Neurologic functions, hormonal regulation, and psychological factors affect sexual desire and arousal to some extent. Menopause, and the genitourinary symptoms associated with it, also affect sexual function. Understanding the pathogenesis of sexual dysfunction is key to management decisions.
Sexual function is a complex, multifaceted process mediated by neurologic functions, hormonal regulation, and psychological factors. What could possibly go wrong?

As it turns out, quite a lot. Female sexual dysfunction is a common, vastly undertreated sexual health problem that can have wide-reaching effects on a woman’s life. These effects may include impaired body image, self-confidence, and self-worth. Sexual dysfunction also can contribute to relationship dissatisfaction and leave one feeling less connected with her partner. Studies have shown women with sexual dysfunction have higher health care expenditures and that depression and fatigue are common comorbidities, as is frequently seen in other chronic conditions such as diabetes and back pain.4

Understanding the pathogenesis of female sexual dysfunction helps to guide our approach to its management. Indeed, increased understanding of its pathology has helped to usher in new and emerging treatment options, as well as a personalized, biopsychosocial approach to its management.

In this Update, I discuss the interplay of physiologic and psychological factors that affect female sexual function as well as the latest options for its management. I have also assembled a panel of experts to discuss 2 cases representative of sexual dysfunction that you may encounter in your clinical practice and how prescribing decisions are made for their management.

Multiple transmitters in the brain can increase or decrease sexual desire and function

Neurotransmitters involved in sexual excitation include brain dopamine, melanocortin, oxytocin, vasopressin, and norepinephrine, whereas brain opioids, serotonin, prolactin, and endocannabinoids function as sexual inhibitors. Inhibitory
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Estradiol and progesterone can impact sexual function and desire

In addition to the neurotransmitters, hormones are important modulators of female sexual function. Decreasing levels of circulating estrogen after menopause lead to physiologic, biologic, and clinical changes in the urogenital tissues, such as decreased elastin, thinning of the epithelium, reduced vaginal blood flow, diminished lubrication, and decreased flexibility and elasticity. These changes result in the symptoms of genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM), which affects as many as half of all menopausal women. In clinical trials, dyspareunia and vaginal dryness are the most bothersome GSM symptoms reported.

Experience and behavior modulate or reinforce sexual dysfunction

The most common psychological factors that trigger or amplify female sexual dysfunction are depression, anxiety, distraction, negative body image, sexual abuse, and emotional neglect. Contextual or sociocultural factors, such as relationship discord, life-stage stressors (the empty nest syndrome or anxiety and sleep deprivation from a new baby), as well as cultural or religious values that suppress sexuality, also should be considered. Experience-based neuroplasticity (changes in brain pathways that become solidified by negative or positive experiences) may elucidate how a multimodal approach, utilizing medical and psychological treatment, can be beneficial for patients, particularly those with hypoactive sexual desire disorder (HSDD).

New and emerging approaches to managing female sexual dysfunction

Three agents, one of which has been available for prescription for some time, one that is newly available, and one in the pipeline, are or may soon be in the gynecologist’s armamentarium.

Flibanserin

Medications that target excitatory pathways or blunt inhibitory pathways are in development, and one, flibanserin (Addyi), has been US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for the treatment of acquired, generalized HSDD in premenopausal women. Flibanserin is a non-hormonal, centrally acting, postsynaptic serotonin 1A receptor agonist and a serotonin 2A receptor antagonist that is taken daily at bedtime (100 mg); several weeks are usually needed before any effects are noted. It is not approved for postmenopausal women and has a boxed warning about the risks of hypotension and syncope; its use is
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Newly available since July 2017, prasterone is a once-daily intravaginal agent that treats moderate to severe dyspareunia and has costs similar to topical estrogens.

**Prasterone**

Prasterone (Intrarosa), a once-daily intravaginal dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) product, is a prohormone that increases local estrogen and testosterone and has the advantage of improved sexual function, desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, as well as pain at sexual activity. It was approved by the FDA in November 2016 to treat moderate to severe dyspareunia and has been available for prescribing since July 2017. Its cost is comparable to topical estrogen products, with a $25 copay program.

