Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic inflammatory skin disease that can have a considerable social and psychosocial impact in patients with skin of color. The lesions are difficult to treat and often present with notable frustration for both patients and physicians. Although current treatment ladders can delay procedures and surgical intervention, some believe that surgery should be introduced earlier in the management of HS. In this article, we review current procedures for the management of HS, including cryoinsufflation, incision and drainage, deroofing, skin tissue-saving excision with electrosurgical peeling, and wide surgical excision, along with various closure techniques.

Cryoinsufflation
First described in 2014, cryoinsufflation is a novel method for treating sinus tracts. Lesions initially are identified on physical examination. Prior to the procedure, local anesthetics is administered to the lesion. A 21-gauge needle is mounted onto a cryosurgical unit and inserted into the opening of the sinus tract. Liquid nitrogen is sprayed into the tract for 5 seconds, followed by a 3-second pause; the process is repeated 3 times. Patients return for treatment sessions monthly until the tract is obliterated. This procedure was first performed on 2 patients with satisfactory results.

Since the initial report, the investigators made 2 changes to refine the procedure. First, systemic antibiotics should be prescribed 2 months prior to the procedure to clear the sinus tracts of infection. Furthermore, a 21-gauge, olive-tipped cannula is recommended in lieu of a 21-gauge needle to mitigate the risk of adverse events such as air embolism.
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PRACTICE POINTS
- Surgical intervention currently is the only definitive treatment for hidradenitis suppurativa (HS).
- There is no consensus on the best surgical intervention for long-term outcomes in HS; rather, approach is based on clinical judgment dependent upon the location and severity of lesions.
- After wide excision, allow wounds to heal by secondary intention.

Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic inflammatory skin disease that can have a considerable social and psychosocial impact in patients with skin of color. The lesions are difficult to treat and often present with notable frustration for both patients and physicians. Although current treatment ladders can delay procedures and surgical intervention, some believe that surgery should be introduced earlier in the management of HS. In this article, we review current procedures for the management of HS, including cryoinsufflation, incision and drainage, deroofing, skin tissue-saving excision with electrosurgical peeling, and wide surgical excision, along with various closure techniques.

Cryoinsufflation
First described in 2014, cryoinsufflation is a novel method for treating sinus tracts. Lesions initially are identified on physical examination. Prior to the procedure, local anesthesia is administered to the lesion. A 21-gauge needle is mounted onto a cryosurgical unit and inserted into the opening of the sinus tract. Liquid nitrogen is sprayed into the tract for 5 seconds, followed by a 3-second pause; the process is repeated 3 times. Patients return for treatment sessions monthly until the tract is obliterated. This procedure was first performed on 2 patients with satisfactory results.

Since the initial report, the investigators made 2 changes to refine the procedure. First, systemic antibiotics should be prescribed 2 months prior to the procedure to clear the sinus tracts of infection. Furthermore, a 21-gauge, olive-tipped cannula is recommended in lieu of a 21-gauge needle to mitigate the risk of adverse events such as air embolism.

RELATED CONTENT ONLINE
2018 Hidradenitis Suppurativa 4-Part Video Roundtable
>> https://www.mdedge.com/cutis/2018-hs-roundtable

Mr. Vellaichamy is from the Department of Dermatology, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan. Drs. Braunberger, Nahhas, and Hamzavi are from the Department of Dermatology, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit.
Mr. Vellaichamy and Drs. Braunberger and Nahhas report no conflict of interest. Dr. Hamzavi received honoraria as an advisory board member for Aclaris Therapeutics, Inc. He also is a consultant for Pfizer Inc and an investigator for Allergan; The Estée Lauder Companies; Ferndale Pharma Group, Inc; and Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
Correspondence: Iltefat H. Hamzavi, MD, Department of Dermatology, Henry Ford Hospital, 3031 W Grand Blvd, Detroit, MI 48202 (Ihamzav1@hfhs.org).
Incision and Drainage
Incision and drainage provides rapid pain relief for tense fluctuant abscesses, but recurrence is common and the procedure costs are high. For drainage, wide circumferential local anesthesia is administered followed by incision. Pus is eliminated using digital pressure or saline rinses. Following the elimination of pus, the wound may need gauze packing or placement of a wick for a few days. The general belief is that incision and drainage should be used, if necessary, to rapidly relieve the patient’s pain; however, other surgical options should be considered if the patient has had multiple incision and drainage procedures. Currently there are no randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on incision and drainage procedures in HS abscesses.

Deroofing
In 1959, Mullins et al first described the deroofing procedure, which was refined to preserve the floor of the sinus tract in the 1980s. Culp and Brown et al theorized that preservation of the exposed floor of the sinus tract allowed for the epithelial cells from sweat glands and hair follicle remnants to rapidly reepithelialize the wound. In 2010, van der Zee et al performed a prospective study of 88 deroofed lesions in which the investigators removed keratinous debris and epithelial remnants of the floor due to concern for recurrence in this area if the tissues remained. Only 17% (15/88) of the lesions recurred at a median follow-up of 34 months.

