEFIT OF USING ALGORITHMS**

Algorithms (such as the Texas Medication Algorithm Project) have suggested multiple treatment steps for major depression after initial treatment fails, with several options available at
each step. Using medication algorithms has been found more effective than treatment-as-usual in outpatients with major depressive disorder. No studies have compared different algorithms.

**STAR*D trial.** The ongoing National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) trial may offer a new algorithmic approach to treating major depression. NIMH launched STAR*D in 1999, enrollment began in 2001, and results are expected by May 2005 (see Related resources).

STAR*D—of which I am the study director—is a randomized, controlled, rater-blinded, multisite trial of outpatients ages 18 to 75 with nonpsychotic major depression (17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression score $\geq 14$). The trial design includes four treatment levels and numerous antidepressant options (Figure).

The study’s aim is to enroll 4,000 patients into level 1, with 1,500 entering level 2. Patients who achieve an adequate response based on clinician judgment may continue the effective treatment for 12 months, during which their symptoms and other relevant information are monitored monthly by telephone. Patients who do not achieve an acceptable response in level 1 (or in subsequent levels) may proceed to the next level, which involves a randomized assignment.

STAR*D has an innovative design that mimics clinical practice and ensures high levels of patient participation. When patients agree to randomization, they may elect to exclude groups of treatments but may not pick a particular treatment (they must accept randomization to stay in the study).

For example, patient A entering level 2 may exclude switch treatments and elect to accept randomization to citalopram plus bupropion SR, citalopram plus buspirone, or citalopram and cognitive therapy. Conversely, patient B may exclude all augment options at level 2, and accept randomization to the four switch options.

Patients may exclude cognitive psychotherapy as an augment and/or switch option as long as they accept randomization to all available medication switches, or augments, or both. They may also choose cognitive therapy and exclude all medication switch and augment options. These

### Table: \( \text{STAR*D treatment levels for major depressive disorder} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>12- to 14-week trial of citalopram, 20 to 60 mg/d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Nonresponders may switch or augment among seven options (see below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>Nonresponders may switch to nortriptyline, 50 to 150 mg/d, or mirtazapine, 30 to 60 mg/d, or augment with lithium, 0.6 to 1.2 mEq/L, or triiodothyronine (T3), 25 to 50 mcg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>Nonresponders may switch to an MAO inhibitor (tranylcypromine, 40 to 60 mg/d) or venlafaxine XR, 150 to 375 mg/d, plus mirtazapine, 30 to 60 mg/d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level-2 treatment options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch from citalopram to:</th>
<th>OR augment citalopram with:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline, 100 to 200 mg/d</td>
<td>Bupropion SR, 300 to 400 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion SR, 300 to 400 mg/d</td>
<td>Buspirone, 30 to 60 mg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venlafaxine XR, 150 to 375 mg/d</td>
<td>Cognitive therapy (20 sessions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive therapy (20 sessions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Vagus nerve stimulation

Somatic therapies being investigated to expand our therapeutic options for major depressive disorder include magnetic seizure therapy, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, and vagus nerve stimulation (VNS).

VNS—now indicated for treatment-resistant epilepsy—is being investigated as a potential augmentation for treatment-resistant depression. An application for this supplemental indication was submitted to the FDA in October 2003.

With VNS, a device implanted in the patient’s chest provides intermittent stimulation to the left vagus nerve (typically 30 seconds on and 5 minutes off, 24 hours a day). In an open trial and follow-up report, VNS was associated with a 30% to 45% response rate in 59 depressed patients with high levels of treatment resistance (inadequate response to an average of 16 treatment trials).

VNS is well tolerated, though it has not been prospectively studied in patients with diagnosed cardiovascular disease. Side effects that may occur when the stimulation is “on” include:

- voice alteration in about 60% of patients (the voice becomes more hoarse when the left recurrent laryngeal nerve is activated)
- paresthesias in the neck
- shortness of breath on heavy exertion.

These effects are usually absent in the 5-minute “off” phase.
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