Because prasterone is not an estrogen, it does not have the boxed warning that all estrogen products are mandated by the FDA to have. This may make it more acceptable to patients, who often decline to use an estrogen product after seeing the boxed warning on the package. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) does not have prasterone on its list of potentially hazardous drugs for the elderly. However, keep in mind that because its label is for dyspareunia and not specifically for GSM, CMS considers it a drug of choice—in other words, like sildenafil (Viagra), a lifestyle choice and not for treatment of a medical condition. As such, at the present time, Medicare does not cover it.

**Bremelanotide**

Late-stage trials of bremelanotide, a melanocortin receptor agonist, are underway. Its mechanism of action is somewhat like that of flibanserin in that both drugs increase dopamine and norepinephrine levels. The advantage of bremelanotide is that it is used as needed. It is dosed subcutaneously (1.75 mg) and it can be used as often as a woman would like to use it. The FDA is expected to consider it for approval in about a year. Unpublished data from poster sessions at recent meetings show that, in a phase 3 study of 1,247 premenopausal women with HSDD (who had already been screened for depression and were found to have a physiologic condition), improvements in desire, arousal, lubrication, and orgasm were shown with bremelanotide. About 18% of women stopped using the drug because of adverse effects (nausea, vomiting, flushing, or headache) versus 2% for placebo. Like flibanserin, it is expected to be approved.

What would you prescribe for these patients?

**CASE: Genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM) in a 55-year-old woman**

A 55-year-old widow is beginning a new relationship. She has not had partnered sexual activity for several years, but she recently has begun a relationship. She describes pain with attempted penetration with her new partner. Her last menstrual period was 3 years ago and she has experienced very minor menopausal symptoms, which are not bothersome. On examination, the vulva and vagina are pale, with thin epithelium and absent rugae. The tissue lacks elasticity. A virginal speculum is needed to visualize the cervix.

How would you go about deciding which of the many options for management of GSM you will recommend for this patient? What do you weigh as you consider DHEA versus estrogen and topical versus oral therapy?

JoAnn V. Pinkerton, MD: Vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA), part of GSM, is associated with postmenopausal estrogen deficiency and includes the signs and symptoms seen
on this patient’s physical exam: vaginal narrowing, pallor, loss of elasticity, as well as pain with intercourse.6 Estrogen therapy is the most effective treatment for vaginal atrophy.13 Since she does not have significant menopausal symptoms, low-dose vaginal estrogen preparations are effective and generally safe treatments for VVA; these include creams, tablets containing estradiol or conjugated equine estrogen (CEE), and a low-dose vaginal estradiol ring—all available at doses that result in minimal systemic absorption.

Choice is usually made based on patient desire and likely adherence. If the patient prefers nonestrogen therapies that improve VVA and have been approved for relief of dyspareunia in postmenopausal women, I would discuss with the patient the oral selective estrogen receptor modulator ospemifene,14 and the new intravaginal DHEA suppositories, prasterone.15 Ospemifene is taken daily as an oral tablet, has a small risk of blood clots, and is my choice for women who do not need systemic hormone therapy and prefer to avoid vaginal therapy. Andrew M. Kaunitz, MD: GSM is prevalent in menopausal women and, if not treated, causes progressive vaginal dryness and sexual discomfort. When the main indication for hormonal management in a menopausal woman is GSM (as opposed to treatment of vasomotor symptoms or prevention of osteoporosis), the treatment of choice is low-dose local vaginal estrogen, ospemifene, or prasterone (DHEA). Prasterone is a vaginally administered nonestrogen steroid that was approved by the FDA to treat dyspareunia associated with GSM. DHEA is an endogenous inactive steroid that is converted locally into androgens and estrogens; one vaginal insert is placed nightly.16,17

This 55-year-old widow has not been sexually active for some time. The facts that attempted penetration was painful and only an ultrathin (virginal) speculum could be used for examination indicate that contraction of the pelvic floor muscles is likely present. Simply starting medical management may not lead to comfortable/successful penetrative sex for this woman. In addition to medical management, she would likely benefit from referral for physical therapy. Using dilators and other strategies, along with the positive impact that medical management will have on the vaginal mucosa, a woman’s physical therapist can work with this patient to help the pelvic floor muscles relax and facilitate comfortable penetrative sex.

James A. Simon, MD: With only minor vasomotor symptoms, I would assess the other potential benefits of a systemic therapy. These might include cardiovascular risk reduction (systemic estrogens or estrogens/progesterone in some), breast cancer risk
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Estrogen therapy may be considered in a breast cancer mutation carrier who has undergone prophylactic mastectomies and bilateral salpingooophorectomy.