In Hurley stage I or II HS, deroofing remains the primary procedure for persistent nodules and sinus tracts. The lesion is identified on physical examination and local anesthesia is administered, first to the area surrounding the lesion, then to the lesion itself. A blunt probe is used to identify openings and search for connecting fistulas. After defining the sinus tract, the roof and wings are removed by the incision are removed. The material on the floor of the tract is scraped away, and the wound is left to heal by secondary intention. In general, deroofed lesions heal with cosmetically acceptable scars. We have used this procedure in skin of color patients with good results and no difficulties with healing. Controlled trials with long-term follow-up are lacking in this population.

Skin Tissue–Saving Excision With Electrosurgical Peeling
Skin tissue–saving excision with electrosurgical peeling was first introduced in 2015. Blok et al described the procedure as a promising alternative to wide surgical excision for Hurley stage II or III HS. The procedure saves healthy tissue while completely removing lesional tissue, leading to rapid wound healing, excellent cosmesis, and a low risk of contractures; however, recurrence rates are higher than those seen in wide surgical excision. There are no known RCTs with long-term follow-up for HS patients treated with skin tissue–saving excision with electrosurgical peeling.

The procedure typically is performed under general anesthesia. First, the sinus tract is palpated on physical examination and probed to delineate the extent of the tract. Next, the roof of the tract is incised electrosurgically with a wire loop tip coupled to an electrosurgical generator. Consecutive tangential excisions are made until the floor of the sinus tract is reached. The process of incising sinus tracts followed by tangential peeling off of tissue continues until the entire area is clear of lesional and fibrotic tissue. The wound margins are probed for the presence and subsequent removal of residual sinus tracts. Lastly, the electrosurgical generator is used to achieve hemostasis, steroids are injected to prevent the formation of hypergranulation tissue, and the wound is left to heal by secondary intention. Following intervention, recurrence rates appear to be similar to wide surgical excision.

Wide Surgical Excision
Wide excision is a widely established technique consisting of surgical excision of a lesion plus an area of surrounding disease-free tissue such as subcutaneous fat or a lateral margin of intertriginous skin. Similar to other surgical techniques, wide excision is considered in cases of severe disease when pharmacologic management cannot remedy extensive fibrosis or architectural loss. It typically is performed in Hurley stage II and III HS, with pathology extending to involve deeper structures inaccessible to more superficial surgical methods. Prominent areas of use include gluteal, axillary, perineal, and perianal HS lesions on which conservative treatments have little effect and depend on wide excision to provide successful postoperative results. Although retrospective and prospective studies exist on wide excision in HS, there continues to be a dearth of RCTs. Based on the available literature, the primary motive for wide excision is lower recurrence rates (13% overall compared to 22% and 27% for local excision and deroofing, respectively) and longer asymptomatic periods compared to more local techniques. Wide excision combined with continued aggressive medical management and dietary modifications currently is an efficacious treatment in providing functional long-term results. These benefits, however, are not without their drawbacks, as the more extensive nature of wide surgical excision predisposes patients to larger wounds, surgery-induced infection, and prolonged recovery periods. If preoperative measurements are not wisely assessed, the excision also can extend to involve neurovascular bundles and other vital structures, contributing to greater postoperative morbidity. Ultrasonography provides useful anatomic information in HS, such as location and extent of fistulous tracts and fluid collections; these findings can assist in guiding the width and depth of the excision itself to ensure the entire area of HS involvement is removed. Published data revealed that 204 of 255 (80%) patients were markedly satisfied with postoperative outcomes of wide excision, which gives credence to the idea that...
Although the complications of wide excision may not be as favorable, the long-lasting improvements in quality of life make wide surgical excision a suggested first-line treatment in all stages of HS.  

**Closure Techniques**

The best skin closure method following surgical excision is controversial and not well established in literature. Options include healing by secondary intention, primary (suture-based) closure, skin grafts, and skin flaps. Each of these methods has had moderate success in multiple observational studies, and the choice should be made based on individualized assessment of the patient’s HS lesion characteristics, ability to adhere to recovery protocols, and relevant demographics. A systematic review by Mehdizadeh et al.17 provided the following recurrence rates for techniques utilized after wide excision: primary closure, 15%; flaps, 8%; and grafting, 6%. Despite conflicting evidence, allowing wounds to heal by secondary intention is best, based on the author’s experience (I.H.H.).

**Secondary Intention**—Healing by secondary intention refers to a wound that is intentionally left open to be filled in with granulation tissue and eventual epithelization over time rather than being approximated and closed via sutures or staples as in primary intention. It is a well-established option in wound management and results in a longer but more comfortable period of convalescence in postsurgical HS management.20 Patients can add regular moist wound dressings (eg, silastic foam dressing) to manage the wound at home and continue normal activities for most of the healing period; however, the recovery period can become excessively long and painful, and there is a high risk of formation of retractile scar bands at and around the healing site.12 Strict adherence to wound-healing protocols is paramount to minimizing unwanted complications.21 Secondary intention often is used after wide local excision and has been demonstrated to yield positive functional and aesthetic results in multiple studies, especially in the more severe Hurley stage II or III cases.21,22 It can be successfully employed after laser treatment and in surgical defects of all sizes with little to no contractures or reduced range of motion.6 Ultimately, the choice to heal via secondary intention should be made after thorough assessment of patient needs and with ample education to ensure compliance.

