Mycobacterium marinum Remains an Unrecognized Cause of Indolent Skin Infections
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PRACTICE POINTS

- Mycobacterium marinum infection should be suspected in patients with skin/soft tissue infections that fail to respond or progress despite treatment with antibiotics active against streptococci and staphylococci.
- Inquiring about environmental exposure prior to the onset of the symptoms is key to elaborate a differential diagnosis list.
- Biopsy for pathology evaluation and acid-fast bacilli smear and culture are key to establish the diagnosis of M marinum infection.

We identified 5 patients who had cutaneous lesions with cultures that yielded Mycobacterium marinum. It was discovered that all 5 patients had a home aquarium, and infection was preceded by trauma to the hand. However, the association between the development of the infection and exposure of the trauma site to the aquarium was not initially established until repeated questioning was performed. Skin biopsies or incision and drainage were performed for all patients, and the diagnosis was established by culture of the specimens. The mean time from initial presentation to diagnosis and initiation of appropriate treatment was 91 days (range, 21–245 days). Prolonged therapy for 2 to 6 months was necessary for resolution of the infection.

A n environmental pathogen, Mycobacterium marinum can cause cutaneous infection when traumatized skin is exposed to fresh, brackish, or salt water. Fishing, aquarium cleaning, and aquatic recreational activities are risk factors for infection. Diagnosis often is delayed and is made several weeks or even months after initial symptoms appear. Due to the protracted clinical course, patients may not recall the initial exposure contributing to the delay in diagnosis and initiation of appropriate treatment. It is not uncommon for patients with M marinum infection to be initially treated with antibiotics or antifungal drugs.

We present a review of 5 patients who were diagnosed with M marinum infection at our institution between January 2003 and March 2013.

Methods

This study was conducted at Henry Ford Hospital, a 900-bed tertiary care center in Detroit, Michigan. Patients who had cultures positive for M marinum between January 2003 and March 2013 were identified using the institution’s laboratory database. Medical records were reviewed, and relevant demographic, epidemiologic, and clinical data, including initial clinical presentation, alternative diagnoses, time between initial presentation and definitive diagnosis, and specific treatment, were recorded.

Results

We identified 5 patients who were diagnosed with culture-confirmed M marinum skin infections during the study period: 3 men and 2 women aged 43 to 72 years (Table 1). Two patients had diabetes mellitus and 1 had hepatitis C virus. None had classic immunosuppression. On repeated questioning after the diagnosis
### TABLE 1. Clinical Characteristics, Diagnosis, and Management of Patients With *Mycobacterium marinum* Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
<th>Patient 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient age, y/sex</td>
<td>43/F</td>
<td>64/M</td>
<td>68/M</td>
<td>57/F</td>
<td>72/M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>DM, HTN</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>HTN, GERD, OA</td>
<td>HCV, HTN</td>
<td>DM, HTN, BPH, gout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical presentation</td>
<td>Edema, erythema, and pain in the left middle finger; despite treatment with antibiotics, the infection spread proximally to the wrist</td>
<td>Tender erythematous nodule on the left forearm and thumb</td>
<td>Erythematous tender nodules on the left hand and forearm</td>
<td>Purulent material in the nail bed of left thumb; despite treatment with antibiotics, she developed nodular lesions in a linear fashion from the wrist to the forearm</td>
<td>Pain and erythema of the right thumb; erythema progressed to the axilla; nodular lesions developed in a linear manner to the antecubital fossa despite treatment with antibiotics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported exposure/treauma at initial presentation</td>
<td>Puncture injury of left finger on a clothing tag</td>
<td>No direct injury; reported activity included rose gardening and fish tank cleaning</td>
<td>Splinter injury to the left thumb while cutting shrubs</td>
<td>Injury to left thumb while cleaning the house</td>
<td>Nail clipping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms before initial presentation</td>
<td>3.5 mo</td>
<td>4 mo</td>
<td>2.5 wk</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>2 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workup</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>Incision and drainage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy results</td>
<td>Suppurative granulomatous inflammation</td>
<td>Neutrophilic and granulomatous inflammation</td>
<td>Dermal abscess</td>
<td>Noncaseating granulomatous inflammation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation period</td>
<td>26 d</td>
<td>40 d</td>
<td>18 d</td>
<td>5 wk (~34 d)</td>
<td>11 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from presentation to therapy</td>
<td>245 d</td>
<td>91 d</td>
<td>21 d</td>
<td>23 d</td>
<td>76 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>MINO</td>
<td>DOXY + CLAR</td>
<td>DOXY</td>
<td>DOXY → DOXY + CLAR + ETH → DOXY + AZIT + ETH</td>
<td>TMP-SMX → TMP-SMX + DOXY + CLAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of treatment</td>
<td>3 mo</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At least 2 mo (temporarily moved out of state)</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>4 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate source of exposure</td>
<td>Aquarium</td>
<td>Aquarium</td>
<td>Aquarium</td>
<td>Aquarium</td>
<td>Aquarium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; OA, osteoarthritis; HCV, hepatitis C virus; BPH, benign prostatic hyperplasia; CIP, ciprofloxacin; LEV, levofloxacin; AZIT, azithromycin; CEP, first-generation cephalosporin; TERB, terbinafine; ITRA, itraconazole; CLIN, clindamycin; VAN, vancomycin; N/A, not applicable; MINO, minocycline; DOXY, doxycycline; CLAR, clarithromycin; ETH, ethambutol; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.
was established, all 5 patients reported that they kept a home aquarium, and all recalled mild trauma to the hand prior to the onset of symptoms; however, none of the patients initially linked the minor skin injury to the subsequent infection.