A 42-year-old woman with a BRCA1 mutation has undergone prophylactic mastectomies as well as hysterectomy with bilateral salpingooophorectomy. She reports mild to moderate hot flashes and bothersome vaginal dryness and dyspareunia. Examination confirms GSM.

Would you advise systemic hormone therapy for this patient? What would your recommendation be for management of her GSM symptoms?

**Dr. Simon:** While one's gut reaction would be to avoid systemic estrogen therapy in a patient with a BRCA1 mutation, the scientific information confirming this fear is lacking. Such patients may benefit significantly from systemic estrogen therapy (reduced risk of cardiovascular disease and cognitive decline, etc.), and with both breasts and both ovaries removed, estrogen's breast cancer risks, if any in this population, are largely avoided. The patient also may benefit from additional local therapy with either estrogens or DHEA.

**Dr. Kaunitz:** Due to her high lifetime risk of breast and ovarian cancer, this woman has proceeded with risk-reducing breast and gynecologic surgery. As more BRCA mutation carriers are being identified and undergo risk-reducing bilateral mastectomy (usually with reconstruction) and salpingooophorectomy, clinicians and mutation carriers more frequently face decisions regarding use of systemic hormone therapy.

Mutation carriers who have undergone bilateral risk-reducing mastectomy experience a very low baseline future risk for breast cancer; accordingly, concerns regarding this disease should not prevent use of systemic hormone therapy. Furthermore, without hormone replacement, induced menopause in women this age is associated with an elevated risk of osteoporosis, persistent vasomotor symptoms, cardiovascular disease, stroke, mood changes, dementia, Parkinson disease, and overall mortality. Recognizing the safety of estrogen therapy in this setting, this 42-year-old BRCA1 mutation carrier can initiate estrogen therapy. Standard dose estrogen therapy refers to oral estradiol 1.0 mg, conjugated equine estrogen 0.625 mg, or transdermal estradiol 0.05 mg. In younger women like this 42-year-old with surgically induced menopause, higher than standard replacement doses of estrogen are often appropriate.

Due to concerns the hormone therapy might further increase future risk of breast cancer, some mutation carriers may delay or avoid risk-reducing bilateral salpingooophorectomy, a potentially lifesaving surgery which reduces not only future risk of ovarian cancer but also future risk for breast cancer.

Among mutation carriers with intact breasts, several studies address risk of breast cancer with use of systemic hormone therapy. Although limited in numbers of participants and years of follow-up, in aggregate, these studies provide reassurance that short-term use of systemic hormone therapy does not increase breast cancer risk in women with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations and intact breasts.

**Dr. Pinkerton:** For this woman with early surgical menopause and hysterectomy, estrogen therapy could improve her vasomotor symptoms and decrease her risk of bone loss and GSM. In the Women’s Health Initiative trial, there were 7 fewer breast cancers per 10,000 women-years in the estrogen-only
Observational studies suggest that hormone therapy, when given to the average age of menopause, decreases the risks of heart disease, Parkinson disease, and dementia. Limited observational evidence suggests that hormone therapy use does not further increase risk of breast cancer in women following oophorectomy for BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene mutation.

The absolute risks observed with hormone therapy tended to be small, especially in younger, healthy women. Systemic hormone therapy could treat her hot flashes and her GSM symptoms and potentially decrease health risks associated with premature estrogen deficiency. Nonestrogen therapies for hot flashes include low-dose antidepressants, gabapentin, and mind-body options, such as cognitive behavioral therapy and hypnosis, but these would not decrease her health risks or treat her GSM.

If she only requests treatment of her GSM symptoms, she would be a candidate for low-dose vaginal estrogen therapy, given as a cream, tablet, or ring depending on her choice. I would not choose ospemifene as my first choice as she is having hot flashes, and there are no data yet on the drug’s health benefits in early menopause. If she prefers nonestrogen vaginal therapy, the new intravaginal DHEA might be a good choice as both estrogen and testosterone are increased locally in the vagina while hormone levels remain in the postmenopausal range. There is no boxed warning on the patient insert, although safety in women with breast cancer or in those with elevated risk of breast cancer has not been tested.
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