**Primary Closure**—Primary closure is the suture-mediated closing technique that is most often used in wound closure for lower-grade HS cases, especially smaller excisions. However, it is associated with potential complications. If HS lesions are not effectively excised, disease can then recur at the periphery of the excision and wound dehiscence can manifest more readily, especially as wound size increases.23 Consequently, primary closure is associated with the highest recurrence rates among closure techniques.17 Avoiding primary closure in active disease also is recommended due to the potential of burying residual foci of inflammation.5 Finally, primary closures lack skin coverage and thus often are not viable options in most perianal and genital lesions that require more extensive reconstruction. Retrospective case series and case reports exist on primary excision, but further study is needed.

**Skin Grafts**—Skin grafting is a technique of surgically transplanting a piece of healthy skin from one body site to another. Skin grafts typically are used when primary closure or skin flaps are not feasible (eg, in large wounds) and also when shorter time to wound closure is a greater concern in patient recovery.2,24 Additionally, skin grafts can be employed on large flat surfaces of the body, such as the buttocks or thighs, for timely wound closure when wound contraction is less effective or wound healing is slow via epithelization. Types of skin graft techniques include split-thickness skin graft (STSG), full-thickness skin graft, and recycled skin graft. All 3 types have demonstrated acceptable functional and aesthetic results in observational studies and case reports, and thus deciding which technique to use should include individualized assessment.23 The STSG has several advantages over the full-thickness skin graft, including hairlessness (ie, without hair follicles), ease of harvest, and a less complicated transfer to contaminated lesional areas such as those in HS.26 Additionally, STSGs allow for closure of even the largest wounds with minimal risk of serious infection. Split-thickness skin grafts are considered one of the most efficacious tools for axilla reconstruction; however, they require prolonged immobilization of the arm, result in sequelae in donor sites, and do not always prevent retractile scars.26 The recycled skin graft technique can be used to treat chronic gluteal HS, but reliability and outcomes have not been reported. Skin grafting after excision is associated with increased pain, immobilization, prolonged hospitalization, and longer healing times compared to skin flaps.19 In a systematic review of wound healing techniques following wide excision, grafting was shown to have the lowest recurrence rate (6%) compared to skin flaps (8%) and primary closure (15%).17 The absence of hair follicles and sweat glands in STSGs may be advantageous in HS because both hair follicles and sweat glands are thought to play more roles in the pathogenesis of HS.18,24 Most studies on skin grafts are limited to case reports.

**Skin Flaps**—Skin flaps are similar to skin grafts in that healthy skin is transplanted from one site to another; the difference is that flaps maintain an intact blood supply, whereas skin grafts depend on growth of new blood vessels.12,13 The primary advantage of skin flaps is that they provide the best quality of skin due to the thick tissue coverage, which is an important concern, especially in aesthetic scenarios. Additionally, they have been shown to provide shorter healing times than grafts, primary closure, and secondary healing, which can be especially important when functional disability is a concern in the postoperative period.26 However, their use should
be limited due to several complications owing to their blood supply, as there is a high risk of ischemia to distant portions of flaps, which often can progress to necrosis and hemorrhage during the harvesting process. Thus, skin flaps are incredibly difficult to use in larger wounds and often require debulking due to their thickness. Additionally, skin flaps are definitive by nature, which can pose an issue if HS recurs locally. Skin flaps are recommended only when their use is mandatory, such as in the coverage of important anatomical structures (eg, exposed neurovascular bundles and large vessels). Advances have been made in flap construction, and now several types of flaps are employed in several body areas with differing indications and recommendations. As with skin grafts, most studies in the literature are case reports; therefore, further investigation is needed.

Combination Reconstructions—Combination reconstructions refer to the simultaneous use of multiple closure or healing techniques. By combining 2 or more methods, surgeons can utilize the advantages of each technique to provide an individualized approach that can substantially diminish wound surface area and accelerate wound healing. For example, with the starlike technique, 5 equilateral triangles bordering a focus of axillary disease are excised in addition to the central focus, and the edges of each triangle are then sutured together to create a final scar of considerably smaller size. The starlike technique allows the wound to be partially sutured while leaving the remaining area to heal by secondary intention. There are a small number of case series and prospective studies on combined reconstructions in HS but no RCTs.

Conclusion

Many procedures exist as options for treatment of patients with HS. Deroofing and cryoinsufflation are options for localized Hurley stage I or II disease. For more severe Hurley stage II or III disease, skin tissue—sparing excision with electrosurgical peeling or wide surgical excisions are preferred. Following excision, there are many options for wound closure, but our preference is to allow the wound to heal by secondary intention. It is imperative that dermatologists are informed on the different techniques for treating this disease to determine the best route of care for their patients.
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