All 5 patients initially presented with erythema and swelling at the site of the injury, which evolved into inflammatory nodules that progressed proximally up to the arm despite empiric treatment with antibiotics active against streptococci and staphylococci (Figures 1 and 2).

Three patients also received empiric antifungal therapy due to suspicion of sporotrichosis.

Skin biopsies were performed on 4 patients, and incision and drainage of purulent material was performed on the fifth patient. Histopathologic examination revealed granulomatous inflammation in 3 patients. Stains for acid-fast bacilli were positive in all 5 patients. Definitive diagnosis of the organism was confirmed by growth of *M marinum* within 11 to 40 days from the tissue in 4 patients and purulent material in the fifth patient. Susceptibility testing was performed on only 1 of the 5 isolates and showed that the organism was susceptible to amikacin, clarithromycin, doxycycline, ethambutol, rifampin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX).

The mean time from initial presentation to initiation of appropriate therapy for *M marinum* infection was 91 days (range, 21–245 days). Several different treatment regimens were used. All patients received either doxycycline or minocycline with or without a macrolide. Two also received other agents (TMP-SMX or ethambutol). Treatment duration varied from 2 to 6 months in 4 patients, and all 4 had complete resolution of the lesions; 1 patient was lost to follow-up.

**Comment**

*Diagnosing the Infection*—Diagnosis of *M marinum* infection remains problematic. In the 5 patients included in this study, the time between initial onset of symptoms and diagnosis of *M marinum* infection was delayed, as has been noted in other reports. Delays as long as 2 years before the diagnosis is made have been described. The clinical presentation of cutaneous infection with *M marinum* varies, which may delay diagnosis. Nodular lymphangitis is classic, but papules, pustules, ulcers, inflammatory plaques, and single nodules also can occur. Lymphadenopathy may or may not be present. The differential diagnosis is broad and includes infection by other nontuberculous mycobacteria such as *Mycobacterium chelonei; Mycobacterium fortuitum; Nocardia* species, especially *Nocardia brasiliensis; Francisella tularensis; Sporothrix schenckii; and Leishmania* species. It is not surprising that 4 patients in our study were initially treated for a gram-positive bacterial infection and 3 were treated for a fungal infection before the diagnosis of *M marinum* was made. Distinctive features that may help to differentiate these infections are summarized in Table 2.

We found that the main cause of delayed diagnosis was the failure of physicians to obtain a thorough history regarding patients’ recreational activities and animal exposure. Patients often do not associate a remote aquatic exposure with their symptoms and will not volunteer this information unless directly asked. It was only after repeated questioning in all of these patients that they recounted prior trauma to the involved hand related to the aquarium.

