Quality in urine microscopy: The eyes of the beholder

The renal patient is best served when the nephrologist familiar with the case performs the urine microscopy.

While this phrase is likely an adaptation of the idea in ancient times that the urine reflected on humors or the quality of the soul, the understanding of the relevance of urine findings to the state of the kidneys likely rests with the pioneers of urine microscopy. As reviewed by Fogazzi and Cameron,¹,² the origins of direct inspection of urine under a microscope lie in the 17th century, with industrious physicians who used rudimentary microscopes to identify basic structures in the urine and correlated them to clinical presentations.¹ At first, only larger structures could be seen, mostly crystals in patients with nephrolithiasis. As microscopes advanced, smaller structures such as “corpuscles” and “cylinders” could be seen that described cells and casts.¹

In correlating these findings to patient presentations, a rudimentary understanding of renal pathology evolved long before the advent of the kidney biopsy. Lipid droplets

Figure 1. An acanthocyte seen in a patient with glomerulonephritis. The arrow notes a typical bleb (× 40).

Figure 2. A red blood cell cast in a patient with glomerulonephritis. Casts form when red blood cells that have passed through a damaged glomerular basement membrane are encased in urinary proteins before being excreted into the urine (× 40).
were seen in patients swollen from dropsy, and later known to have nephrotic syndrome. In 1872, Harley first described the altered morphology of dysmorphic red blood cells in patients with Bright disease or glomerulonephritis. In 1979, Birch and Fairley recognized that the presence of acanthocytes differentiated glomerular from nonglomerular hematuria.

**DYSMORPHIC RED BLOOD CELLS: TYPES AND SIGNIFICANCE**

The term *dysmorphic* refers to any misshapen red blood cell found in the urine. Dysmorphic cells have a variety of causes. The term *acanthocyte* is reserved for red blood cells that show evidence of damage thought to be induced by passage through the glomerular basement membrane, characterized by vesicle-shaped protrusions or blebs (Figure 1). These cells are considered quite specific for glomerular hematuria. Köhler et al found that in patients with biopsy-proven glomerular disease, 12.4% of excreted cells were acanthocytes, whereas they were rarely found in people with nonglomerular hematuria. As these cells then pass through the renal tubules, they can become encased in Tamm-Horsfall proteins, forming red blood cell casts (Figure 2), another hallmark of glomerular disease.

The kidney biopsy from a patient with immunoglobulin A nephropathy presented by Daza et al in this issue reminds us of the amazing pathophysiology of glomerular disease. A red blood cell can somehow contort enough to squeeze through the pores of an inflamed glomerular basement membrane roughly one-tenth its size, with only blebbing to show for it. The image Daza et al present captures this rarely seen event and should give us pause. In an age when the electronic medical record too often replaces the patient history, when ultrasonography and echocardiography are replacing the stethoscope, and when reports by machines and technicians with no understanding of the patient’s condition replace direct examination of bodily fluids, there is merit in seeing what is going on for ourselves. This image allows us to understand the value of urine microscopy in the workup of patients with renal disease.

**URINE MICROSCOPY: THE NEPHROLOGIST’S ROLE**

The tools used in urine microscopy have advanced significantly since its advent. But not all advances have led to improved patient care. Laboratories have trained technicians to perform urine microscopy. Analyzers can identify basic urinary structures using algorithms to compare them against stored reference images. More important, urine microscopy has been categorized by accreditation and inspection bodies as a “test” rather than a physician-performed competency. As such, definitions of quality in urine microscopy have shifted from the application of urinary findings to the care of the patient to the reproducibility of identifying individual structures in ways that can be documented with quality checks performed by nonclinicians. And since the governing bodies require laboratories to adhere to burdensome procedures to maintain accreditation (eg, the US Food and Drug Administration’s Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments), many hospitals have closed nephrologist-based urine laboratories.

This would be acceptable if laboratory-generated reports provided information equivalent to that obtained by the nephrologist. But such reports rarely include anything beyond the most rudimentary findings. In these reports, the red blood cell is not differentiated as dysmorphic or monomorphic. All casts are granular. Crystals are often the highlight of the report, usually an incidental finding of little relevance. Phase contrast and polarization are never performed.

Despite the poor quality of data provided in these reports, because of increasing regulations and time restrictions, a dwindling number of nephrologists perform urine microscopy even at teaching institutions. In an informal 2009 survey of nephrology fellowship program directors, 79% of responding programs relied solely on lab-generated reports for microscopic findings (verbal communication, Perazella, 2017).

There is general concern among medical educators about the surrendering of the physical examination and other techniques to technology. However, in many cases, such changes may improve the ability to make a correct diagnosis. When performed properly,
Urinary microscopy can help determine the need for kidney biopsy, differentiate causes of acute kidney injury, and help guide decisions about therapy. Perazella showed that urinary microscopy could reliably differentiate acute tubular necrosis from prerenal azotemia. Further, the severity of findings on urinary microscopy has been associated with worse renal outcomes. At our institution, nephrologist-performed urinary microscopy resulted in a change in cause of acute kidney injury in 25% of cases and a concrete change in management in 12% of patients (unpublished data).

With this in mind, it is concerning that the only evidence in the literature on this topic demonstrated that laboratory-based urinary microscopy is actually a hindrance to its underlying purpose in acute kidney injury, which is to help identify the cause of the injury. Tsai et al. showed that nephrologists identified the cause of acute kidney injury correctly 90% of the time when they performed their own urinary microscopy, but this dropped to 23% when they relied on a laboratory-generated report. Interestingly, knowing the patient’s clinical history when performing the microscopy was important, as the accuracy was 69% when a report of another nephrologist’s microscopy findings was used.

The purpose of urinary microscopy in clinical care is to identify and understand the findings as they apply to the patient. When viewed from this perspective, the renal patient is clearly best served when the nephrologist familiar with the case performs urinary microscopy, rather than a technician or analyzer in remote parts of the hospital with no connection to the patient.

Advances in technology or streamlining of hospital services do not always produce improvements in patient care, and how we define quality is integral to identifying when this is the case. Quality checklists can serve as guides to safe patient care but should not replace clinical decision-making. Direct physician involvement with our patients has concrete benefits, whether taking a history, performing a physical examination, reviewing radiologic images, or looking at specimens such as urine. It allows us to experience the amazing pathophysiology of human illness and to understand the nuances unique to each of our patients.

But most important, it reinforces the need for the direct bond, both emotional and physical, between us as healers and our patients.

**REFERENCES**


**ADDITIONAL READING**


**ADDRESS:** James F. Simon, MD, Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Q7, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; simonj2@ccf.org