*Biopsy and Culture*—Histopathologic examination of material from a biopsied lesion can give an early clue...
that a mycobacterial infection might be involved. Biopsy can reveal either noncaseating or necrotizing granulomas that have larger numbers of neutrophils in addition to lymphocytes and macrophages. Giant cells often are noted.\textsuperscript{5,9,11} Organisms can be seen with the use of a tissue acid-fast stain, but species cannot be differentiated by acid-fast staining.\textsuperscript{12} However, the sensitivity of acid-fast stains on biopsy material is low.\textsuperscript{3,13,14}

Culture of the involved tissue is crucial for establishing the diagnosis of this infection. However, the rate of growth of \textit{M. marinum} is slow. Temperature requirements for incubation and delay in transporting specimens to the laboratory can lead to bacterial overgrowth, resulting in the inability to recover \textit{M. marinum} from the culture.\textsuperscript{13} \textit{Mycobacterium marinum} grows preferentially between 28°C and 32°C, and growth is limited at temperatures above 33°C.\textsuperscript{13,15,16} As illustrated in the cases presented, recovery of the organism may not be accomplished from the first culture performed, and additional biopsy material for culture may be needed. Liquid media generally is more sensitive and produces more rapid results than solid media (eg, Lowenstein-Jensen, Middlebrook 7H10/7H11 agar). However, solid media carry the advantage of allowing observation of morphology and estimation of the number of organisms.\textsuperscript{12,17}

\textbf{Rapid Detection—}Advancements in molecular methods have allowed for more definitive and rapid identification of \textit{M. marinum}, substantially reducing the delay in diagnosis. Commercial molecular assays utilize in-solution hybridization or solid-format reverse-hybridization assays to allow mycobacterial detection as soon as growth appears.\textsuperscript{18} Use of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry can substantially shorten the time to species identification.\textsuperscript{19,20} Nonculture-based tests that have been developed for the rapid detection of \textit{M. marinum} infection include polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism and polymerase chain reaction amplification of the 16S RNA gene.\textsuperscript{21} It should be noted, however, that \textit{M. marinum} and \textit{Mycobacterium ulcerans} have a very homologous 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequence, differing by only 1 nucleotide; thus, distinguishing between \textit{M. marinum} and \textit{M. ulcerans} using this method may be challenging.\textsuperscript{22,23}

\textbf{Management—}Treatment depends on the extent of the disease. Generally, localized cutaneous disease can be treated with monotherapy with agents such as doxycycline, clarithromycin, or TMP-SMX. Extensive disease typically requires a combination of 2 antimycobacterial agents, typically clarithromycin–rifampin, clarithromycin–ethambutol, or rifampin–ethambutol.\textsuperscript{12} Amikacin has been used in combination with other agents such as rifampin and clarithromycin in refractory cases.\textsuperscript{22,24} The use of ciprofloxacin is not encouraged because some isolates are resistant; however, other fluoroquinolones, such as moxifloxacin, may be options for combination therapy. Isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and streptomycin are not effective to treat \textit{M. marinum}.

Susceptibility testing of \textit{M. marinum} usually is performed to guide antimicrobial therapy in cases of...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Microbiology</th>
<th>Clinical Presentation</th>
<th>Incubation Period</th>
<th>Distinct Exposures</th>
<th>Recommended Therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Francisella tularensis</td>
<td>Aerobic, gram-negative bacterium</td>
<td>Ulceroglandular type (most common): fever and a single erythematous papuloulcerative lesion with a central eschar and tender lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>1–21 d</td>
<td>Contact with infected animals (eg, rabbits, beavers, muskrats, squirrels, hamsters, mice)</td>
<td>Aminoglycosides (typically streptomycin); mild disease: CIP and DOXY; duration: 7–10 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leishmania braziliensis, Leishmania panamensis, etc (cutaneous leishmaniasis)</td>
<td>Protozoa</td>
<td>On exposed skin: pink papule that develops into a nodule or plaquelike lesion, painless ulceration with an indurated border</td>
<td>Weeks to months</td>
<td>Sandfly vectors</td>
<td>Local: cryotherapy, thermotherapy, PDT; antimicrobial: azoles, mitelesone, sodium stibogluconate, meglumine antimoniate, or amphotericin B; duration: up to 30 d or until healed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterium chelonae</td>
<td>Aerobic, gram-positive rod, acid-fast; rapid grower</td>
<td>Multiple lesions, nodules (frequently with purple discoloration), recurrent abscesses, or chronic discharging sinuses</td>
<td>1 wk</td>
<td>Predominantly immunosuppressed patients, uncommon cause of cutaneous infections associated with tattoo ink contamination</td>
<td>Combination therapy; oral: TMP-SMX, DOXY, LEVO, CLAR, AZIT; IV: amikacin, tobramycin, cefoxitin, imipenem, LEVO; duration: 6–12 mo, continued for 12 mo after resolution of lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterium fortuitum</td>
<td>Aerobic, gram-positive rod, acid-fast; rapid grower</td>
<td>Single lesion, nodules (frequently with purple discoloration), recurrent abscesses, or chronic discharging sinuses</td>
<td>1 wk</td>
<td>Uncommon cause of skin infections associated with whirlpool footbaths in nail salons</td>
<td>Antibiotic therapy: combination of oral (TMP-SMX, DOXY, LEVO, CLAR, or AZIT) and IV (amikacin, tobramycin, cefoxitin, or imipenem); duration: 6–12 mo, continued for 12 mo after resolution of lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M marinum</td>
<td>Aerobic, gram-positive rod, acid-fast; grows at 33°C; slow grower</td>
<td>Erythematous rash, may present along the path of lymphatic drainage; may have deeper involvement with tenosynovitis, bursitis, septic arthritis, and osteomyelitis</td>
<td>2–4+ wk</td>
<td>Water (fresh and salt)</td>
<td>CLAR + ETH or rifampin, minocycline or DOXY, and/or TMP-SMX; duration: 1–2 mo after resolution of symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocardia species</td>
<td>Aerobic, branching, gram-positive; modified AFB</td>
<td>Pulmonary, brain, cutaneous</td>
<td>5–21 d</td>
<td>Typically in immunocompromised patients</td>
<td>TMP-SMX + IV imipenem for 6 wk followed by oral TMP-SMX for 6–12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporothrix schenckii</td>
<td>Dimorphic fungus</td>
<td>Lymphocutaneous spread</td>
<td>5 d to several wk</td>
<td>After soil, moss, or other organic material containing the fungus is inoculated into the skin or subcutaneous tissue</td>
<td>Itraconazole; duration: 2–4 wk after all lesions have resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIP, ciprofloxacin; DOXY, doxycycline; PDT, photodynamic therapy; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; LEVO, levofloxacin; CLAR, clarithromycin; AZIT, azithromycin; N, intravenous; ETH, ethambutol; AFB, acid-fast bacilli.
of poor clinical response or intolerance to first-line antimicrobials such as macrolides.23 The likelihood of *M. marinum* developing resistance to the agents used for treatment appears to be low. Unfortunately, in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility tests do not correlate well with treatment efficiency.10

The duration of therapy is not standardized but usually is 5 to 6 months,7,10,26 with therapy often continuing 1 to 2 months after lesions appear to have resolved.22 However, in some cases (usually those who have more extensive disease), therapy has been extended to as long as 1 to 2 years.10 The ideal length of therapy in immunocompromised individuals has not been established27; however, a treatment duration of 6 to 9 months was reported in one study.28 Surgical debridement may be necessary in patients with lesions larger than 5 cm or those with persistent pain, or those who fail to respond to a prolonged period of medical therapy.29 Successful use of less conventional therapeutic approaches, including cryotherapy, radiation therapy, electrodesication, photodynamic therapy, curettage, and local hyperthermic therapy has been reported.30-32

**Conclusion**

Diagnosis and management of *M. marinum* infection is difficult. Patients presenting with indolent nodular skin infections affecting the upper extremities should be asked about aquatic exposure. Tissue biopsy for histopathologic examination and culture is essential to establish an early diagnosis and promptly initiate appropriate therapy.
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